PROPOSED PRIVATE PLAN CHANGE 11 - HARVEY TCE / FITZGERALD AVE

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS AND FURTHER SUBMISSIONS

PROPOSED PRIVATE PLAN CHANGE 11 - HARVEY TCE / FITZGERALD AVE

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS AND FURTHER SUBMISSIONS

INTRODUCTION

The period for making submissions to Proposed Private Plan Change 11 to the Christchurch District Plan closed on Wednesday 14 September 2022, and the period for making further submissions closed on 26 October 2022. The submissions and further submissions in support or opposition have been summarised below.

The next stage of the process will be a hearing which will provide an opportunity for submitters to speak and present any evidence in support of their submission. The hearing timetable organiser will contact the submitters in due course.

THE SUMMARY

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
Andrew Kendrick	S1	D1.1	Oppose	I would like to see the whole plan change declined and for council to investigate which entity sold the red zoned land to the applicant and whether there was any advertising of the sale for other parties to attempt to purchase the land.	
		D1.2	Amend	I would also like the council to put in place either a change to the RMA or district plan so that this is not possible in the future or to require any proposed similar plan change be more widely advertised.	1
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.1	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Philippa Manningsmith	S2	D2.1	Oppose	I believe that none of the proposed changes should go ahead unless a proper review of these procedures has been done WITH consultation of the previous landowners and community. Yet again CCC let's their ratepayers down.	
		Further	Support or	Further Submitter	Wishes to

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		Submission No.	Oppose		be heard?
		FS02.2	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Garry Thompson	\$3	D3.1	Oppose	Oppose the plan change.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.3	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Joanne Byrne	S4	D4.1	Oppose	Do not permit this change.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.4	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Tane Robinson	S 5	D5.1	Oppose	Leave it alone. Many families were forced to leave this land and it is another kick in the gut.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.5	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Cris Fulton	\$6	D6.1	Support Netta Brown Oppose Oppose the plan change. In ther ission No. Oppose Support or Oppose Oppose the plan change. Da.1 Oppose Support or Oppose Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.1 Oppose Oppose Support or Oppose Oppose Support or Oppose Support or Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.1 Oppose Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.1 Oppose Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.1 Oppose Support or Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.1 Oppose Support or Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.1 Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.1 Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.1 Oppose Support or Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.1 Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.1 Oppose Support or Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.1 Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.2 Support or Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.3 Support or Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.4 Support or Oppose Support Netta Brown Da.5 Support Netta Brown Da.6 Support Netta Brown D	No	
		Further Submission No.		Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.6	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
	\$7	D7.1	Oppose	be developed in a red zone? All houses and land were bought after the	No
		Further Submission No.		Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.7	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
T Scott	S 8	D8.1	Support	I support this area to be built on. This area makes sense for more residential housing to be put in place, but not all red zone area will be. More communication.	No
Joanne Churcher	\$9	D9.1	Oppose	I want to see this application declined entirely and the red-zoning reinforced to stop anyone else trying this stunt.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.8	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Ashley Campbell	\$10	D10.1	Oppose	The land in question should not be allowed to be developed for housing while remaining in private ownership. The private owner should be limited to existing uses.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.9	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Geraldine Allan	\$11	D11.1	Oppose	Give the land back to former red zone land owners. Give me back my land I was forced off.	Yes
Ewout van Bruchem	\$12	D12.1	Oppose	The Otakaro Avon River corridor should not be built on! People we [sic] forced to leave after the earth quakes, it is totally wrong to now allow others to built [sic] there. This should be a green belt for the whole population to enjoy. In this time of climate change we need more green space with planting (e.g. to keep the city cool in heat waves). The owner should not be allowed to make a huge profit after he was gifted (?!) the land as part of a cross lease.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.10	Support	Netta Brown	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
Sanjaya Withanage	S13	D13.1	Not stated	Not stated	No
Oliver Neal	\$14	D14.1	Support in part	I would not like to see specific amendments made to the Plan Change, however I would like to see street level changes implemented following development of the sites. These street level changes include widening of the footpath in that area, making the area a "slow neighbourhood," creating a link with the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor, and an accelerated upgrade of the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor in the nearby area.	No
Charlene Herring	S15 D15.1 Oppose That the land at 5 Harvey Terrace not be transferred to the properties in Appendix 13.14.6.2 until proper public consultation notification is done for ALL properties which have been sold from Otakaro Avon River Corridor Regeneration Plan area.				
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.11	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
David Newton	S16	D16.1	Oppose	Total opposition.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.12	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Juliet Neill	\$17	D17.1	Oppose	Reinstate the protection of all areas that were evacuated after the earthquakes and allow no further development, and support the restoration of the land.	No
		D17.2	Oppose	Ensure every issue regarding these areas is transparent and open to public consultation and discussion, particularly where iwi and groups such as Greening the Red Zone are concerned. Inform the public of any proposed changes.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.13	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Helmut Berie	S18	D18.1	Oppose	Include previous land owners and fine them preference too [sic] purchase acquire their land back.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.14	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Rebecca Finch	S19	D19.1	Oppose	Say no to this change.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.15	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Heidi Connolly	\$20	D20.1	Oppose	No allowance of any residential development on land reclaimed from red zoned residences.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.16	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Kathleen Carney	S21	D21.1	Oppose	I want the proposed change thrown out!	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.17	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Amr El sawaf	\$22	D22.1	Oppose	The red zone should not be changed to medium density residential housing. The city needs more green space!! I voted for the current council, in part, in support of the Red Zone plans to maintain a reservation and green space. Reverting back on its word is dishonest and it is not supported. Furthermore, the manner in which the council sold the land was not transparent.	No

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.18	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Mark Timmermans	S23	D23.1	Not stated	All previous owners of red zoned land should be given the right to repurchase before it is offered to anyone else to buy.	No
Bridie McKenna	S24	D24.1	Not stated	The development needs to be made public and the sale of the properties on this land needs to be made extremely affordable.	No
Celia Hogan	\$25	D25.1	Oppose	I seek for the Council to decline this proposal and to seek to understand how they can undo the purchase of this land that falls against the vision of the Red Zone as set out by Regenerate Christchurch and approved by the Government.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.19	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Michael Arthur Robinson	S 26	D26.1	Oppose	To stop the change.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
	Submission No. Oppose FS02.19 Support Netta Brown S26 D26.1 Oppose To stop the change. Further Support or Further Submitter	Yes			
Hayley Guglietta	S27	D27.1	Oppose	The private owner should be limited to existing uses of which they will still benefit from and the land should not be developed for housing that will only benefit the private landowner.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.21	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Fay Brorens	S28	D28.1	Not stated	That previous owners be offered the land.	No

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
Glenara Family Trust	\$29	D29.1	Amend	Amend rows 7-20 Column 5 of the proposed changes to Appendix 13.14.6.2, by deleting RMD and inserting MRZ.	Yes
		D29.2	Amend	Amend Rule 13.14.4.1.1 P23 and P33 in the Activity and Activity Specific Standards Columns, by replacing all references to the Residential Suburban, Residential Suburban Density Transitional and Residential Medium Density Zones with the Medium Density Residential Zone (MRZ).	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS01.1	Oppose	Christine Williams	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.22	Oppose	Netta Brown	Yes
Regina Speer	\$30	D30.1	Oppose	I oppose the provision as it is a threat to the Avon green corridor. I want the plans to remain as they are.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.23	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
BirdCottage	\$31	D31.1	Oppose	Keep to the existing Red Zoning. Adhere to Resource Management Act provisions.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.24	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Katie Robinson	\$32	D32.1	Oppose	In the first instance, land back to the whānau that were kicked off post quakes.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.25	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Brenda Banning	\$33	D33.1	Oppose	Impacts of the proposal on water drainage, including flooding, and sewerage should have been considered. The change in land use should not be approved.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.26	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Chris Manson \$34	S34	D34.1	Oppose	I oppose the current plan until it is properly publicised and debated in public.	Yes
		D34.2	Amend	Add an amendment that delays a vote until the matter has been: 1. Publicly aired 2. Discussed in the media and at public meetings 3. The implications (if everything is passed) are explained in plain English	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.27	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Gae Johns	\$35	D35.1	Oppose	That the land should not be developed for housing and all such lands included in the Regeneration Plan of OARC should not be reassigned for that purpose.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.28	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Jennifer Dalziel	S36	D36.1	Oppose	I want the plan change thrown out, not allowed, never allowed in the future. LINZ and the Council must covenant Red Zone land so that these issues don't keep recurring.	No

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.29	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Hamish West	\$37	D37.1	Oppose	Do not approve this plan change, which is totally unfair to so many and would benefit so few.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.30	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Sylvia Thompson-Kriek	\$38	D38.1	Oppose	Decline residential development of the land, decline edge housing overlay on the property, decline all proposals.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.31	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Harrison McEvoy	\$39	D39.1	Oppose	Remove these provisions from the Private Plan [Change], and reaffirm the non-development nature of the Residential Red Zone.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.32	Support Netta Brown Da7.1 Oppose Do not approve this plan change, which is totally unfair to so many and would benefit so few. Support or Oppose Support Netta Brown Da8.1 Oppose Decline residential development of the land, decline edge housing overlay on the property, decline all proposals. Support or Oppose Support Netta Brown Da9.1 Oppose Remove these provisions from the Private Plan [Change], and reaffirm the non-development nature of the Residential Red Zone. Support or Oppose Support or Decline Support or Inission No. Oppose Support Netta Brown Da9.1 Oppose Further Submitter With the non-development nature of the Residential Red Zone. Support or Oppose Support or This should not go ahead - instead, develop a plan for land use that better benefits the entire community. Support or Oppose Support or Oppose Support Netta Brown Support Oppose Support Oppose Support Netta Brown Support Oppose Support Netta Brown Support Oppose Support Netta Brown Support Oppose Support Oppo	Yes	
Tanya Utting	S40	D40.1	Oppose		No
		Further Submission No.		Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.33	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
John McKirdy	S41	D41.1	Oppose	That the Council decline the proposal.	No
		Further	Support or	Further Submitter	Wishes to

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		Submission No.	Oppose		be heard?
		FS02.34	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Tanya Didham	S42	D42.1	Oppose	I would like to see Council refuse this land use change, restricting the owner to uses already established in the Regeneration Plan.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.35	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Brighton Observatory of	S43	D43.1	Oppose	I wish this plan change to be abandoned.	Yes
Environment & Economics Trust		D43.2	Amend	If for good reason (not private profit), this must proceed, I think it should not set a new precedent, instead have the same characteristics and limitations of the current precedent from the Heathcote Valley [land could not be built on].	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.36	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Chrissie Williams	S44	D44.1	Oppose	To not continue with the Plan Change, and have the applicant apply for a Resource Consent that is publicly notified.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.37	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Marilyn Yurjevich	S45	D45.1	Oppose	I would like to see Council refuse this land use change, restricting the owner to uses already established in the Regeneration Plan.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.38	Support	Netta Brown	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
Carey Poulter	S46	D46.1	Oppose	The application to rezone and allow residential development should be declined.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.39	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Murray James	\$47	D47.1	Oppose	Reject the plan change and leave the land zoned as it is.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.40	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Siobhan Murphy	S48	D48.1	Oppose	Do not allow residential development creep by stealth onto Red Zone land. Very disappointing plan change LINZ!	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.41	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Matthew Baird	\$49	D49.1	Oppose	Decline the plan change.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.42	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Katia De Lu	\$50	D50.1	Oppose	Please reject the proposed changes. This land should not ever be built on. It is not safe in case of future earthquakes, and furthermore it is deeply unjust to the many people who lost their homes in the red zone as a result of the earthquakes.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.43	Support	Netta Brown	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
Peter Beck, Eastern Vision	S51	D51.1	Oppose	That CCC do not endorse this plan change.	Yes
and Avon Otakaro Network		D51.2	Oppose	Rather, Council refer this proposed change to the newly established Cogovernance body to determine the outcome.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.44	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Margaret Stewart	S52	D52.1	Oppose	Leave the land zone as it already is, i.e. not for housing. Respect the people affected by the government decision to red zone.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.45	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
David Jarman	\$53	D53.1	Oppose	Reject the proposed inclusion of the property at 5 Harvey Terrace into Appendix 13.14.6.2 of the District Plan with an alternative zoning of RMD. The property at 5 Harvey Terrace is suited to, at most, a zoning of RSDT and a maximum of one dwelling.	Yes
		D53.2	Amend	If rezoned to RSDT, direct that the boundary of 5 Harvey Terrace, facing to the street would need to be planted with native plants and shrubs to screen any view of housing on the property.	Yes
		D53.3	Amend	The property at 254 Fitzgerald should not be zoned RMD, at most it should be RSDT.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.46	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
David Daish	S54	D54.1	Oppose	Oppose this plan change.	Yes
		D54.2	Oppose	Do whatever is necessary to return this land to ownership by the government, and never encroach on regeneration areas ever again.	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
Lucinda McEvedy	\$55	D55.1	Oppose	I wish to see the plan change not approved. Do not agree to amend the plan. Do not give the Glenara Family Trust an avenue to profit from red zoned land. Redzoned land is for the people of Christchurch only.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.47	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Kazia Tini	S 56	D56.1	Oppose	I seek for the Council to prevent the enabling of residential development in this area and designate the land for native plant and bush regeneration instead.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	
		FS02.48	FS02.48 Support Netta Brown		Yes
Julia Stowell	\$57	D57.1	Oppose	Please oppose this residential development. This area is better suited for native restoration, don't ignore the meaningful mahi of community volunteers. At least engage in foundational community consultation before pushing this through. Red zone residents have been ignored for too long by the council.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.49	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Avon Loop Planning Association (ALPA)	\$58	D58.1 Oppos		ALPA does not support the land plan change. No red zone land should be allowed for private residential use.	Yes
		D58.2	Oppose	A covenant or restriction must be put on this proposed plan change so that no private residences can be placed back on red zone land.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?	
		FS02.50	Support	Netta Brown	Yes	
Casey Peat	\$59	S59 D59.1 Oppose		I oppose these provisions as they deviate from the current plan released by Christchurch City Counsel [sic] to purpose the redzone as public park, which in turn sets a precedent for the re-privatisation of sections within the redzone. I wish to see the counsel continue with their original plan of purposing this land as a public park, hence rejecting the proposed changes.	No	
		D59.2	Oppose	I wish to see the consultation process for these provisions to be extended and more publicly advertised.		
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?	
		FS02.51	Support	Support Netta Brown		
Avon Otakaro Network	\$60	D60.1	Oppose	The private owner should be limited to existing uses of which they will still benefit from and the land should not be developed for housing that will only benefit the private landowner.		
Matthew Cameron	S61	S61 D61.1		These proposals are a bad idea and should not proceed. No further sections of the red zone should be changed for commercial development.	No	
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?	
		FS02.52	Support	Netta Brown	Yes	
Anna Henderson	S62	S62 D62.1		No residential development in the red zone.	No	
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?	
		FS02.53	Support	Netta Brown	Yes	
Jane Hopkins	S63	D63.1	Oppose	There should be a covenant on this land so that it cannot be developed for housing or on sold for personal gain while remaining in private ownership.	No	

Submitter	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?	
				If the existing owner no longer wishes to reside there, then the land should be offered back to the Christchurch City Council who are the current red zone guardians.	
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.54	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Jessica Adams	S64	D64.1	Oppose	I request that the Council decline the application for the Private Plan Change 11 - Harvey Tce and Fitzgerald Ave given it is Red Zoned land and the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor plan has not yet defined the parameters around new residential housing for this area, there are no specific policies and practices in place by the Christchurch City Council to protect the property rights of existing adjacent residents and given that this land has been "given" away to new owners the Council should not be facilitating or endorsing this development and their financial gain.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.55	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Richmond Residents' and Business Association	\$65	D65.1	Oppose	The private owner should be limited to existing uses of which they will still benefit from and the land should not be developed for housing that will only benefit the private landowner.	No
		D65.2	Oppose	We further request that the process involved in this proposed transaction and land use reclassification be scrupulously investigated to avoid further indications of "politics by stealth". The notification and the time frame involved in this instance are unacceptable.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.56	Support	Netta Brown	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
Mary Crowe	S 66	D66.1	Oppose	Consent for building on the site should be denied and a moratorium put on future building.	No
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.57	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Moira Farrell	\$67	D67.1	Oppose	We hope this Private Plan change is not routinely granted and [we] are not in agreement to any of the proposal. We would like the council to decline the plan change application.	
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.58	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Cheann Carroll	S68	D68.1	Oppose	The current owner should be restricted to the existing uses and the land should not be developed for housing. It is not right for the owners to benefit personally when so many others in the red zone suffered the permanent loss of their private land and dwellings.	
Rob Battersby	\$69	D68.1	Not stated	That the Christchurch City Council offer the red zoned land back to the original owners as of at the time prior to the land being red zoned by the Christchurch 2011 earthquakes.	
Layling Stanbury	\$70	D70.1	Oppose	I oppose the specific provisions and wish to amend them to NO housing or development within that area. At the very least, the previous owners should have first right of refusal as was verbally granted them in talks with Regen Chch. The Council should decline this proposal and seek to understand how they can undo the purchase of this land that falls against the vision of the Red Zone as set out by Regenerate Christchurch and approved by the Government. Regen Chch clearly laid out this space as part of the Green Spine; nothing should be in the Green Spine other than native trees and wetland. Changing this is wasting the millions of dollars spent surveying all of Christchurch, and spitting on the public's right to be heard. This proposed Plan Change is short-sighted and unsustainable.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.59	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Greenwood Roche	\$71	D71.1	Oppose	I seek a decision that declines PC 11 as PC 11 does not give effect to the overall objectives of the Regeneration Plan, as expressed through the SPOARC Zone.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS01.2	Support	Christine Williams	Yes
		FS02.60	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Greening the Red Zone	\$72	S72 D72.1 O		We urge Council to deny this land use change, and require the owner to be confined to the uses as laid out in the Regeneration Plan, which then informed the District Plan.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.61	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Gregory Partridge	\$73	D73.1	Oppose	The Christchurch City Council must reject Private Plan Change 11 - Harvey Tce and Fitzgerald Ave.	Yes
		Further Submission No.	Support or Oppose	Further Submitter	Wishes to be heard?
		FS02.62	Support	Netta Brown	Yes
Mark Thompson	\$74	D74.1	Support	I don't oppose the houses being built on the land but improved speed bumps on Harvey Terrace are needed.	Not stated

SUBMITTERS AND FURTHER SUBMITTERS TO PLAN CHANGE 11 - ADDRESSES FOR SERVICE

Name	Address 1	Address 2	Address 3	Email	Submission number	Wishes To Be Heard
Andrew Kendrick	121 Gayhurst Road	Dallington	Christchurch	adktrademe@gmail.com	S1	Yes
Philippa Manningsmith	566 Hereford Street	Linwood	Christchurch	philippamanningsmith@gmail.com	S2	No
Garry Thompson	22 Chardale Street	Avondale	Christchurch	garry@hermpac.co.nz	S 3	No
Joanne Byrne	29 Patrick St	St Albans	Christchurch	jobyrne.slt@gmail.com	S4	No
Tane Robinson	65 Strathfield Avenue	Dallington	Christchurch	tanerobinson@hotmail.com	S5	Yes
Cris Fulton	6 Mountbatten Street	New Brighton	Christchurch	jetsetgypsies@hotmail.com	S6	No
Yannick Carpentier	66 McGregors Road	Bromley	Christchurch	pariskiwi2001@gmail.com	S 7	No
T Scott	13 Jervois Street	South New Brighton	Christchurch	kiwiamericans@gmail.com	S8	No
Joanne Churcher	45a Swanns Road	Richmond	Christchurch	jochchnz@gmail.com	S 9	No
Ashley Campbell	149A Tancred Street	North Linwood	Christchurch	ashleycampbell007@gmail.com	S10	No
Geraldine Allan	6 A Brooker Ave	Burwood	Christchurch	leopardothedesignercat@gmail.com	S11	Yes
Ewout Van Bruchem	47 Swanns Road	Richmond	Christchurch	ewout.bruchem@lpc.co.nz	S12	No
Sanjaya Withanage	80b Brockworth Place	Riccarton	Christchurch	swithanage@gmail.com	S13	No
Oliver Neal	Flat 1, 15 Draper Street	Richmond	Christchurch	osneal@outlook.com	S14	No
Charlene Herring	2 Clifton Bay	Clifton	Christchurch	charlenelherring@gmail.com	S15	No
David Newton	107 Normans Road	Papanui	Christchurch	djmjnewton@xtra.co.nz	S16	No
Juliet Neill	2 Brenchley Road		Lyttelton	juleitneill813@gmail.com	S17	No
Helmut Berie	24 Fairway Drive	Westhaven	Christchurch	helmut.berie@hotmail.com	S18	No
Rebecca Finch	14 Howard Street	Spreydon	Christchurch	rebeccafinch41@gmail.com	S19	No
Heidi Connolly	212 Olliviers Road	Linwood	Christchurch	heidicass@live.com	S20	No
Kathleen Carney	14B Onepu Lane	Manly	Whangaparāoa	kaycee1956@gmail.com	S21	No
Amr El sawaf	321a Greers Road	Bishopdale	Christchurch	aelsawaf@live.com	S22	No
Mark Timmermans	C/o Jenny Ferris	43 Adams Road	Whangarei	markkaytimmermans@gmail.com	S23	No
Bridie McKenna	17 Pine Avenue		Christchurch	soldierandshowgirl@gmail.com	S24	No
Celia Hogan	6 Heybrige Lane	Hillsborough	Christchurch	seals_tripps@hotmail.com	S25	Yes
Michael Arthur Robinson	2 Baladin Street	Avondale	Christchurch	maar@xtra.co.nz	S26	No

Hayley Guglietta	3 Forth Street	Richmond	Christchurch	hayley@guglietta.co.nz	S27	Yes
Fay Brorens	17 Moyna Ave	Dallington	Christchurch	fbrorens@gmail.com	S28	No
Glenara Family Trust	C/o David Mountfort	259A Ashgrove Tce	Christchurch	david@mountfortplanning.co.nz	S 29	Yes
Regina Speer	156 Huxley Street	Sydenham	Christchurch	say-hi@regina-speer.com	S30	No
BirdCottage	Juliana Venning	2/24 Oxley Avenue	Christchurch	vgjules50@gmail.com	S31	No
Katie Robinson	34 Achilles Street	Dallington	Christchurch	k.tee@hotmail.com	S32	No
Brenda Banning	29 Averill Street	Richmond	Christchurch	blbanning@gmail.com	S33	No
Chris Manson	PO Box 10399	Phillipstown	Christchurch	mansonc@usa.net	S34	Yes
Gae Johns	7 Heriott Close	Burwood	Christchurch	gayjohns@hotmail.com	S35	No
Jennifer Dalziel	62 Chancellor Street	Richmond	Christchurch	jdalziel@inet.net.nz	S36	No
Hamish West	14 Lookaway Place	Huntsbury	Christchurch	Newrew2@hotmail.com	S37	No
Sylvia Thompson-Kriek	65 Laing Crescent	Heathcote	Christchurch	sylviatk215@gmail.com	S38	No
Harrison McEvoy	1/131B McFaddens Road	St Albans	Christchurch	harrisonmcevoy@gmail.com	S39	No
Tanya Utting	6 Chorley Place	St. Martins	Christchurch	tanyauteebb@gmail.com	S40	No
John McKirdy	253 Sparks Rd	Hoon Hay	Christchurch	john.mckirdy@gmail.com	S41	No
Tanya Didham	49 Yarmouth Street	Aranui	Christchurch	monkeyfishnz@gmail.com	S42	No
Brighton Observatory of Environment & Economics Trust	C/o Simon Watts	213 Rocking Horse Road	Christchurch	simon.watts@boee.nz	S43	Yes
Chrissie Williams	104B Southampton Street	Sydenham	Christchurch	chrissie.williams@xtra.co.nz	S44 FS01	No
Marilyn Yurjevich	32 Memorial Ave	Ilam	Christchurch	yurjevichm@orcon.net.nz	S45	No
Carey Poulter	81 Alexandra Street	Richmond	Christchurch	mikeandcarey@hotmail.com	S46	No
Murray James	138 Petrie Street	Richmond	Christchurch	murray@maidengroup.co.nz	S47	Yes
Siobhan Murphy	128 Winters Road	Mairehau	Christchurch	siobhan@icch.co.nz	S48	Yes
Matthew Baird	-	Avonhead	Christchurch	mbairdnz@gmail.com	S49	No
Katia De Lu	Greendale Ave	Avonhead	Christchurch	katiadelu@gmail.com	S50	No
Peter Beck, Eastern Vision and Avon Otakaro Network	102/277 Kilmore Street	Central City	Christchurch	peterjbecknz@gmail.com	S51	Yes
Margaret Stewart	50 Woodville Street	St Albans	Christchurch	margaret.stewart002@gmail.com	S52	Yes

David Jarman	31 Harvey Terrace	Richmond	Christchurch	david@castlebrade.co.nz	S53	Yes
David Daish	5 Ballantyne Ave	Upper Riccarton	Christchurch	david@daish.me	S54	Yes
Lucinda McEvedy	51 Quinns Road	Shirley	Christchurch	loo_squinda@hotmail.com	S55	No
Kazia Tini	5/86 Brockworth Place	Riccarton	Christchurch	kaziatini@outlook.com	S56	No
Julia Stowell	100 Elizabeth Street	Riccarton	Christchurch	jfernstowell@gmail.com	S57	No
Avon Loop Planning Association	C/o Cilla Clements, ALPA Secretary	19 Hurley Street	Christchurch	cillaclements@xtra.co.nz	S58	Yes
Casey Peat	5 Ballantyne Ave	Upper Riccarton	Christchurch	caseypeat@protonmail.com	S 59	No
Avon Otakaro Network	C/o Hayley Guglietta	PO Box 26115 North Avon	Christchurch	hayley@guglietta.co.nz	S60	Yes
Matthew Cameron	17 Truman Road	Bryndwr	Christchurch	mamatthewc@gmail.com	S61	No
Anna Henderson	16 Argosy Place		Blenheim	a.henderson200@gmail.com	S62	No
Jane Hopkins	-	Richmond	Christchurch	janehopkins99@gmail.com	S63	No
Jessica Adams	63 Metehau Street	Marshland	Christchurch	jessica.m@xtra.co.nz	S64	No
Richmond Residents' and Business Association	C/o David Duffy	46A Vogel Street	Christchurch	secretary@wearerichmond.co.nz	S65	No
Mary Crowe	19/7 Bangor Street	Christchurch Central	Christchurch	marycrowe270@yahoo.co.nz	S66	No
Moira Farrell	31 Harvey Tce	Richmond	Christchurch	moira_farrell@hotmail.com	S67	No
Cheann Carroll	10 Randall St	Richmond	Christchurch	cheann.c@gmail.com	S68	No
Rob Battersby	105 Bamford Street	Woolston	Christchurch	info@rhsconsulting.co.nz	S69	No
Layling Stanbury	16B Spencer Street	Addington	Christchurch	lails42@gmail.com	S70	Yes
Greenwood Roche	Attn: Lauren Semple	PO Box 139	Christchurch	Lauren@greenwoodroche.com	S71	Yes
Greening the Red Zone	C/o Tanya Didham	49 Yarmouth Street	Christchurch	greeningtheredzone@gmail.com	S72	Yes
Gregory Partridge	48 Perth Street	Richmond	Christchurch	greg_partridge@hotmail.co.nz	S73	Yes
Mark Thompson	Not stated			markthompsonnz@hotmail.com	S74	Unknown
Netta Brown	PO Box 2020	Christchurch Centre	Christchurch	post@xtra.co.nz	FS02	Yes