

| Date of Response | Topic | Question | Response |
|------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| 8-Sep-22 | Chch Waste Water Plant | <i>Please see PDF Attached</i> | Please see PDF attached |
| 14-Sep-22 | Otautahi Urban Guild | <p><i>1. What did they spend the 180,000 grand on?</i></p> <p><i>2. How did they value the intangible assets?</i></p> <p><i>3. Have the people involved attempted to repay any of this?</i></p> <p><i>4. While the alternate option is to leave it on the books, by leaving it there would it not be a way to limit the people involved applying for more money in the future?</i></p> | <p>1. Please see the PDF attached</p> <p>2. The Guild advise that the intangible assets were valued according to the cost to the Guild of their production; an accounting treatment rather than a formal evaluation.</p> <p>3. The Guild advise that their members donated approximately \$48,000 of their time to the project and waived approximately \$27,00 of invoiced time upon wind-up. When the development on Madras SQ failed the Guild looked for other sites but were unable to find anything suitable. The Guild looked at the possibility of fundraising to repay the loan but they had nothing to fund raise against as, by this time, there was no viable project.</p> <p>4. Please see the PDF attached</p> |

Christchurch Waste Water Plant

- It was said that an insurance committee of the Council meets monthly and they are monitoring the claim very closely. Who is on this committee? Are the minutes public? If not, why not?
The committee is comprised of the Mayor, the Deputy Mayor, Councillor MacDonald and Councillor Davidson. The minutes are not publically available as the content is commercially sensitive.
- Did the insurance cover allow for mitigation in the form of covering the trickling filters in some way?
Yes.
- If the insurance did cover this, what was the reason for not covering the filters to assist with limiting the foul odour for the residents?
A number of options to address the stench that was coming from the trickling filters were investigated, which included covering the structures. However; it was evaluated that the fastest and most effective method was to remove the source of the odour, the filter media.
- If the insurance did not cover this, what was the reason for still not covering the filters to assist with limiting the foul odour for the residents?
See above.
- With regards to CCC's public liability Insurance or any other CCC liability insurance would this cover affected resident's health costs, purchases they have had to make to mitigate the smell inside their homes and cover any damage to properties?
Each case will be considered on its specific circumstances by Council and our insurers.
- Given the concerning slow response from CCC and relevant health authorities with regards to the residents debilitating health issues and now that CCC have heard their concerns and needs, will CCC provide further compensation, reimbursement for their ongoing financial cost directly relating to this air pollution disaster? If not, why?
Council in partnership with HealthNZ have assessed the health concerns and at this point there is no indication from health providers of increased health concerns.
- At the public meeting held on 13th May it was said that "CCC wanted to put a package together for a variety of needs". What is this package please, other than the \$200?
Schools and early learning centres directly affected have been granted funds to support initiatives whilst the smell is at a high level. Pegasus health has been funded to provide a free service to those assessed by them as eligible to cover transport and medical visit costs. Allocation of discretionary funding of up to \$20,000 to each of the Waitai Coastal-Burwood and the Waikura Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Boards for local, targeted support has also been supplied.

- If there is no further package, why is that?
Answered above.
- As it might take some time for the outside putrid stench to go, fresh air inside homes is needed urgently now and could be addressed with eg air purifiers. Is this something CCC will help with? (This should have been done eight months ago).
This has been considered but not seen as a fit for purpose response.
- Would there be the possibility of the mayor's welfare fund as one source of funds?
Yes; the Mayor's Welfare Fund can provide one-off assistance where real need can be shown – freephone 0800 800 169 at all times.
- Will CCC request that the relevant health professionals set up e.g. a mobile caravan to visit streets to support and talk through health and wellbeing issues with residents and where further professional and practical assistance can be found? Not everyone has access to or can afford transport.
This has been discussed but cannot be resourced by the health sector.
- Has there been a conversation with health authorities about the residents with a disability where their mobility issues restrict them from leaving their home easily? What is being done for these residents please?
Health is aware of this and the response from Pegasus Health and their PCW's addresses this concern.
- Are Council door knocking to make sure no residents fall through the cracks? If not, why not? If not, how are CCC making sure no one falls through the cracks? Not everyone is on social media or has transport to access the designated centres for their presy cards.
We haven't undertaken door-knocking because the affected area ranges so greatly depending on the wind direction. We have completed five physical mail-outs to households in the east since November 2021. Depending on the situation and the messaging, this has ranged from the nearest 700 households (with health information related to high hydrogen sulphide levels close to the plant) to 15,000 homes (related to the house discolouration and information on where people can access health-related help). Physical versions of the e-newsletters have been created and delivered to the four community providers, and information plinths were installed at each of the community providers while the community support package was open.
- When affected residents visit their doctor and the doctor is of the opinion that the resident's health issue is directly related to the Wastewater plant odour, could the doctor send the account for the medication needed and appointment costs directly to CCC? If not, why not?
We are working with Pegasus Health's partnership community workers (PCWs) to provide free care for people whose health and/or wellbeing is being negatively affected by the wastewater treatment plant smells. If you have difficulty accessing a family doctor or healthcare provider, phone

Maureen on 022 0103296. These partnership community workers can offer targeted support on behalf of the Council to meet the needs of those who are struggling as a result of the ongoing odours.

- Healthline provides free health advice and information – phone 0800 611 116 at all times.
- 1737 provides free counselling support for Kiwis – phone 1737 at all times.
- Ministry of Social Development (MSD) may be able to provide financial support – phone WINZ 0800 150 149 Monday to Friday 7am-6pm, Saturday 8am-1pm

Otautahi Urban Guild

1. What did they spend the 180,000 grand on?

Please see the attached PDF below

2. How did they value the intangible assets?

The Guild advise that the intangible assets were valued according to the cost to the Guild of their production; an accounting treatment rather than a formal evaluation.

3. Have the people involved attempted to repay any of this?

The Guild advise that their members donated approximately \$48,000 of their time to the project and waived approximately \$27,00 of invoiced time upon wind-up. When the development on Madras SQ failed the Guild looked for other sites but were unable to find anything suitable. The Guild looked at the possibility of fundraising to repay the loan but they had nothing to fund raise against as, by this time, there was no viable project.

4. While the alternate option is to leave it on the books, by leaving it there would it not be a way to limit the people involved applying for more money in the future?

Possibly. Whether Council decides to forgo the loan or not the Funding Team will keep a record of this experience as we do with all loans. We will apply this knowledge to our evaluation of future loan or grant applications. Staff will always look at an applicant's current and past financial relationship with Council. I have discussed this with our finance team who believe forgoing the loan puts a clean end to the process. In fairness to the Guild, Council made a decision to grant a loan knowing the risks involved especially around the experimental nature of the concept and the fact that there was no meaningful security. The potential value of the loan was up to \$450,000 but Council put in safeguards that resulted in the Guild only drawing \$180,000, Council did this to mitigate known risks. Staff recommending that Council forgo a community loan is a last resort action which after careful consideration we are recommending in this instance.

Otautahi Urban Guild – Madras Square Project Cost Summary

Draft – March 2022

Summary

- Total project costs of \$305,089 were incurred over the project lifespan
- \$230,000 of cash contributions were received from Otakaro and the Christchurch City Council.
- \$75,089 of costs were absorbed/unpaid by shareholders (24.6% of all costs)

| Madras Square Project Costs | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Legal | Lane Neave | \$ 13,014 |
| Creative collateral and web design | Strategy | \$ 10,202 |
| Architectural | Jasmax | \$ 15,180 |
| QS | Home | \$ 1,000 |
| Commercial assessment | Gemelli / Urban Apostles | \$ 28,211 |
| Sale and Purchase project management | Gemelli / Urban Apostles | \$ 9,111 |
| Community Loan project management | Ohu | \$ 24,096 |
| Co-design collateral | Urban Advisory | \$ 1,615 |
| Co-design project management | Urban Apostles | \$ 24,453 |
| Investor term sheet development | Gemelli / Urban Apostles | \$ 25,934 |
| Capital raise project management | Gemelli / Urban Apostles | \$ 18,222 |
| Valuation | Ford Baker | \$ 1,850 |
| Project Execution Plan | Trustrum | \$ 450 |
| Geotech Report peer review | Engeo | \$ 2,500 |
| Development Management | Urban Apostles | \$ 22,779 |
| Promotion - workshop design and management | Ohu/Urban Apostles | \$ 11,280 |
| Development Management & planning | Proform | \$ 7,176 |
| Demand management / Community building oversight | Viva | \$ 5,098 |
| Project Administration | Gemelli | \$ 29,035 |
| Governance / shareholder project management | Shareholder | \$ 46,764 |
| Onsite signage | Leon White | \$ 1,000 |
| Bank fees | ANZ/Kiwibank | \$ 78 |
| Flights | Jetstar | \$ 77 |
| Urban Design advisory & oversight | Matapopore | \$ 5,863 |
| Filing fees | IRD | \$ 100 |
| Total costs | | \$ 305,089 |
| Sources of Funding | | |
| Otakaro | | \$ 50,000 |
| CCC Community Loan | | \$ 180,000 |
| Gemelli costs absorbed (unpaid) | | \$ 15,944 |
| Urban Apostles costs absorbed (unpaid) | | \$ 10,868 |
| Shareholder governance project management costs absorbed (unpaid) | | \$ 48,277 |
| Total sources of funding | | \$ 305,089 |