

Submissions on any future use of the Apollo Project Centre by a community recreation group

#	Sub. ID	Do you have any comments about the future of the Apollo Project Centre in Jellie Park?	First name	Last name	Organisation	Role
Support for use of the Apollo Centre by a community recreation group						
1	39656	This would be a fabulous venue for Delta Rhythmic gymnastics!	Cassandra	Robb		
2	39662	Definitely should be used for a community centre .. I would have loved to have run something like that in there .our youth surely do need something to keep them occupied. I look forward to see what becomes of itthe	Shalenah	Evans		
3	39666	I think it will be great to be used as a community space.	Karin	Scheepers		
5	39759	<p>As an Archery club that currently has no indoor shooting capability at our current venue, we have been looking at a suitable indoor venue to shoot indoor Postal competitions, hold coaching/Performance workshops (we have a number of International Archers as members).</p> <p>Our problem is storage, archery targets are not small or light, having a venue which has walls capable of supporting a safety net and space to shoot 18 meters (25 is even better).</p> <p>The Apollo Project centre may meet this, but the cost is just to high for a small minority sports club.</p> <p>However would like to work with the new committee that will run it, to see if any agreement can be made, especially with the possible closure of the Christchurch Archery club if they cannot find a new suitable venue.</p>	Colin	Tucker	Grey Goose Wing Archery Society	President
6	39781	As a regular user of Jellie Park, I have no objection to a recreation group taking over the existing facility. May I suggest that preference be given to a youth group wanting the facility.	Joy	McKelvey		
7	39785	I support the facility going to a community sports group but not a large franchise. The tenant shouldn't cost the rate payer money but not be about pure profit. I like how Wharenuī pool supports a local small affordable gym like Boxfit Brothers and there should be opportunities for people with passion to operate a business within the space	Tessa	Smith		
8	39793	<p>The Christchurch Whitewater Club is looking for a space to store rafts, kayaks and other whitewater equipment until such time as the Avon River Hub is constructed.</p> <p>They would be interested in tenanting a storage (or meeting space ?) ideally of area 40-50m2 with external access.</p> <p>The duration of this tenancy would ideally be up to 5 years.</p>	Crispin	Schurr	Canterbury Whitewater Club	Vice President
14	39864	I would like to see this used for community groups. Also the elderly activities - I mean activities for the elderly !! Subsidised keep fit, yoga, stretch classes perhaps. Also a wellness clinic - classes at Jellie Park are too expensive for a lot of older people. You need to address the parking problem. Groups should be encouraged to park at the Greers Road end of Jellie Park. There are lots of parking spaces there. The Orbiter bus stops outside the Greers Road entrance to Jellie Park. Parking in Chateau Drive causes	Marilyn	Bishop		

		many problems and will reflect on the groups using the space. The present users can be noisy and often park over drives or opposite driveways.				
19	39879	You could put in some thermal pools and spas. Henry aged 8	Henry	(Name provided)		
24	39981	I agree that the facility should be made available to community groups, as long as those groups would enable the building to booked and used by others for special events. I would also be interested in understanding if there could be opportunities for use of the park and facility as a joint booking for some events.	Claire	Fletcher		
27	40226	1) To build a new facility for high performance centre. It is my concern this is an unwarranted and unnecessary unaffordable expense when a facility, purpose built, nearly new exists. 2) Fresher air, quiet environment at Jellie Park must be superior to what would be offered in the city centre. 3) Parking is easier, cheaper, more readily available than in the city 4) Don't put my rates up to pay for a new facility. Please.	Barbara	Smith		
Do not support the use of the Apollo Centre by a community recreation group						
9	39804	Hello, we are not support to have the temporary building (Apollo project centre in Jellie Park) 1. Too many cars parking in front of my house 2. Too noise early in the morning (5:30 - 6am) close the car doors, while we are still sleeping. 3. When our friends come and visit us there is no car parks left at all. Always full. Both sides of the street also full of cars 4. It is very dangerous for us to drive the car from our driveway. 5. There is an old man in our house. Two times nearly got car accident when he drove the car from our driveway, because he can't see very clearly. 6. This is residential area, not a commercial area.	Sylvia Law Pick	Hoe		
10	39805	Hi, I don't want to have the Apollo Project Centre in Jellie Park. That is only temporary building 1. Too many cars are parking in the both sides of the street 2. Very dangerous for me and family to drive from my driveway. Can't see very clearly when the car is coming. 3. Too nosie 4. Parkings are for friends and visitors only	Yuxian	Li		
13	39863	This was built we believe without consent / permit and certainly no residential neighbour consultation. As nearby residents the large number of cars parked in Chateau Drive has totally degraded and devalued the residential area with car movements from 5:30 am to late evening. Not helped by car horns, loud music, dropped rubbish, loud talking and speeding etc. The parking on both sides of our street has narrowed it to a dangerous level. We were informed from the beginning this was a temporary building and have been hugely looking forward to its removal. It is a shock ad extremely disappointing to read consideration being given to ongoing use. This facility must be removed and the area reinstated to park land as Mr. Jellie's gift intended. We look forward to your understanding and support of our comments.	PJ & DJ	McGill		
20	39897	I do not think the proposed use by community recreation groups should happen. This centre was a temporary use site for after the earthquakes. My understanding is due to the earthquakes and the necessity for a venue no community consultation took place. Over this time parking has been a local issue for residents in near by streets namely Chateau Drive and Matisse Place.	Michele	Macaulay		

		<p>Users of this temporary facility park on both sides of the local roads causing traffic issues on these streets due to the visibility issues. There is extremely limited parking if any on site from the access behind Jellie Park and access from Greers Road is generally chained off. Jellie Park parking is also limited.</p> <p>This issue as well as the unknown noise factor to the surrounding areas makes this an unattractive option for local residents who would have no control over this.</p> <p>This area is also used extensively by school children on their way to and from school and the proposal would potentially create issues around safety of the recreational park area in its current layout. There is also the Laura Fergusson Trust residents living next door who use the local park area.</p> <p>This area has enough "activity" groups associated with it and the current proposal would mean unknown amounts of traffic, noise and safety and security problems.</p> <p>Therefore I do not agree with the proposal as it stands, also considering this was a TEMPORARY building .</p>				
26	40022	<p>It was disappointing to learn from a neighbour of a proposed option again of retaining this facility and offering it use to community groups. Given the response to the January 2016 survey (50% wanted it removed) I would have thought a leaflet drop in letter boxes would have been a better gauge of the neighbourhoods wishes. Our opinion remains the same "we want the temporary facility removed" as well as, no extra car parks. Consider how many schools, university and med centre expansion impact on this area; church ad nearby shopping centres all within a 1/2 mile radius.</p>	Dianne & Graeme	Clarke		
Views on any future use of the building dependent on response to current issues						
4	39677	<p>On first reading the "Have your Say" pamphlet over the future of the 'High Peformance Centre' at Jellie Park, I thought, "here we go again!". I will give the Council due credit though, as 5 years ago, I accused the Community board and City Council staff at a panel hearing of making changes to Jellie Park by stealth using the Management Plan as a tool over the matter of converting parkland into car parking. It appears lessons may have been learned in the interim.</p> <p>Pressure on car parking as been ever increasing since the expansion of pool facilities along with the gymnasium and fitness centre and if the use of the Apollo (HPSNZ) building is extended to a wider number of groups involving a greater number of participants, the worry is that the Jellie Park management will attempt to convert more parkland into parking spaces or that the roadside parking will be placed under even greater strain.</p> <p>The Apollo Projects Centre was built in 2011 as a temporary structure to last 5 years and be removed. One presumes the design and construction was based on the view it was only required to last for that period of time and could be easily dismantled. That it has remained in place for a further 5 years doesn't change the fact that it was not originally built for long term existence. That leads to possible "expansion by stealth" to bring it into line with building codes and "change of use" requirements once building ownership has been transferred to other entities or even Council ownership which was proposed in the draft Management Plan (2016), but excluded from the published version.</p> <p>HPSNZ no doubt will be encouraging sporting bodies to take ownership of the building as they will not have to bear the cost of the building removal plus restoration of the site to original parkland as was specified in the original authorisation. The Act under the which permission was given to temporarily use</p>	Anthony	Chandler		

		<p>park land to erect the building expires this year, therefore Government permission under the Reserves Act will be required to retain it.</p> <p>The most cost effective solution for the Council is to hold HPSNZ to honour the original agreement to demolish & restore the parkland, but If in the end the decision is made to retain the building, a requirement should be that the footprint the entire facility occupies at present should not be allowed to be extended at any time in the future and no further land be subverted into parking. Chateau Drive residents may have an issue with future car parking in their street, but presumably they will submit on their own behalf.</p>				
11	39823	<p>We wish to object to the complex being used for more community recreation activities because Chateau Drive is already cluttered with parked cars and people speeding dangerously along at all hours on way to the building.</p> <p>Unless you can guarantee all access will be from Greers Road and/or Ilam Road and parking will be on the property, we strongly disagree with the proposal to go ahead.</p> <p>Comments in duplicate submission: We wish to object to the building being used for more recreation activities because Chateau Drive is already cluttered with parked cars and people dangerously speeding along to the complex.</p> <p>Unless you can guarantee all cars will access the facility from Greers Road and /or Ilam Road and all parking will be on the actual property we strongly object to the building being used for more people.</p> <p>We understand that the permit was only for a temporary building. If it is intended for permanent use we think it should have to apply for a new resource consent. As neighbours, we should have been notified.</p>	Jan and Colin	Notley		
15	39866	I think it is okay to lease as long as the car parking does not take more land in any way.	marilyn	wells		
16	39869	<p>I was unable to attend the community meeting Tues 4th due to my salon commitments. I do have a number of comments - happy to see the building retained and repurposed as long as care is taken over the landscaping upkeep and maintenance and that the footprint/current fencing and boundaries aren't extended. The trees around the building need to be retained so it is effectively camouflaged from the Lake and sports fields. A similar sporting use would suit the building well and be crucial for my ongoing support.</p> <p>Access should only be from Ilam Road side/ Jellie Park pool complex carpark. I would not support the reopening of vehicle access through the park from Greers Road. Parking should be encouraged within the existing parks available. Consideration should be given to Chateau Drive becoming a residents only parking area. Although saying that I'm OK with occasional overflow from events like Weetbix, 30 degree days at Jellie Park Pool, just not so keen on people racing in daily to get to a class, training or fitness session and the street being used for permanent ongoing parking. Currently the lighting and sound impact from the complex is very minimal, I would not want this to change.</p>	Robyn	Prinsep		
17	39872	I and fellow residents of chateau dr, are concerned with the volume of parking on our parking area by the park. As it is very dangerous to exit through the cars... I believe there should be parking and access inside the park for this facility, to make it safer.	Lynda	Tucker		
18	39874	Yes. This was intended to be a temporary building and that was accepted by the community as a result of the changes following the earthquakes. Since that time the parking around Chateau Drive has	Deb	Conaghan		

		<p>become quite dangerous. There are cars parking both sides of the road causing a reduction in clear visibility coming from either direction. There is little consideration from many of the athletes arriving in the early hours of the morning banging car doors, talking etc.</p> <p>Originally there was parking allowed inside the facility grounds for the athletes which we were told was withdrawn and they were no longer allowed to park in there, since that time it has at times been quite dangerous. Originally the park was gifted by James Jellie in the 1950's,. It was then that James decided to gift his land to the people of Christchurch in the form of a recreational area, i.e: not for commercial which is what it will become as the council will be earning revenue off it. This should be returned to parkland. use.https://www.peelingbackhistory.co.nz/jellie-park-opened-24th-september-1960/</p> <p>Before any decisions are made and it is leased out to a community group we as residents should be given insight into who is interested as depending on their level of responsibility to the community and surrounding area would influence whether or not this should go ahead.</p>				
21	39912	<p>Living as a neighbouring resident to the original building of the High Performance facility, I do not recall any public consent process from the council prior to the temporary facility being built. Now that its original planned use is terminating I believe the future of this structure and its management could adversely affect many of the local residents.</p> <p>I am not in favour of this facility being sold for unknown future use. The areas of concern are as follows:</p> <p>1/ Parking facilities were poorly planned and are inadequate for this facility and current users utilise street parking on both sides of Chateau Drive and other a joining streets. This creates a threat to the safe traffic flow in these relatively narrow streets.</p> <p>2/ Vehicle access to the facility is extremely restricted and controlled by the council. Further access and parking close to the building threatens existing trees and frisbee golf activity.</p> <p>3/ Noise from the facility could become a significant issue for local residents as there is no indication exactly what the building would be used for and at what hours of the day.</p> <p>4/ A full consent process should now take place and the concerns of local residents should be heard as this process did not occur prior to the construction of this planned temporary facility and building.</p> <p>I would appreciate some feedback from the council on these concerns.</p>	Grant	Macaulay		

22	39925	<p>Re: Apollo Project Centre</p> <p>If council is considering open this facility to the public, council shall provide enough parking space for these facility.</p> <p>There is a great advantage of this site. The Parking space may available very easily from using a little space of existing ground of Jellie Park.</p> <p>We just need enlarge the existing driveway to the Greers Road. People may also use some existing council's parkings on the Greers Road as well.</p> <p>Establish these parking and enlarge existing driveway to the Greers road will not cost much for council and it could avoid people parking on the nearby community street.</p> <p>A reasonable arrangement to avoid people parking in Chateau Dr or its internal lanes and place.</p> <p>People here have great concern for the future events may disturb our daily life here that are already existing for more than 20 years. Some people are talking about park all our cars on the street to stop these disturbance.</p> <p>We do appreciate for your kind attention and support.</p>	Alexander	Tan		
23	39963	<p>Firstly, we appreciate the time and effort you and your colleagues put into the recent leaflet letterbox drop in our area and the frank discussion we had on the 4th of May at the Apollo centre meeting rooms.</p> <p>I serve as the administrator of the Chateau Drive Community Facebook site, established in March last year during the lockdowns as a way to assist any of our neighbours and the local community. I am not responding on behalf of this group as I do not have a mandate for this. I am responding as an individual although many of the points I raise have been discussed by others with me and on our FB page.</p> <p>It was very helpful to discuss the background with David Bailey and I am sure he now better understands the Chateau Drive community's frustrations with parking, noise and behaviour since the HPF arrived.</p> <p>This building was built as a temporarily structure to support our City's athletes and was foisted on the local community in 2013. It was intended to be removed in April 2016 (5 years after the earthquakes) which has now been extended to the end of 2021 (10 years after the earthquakes). To now find out the intention is to lease the HPF/Apollo building for a further 20 years is a significant change of plan and use and although it is a fine building and well maintained, we are concerned, with the wrong tenants, it could very quickly destroy the communities goodwill and lead to issues and opposition in the future.</p> <p>For the record we did specifically discuss the Jellie Park Management Plan (JPMP) with you and David who suggested there is now a wider definition for the Parks use, defining it as leisure however Mr Jellie donated the land in April 1956 for use as a Recreation & Sports reserve – any expansion of the use of the building as a cultural centre, migrant centre or religious purposes does not meet the definition of recreation & sport.</p> <p>For clarity and brevity, I have listed the local issues with the following bullet points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Parking in Chateau Drive in-front of the residences has been a confronting issue. Four years ago the HPF management required the athletes to park on Chateau Drive which has caused significant disruption, anxiety and unpleasantness for the residents. 2. Noise (athletes 5am early morning starts) – initially athletes, coaches and HPF management parked 	Ian	McInnes		

		<p>inside the controlled gate from the Pools at the HPF, or in the Jellie Park Pool parking but since 2018 the athletes were told to park in Chateau Drive and access the HPF via our walkway.</p> <p>3. Speeding down Chateau Drive to access the walkway to the HPF (arrival and departure).</p> <p>4. Assuming the building is leased, who will be accountable for Graffiti removal, site maintenance and the group participants behaviour.</p> <p>5. What responsibility does Council take and what rights do the residents/ratepayers have if the lessee fails to discharge their obligations and liabilities over time.</p> <p>6. Where does the ultimate responsibility lay for permanent removal of the buildings if the lessee defaults (20 year building design should have been removed in 2016 then extended to 2021 so intended to be removed by 2033).</p> <p>7. The existing trees in CDP are historical and magnificent, will these be protected under the Parks Management plan?</p> <p>8. Is there any intention to encroach into the CDP or Chateau Drive with Pool overflow parking when events are in Jellie Park or for HPF access, or any new lessees' requirements, etc?</p> <p>Again, thank you for communicating the process, guidelines and timelines.</p> <p>We would appreciate confirmation that this submission has been received and look forward to working through any issues with you and your team in the future.</p>				
25	39993	<p>While it seems very wasteful not to repurpose the building, as local residents we are concerned about- Parking provision- there is limited on site parking so if the use changes to one with potentially higher numbers using the facility, what is the plan for how to handle parking both on site and in the surrounding streets-without cutting down trees please!</p> <p>User numbers- The leaflet sent out doesn't indicate the current number of daily users and by how much that may change. Presumably this will be higher if it is opened up to a wider base than elite athletes only. It's hard to get an idea on impact of potential impacts without this information.</p>	Jane	Orange		