Submissions who support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street (42 submissions)

Submission ID	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20481	Liz Van Mountfort	Coco Gifts	yes	Any help for local business is appreciated.
20405	Patricia Veronese		yes	Hate the new road layout. Not at all friendly for cars, must drive the shops mad as I can never find a park. To drop the grandchildren off at the Kindy is a nightmare!!
20318	Mitchell Gibson		yes	Rutland street yes because of the shops, but there are no shops on malvern street so no for that street
20301	Mike O'Grady	CDHB	yes	
20274	Maree Bowden	Canterbury Rugby	yes	Parking changes to Malvern Street will not allow for easy functioning for our day to day business requirements.
20251	COLIN MANSBRIDGE		yes	
20235	Scott Robertson	Crusaders	yes	Malvern St is vital to our larger organizations parking without it is challenge for us to function. We use the shops the across the road just as much or more than anyone!
20216	Samuel Matenga		yes	

Submission ID	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20203	David McLennan		yes	The proposed changes to Malvern Street parking would have serious implications to everyday business for Crusaders/Canterbury rugby, with our athletes in particular - many of whom park down Malvern Street to attend training at Rugby Park. Parking restrictions would force them to park further away (mostly down adjacent side streets which would then have potential negative repercussions for residents living down these streets)
20190	Dallas McLeod		yes	Need all day parking for rugby training at rugby park
20189	Cullen Grace		yes	
20188	Tom Christie		yes	I agree that the parking change in Rutland street would make access to shops more readily available. I disagree with the proposal to Malvern street as it would be a massive inconvenice to workers in the area. I think it would be more appropriate to enable a strip of parking on Hawkeberry Ave, as this would be more suitable for people wanting to park to visit the shops (less walking distance etc.) as the main shop on the block would be Meshino and that would suit perfectly.
20177	Finlay Brewis		yes	With these grounds primary for many players training, having a 60 would be very inconvenient for many people as usual times for trainings are easily over 60mins
20162	Tony Smail		yes	Hawkesbury Avenue and Rutland St parking is available for shops
20152	Tim Bateman	Crusaders	yes	I need to park on Malvern St for work. There are no shops down that street so it's unfair to say it's for shops parking!

Submission ID	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20148	Neil Tucker		yes	Parking limited to 60min is not appropriate for this road. Kids sport and social activities around Malvern Park should not be limited in duration. Does not support Crusaders/Canterbury Rugby organizations which already have limited parking options.
20138	Luke Romano		yes	With reguards to the malvern street parking. Obviously you know that the crusaders and canterbury train at rugby park. Your proposed 60min parking area is mainly used by crusaders/canterbury players who are "working" at rugby park. We work for periods longer than 1 hour. This would force us to park down the side streets in front of peoples houses which would probably result in complaints. There is ample parking around the shops on rutland and hawkesbury ave.
20117	Grace Jones	Canterbury Rugby Union	yes	This part of Malvern Street helps support parking for the Canterbury Rugby Union and the Crusaders due to the large number of staff & players in the business. It is also a main source of public parking to service events hosted at Rugby Park - Farah Palmer Cup games, Crusader Knights games, Crusaders Public Trainings and Open Day's. Any reduction in the space would put severe pressure on the surrounding streets.
20113	John Miles	Crusaders Rugby	yes	I see no point in moving the cars that park on Malvern St as at present where they park there are no residential properties and shifting the parkers would only mean relocating to outside peoples homes.
20098	Bree Jones		yes	This would inconvenience a lot of players, visitors and rugby supporters coming to Rugby Park. 60mins is not long enough for people to come in for meetings, training sessions etc. Changing the time frames here would only mean we have to divert all these cars to another area.

Submission ID	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20093	Leesa Coyle		yes	What about creating a few more parks on Rutland Street by marking the parking spaces with more care as many more cars could fit in. Currently the road marking is appalling and inconsiderate.
20092	Stephan van Gruting	Crusaders	yes	I am a staff member for the Crusaders and we don't always have enough spaces in our staff carpark for everyone. Majority of the time I will use Malvern street and would be there for more than 60 minutes. We also have players that use the street parking on Malvern and this time restriction would effect the number of parks available to them.
20091	Bryan Bloomfield	Coco Gifts	yes	We have been very unhappy with the process right the start, it has done irreparable damage to our business but I know the council and cycle nazis don't care about that. In any case any possibility of more parking is welcome, I just wish you'd listened to us a couple of years ago. FYI, and contrary to your propoganda, cyclists do not spend more than motorists.
20089	Sarah Mason		yes	I am in favour of changes for Rutland Street but oppose the changes to Malvern Park, which I do not think are necessary. The reality is that there is a large business across the road from these shops whose staff car park isn't large enough to cater to all staff and players. Cutting off Malvern Street parking to the players would just cause issues elsewhere down other residential streets. One could argue that the Rugby itself brings in a large amount of visitors and customers for these said business that they would otherwise not see.
20086	Chloe Coutts	Canterbury Rugby Football Union	yes	This is a residential area and the part of Malvern Street is used for the business purposes
20085	Karla Davidson		yes	

Submission ID	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20071	A.G. Talbot		yes	Sounds logical in terms of supporting local small businesses and trying to reduce the embaressing obesity epidemic in NZ; 75% of the population are either obese or overweight and NZ is the third most obese country in the OECD. (These figures are correct). People should park further away and walk, rather than getting sicker by driving everywhere and costing more in health expenditure; diabetes, dialysis etc. NZers are car addicted and consistently overeat too much.
20061	Gareth Duder		yes	Helping the customers find parking space for the shops is great and the 30minute limit on Rutland for a coffee stop will be great but the most people using Malvern street park there all day due to the nature of their work at Rugby park or staff working in the same shops. 60mins here is irrelevant and would only push the parking down another side street
20058	Grant Keenan	Canterbury Rugby	yes	We need to have no limits on Malvern Street as this is where players park to attend sessions at Rugby park plus there are people who park there with kids to play on Malvern park, have picnics etc. In addition various people from the public use Malvern Park for Health & Fitness.
20052	Rebecca Archibald		yes	Malvern Street is a residential area and also gives access to the Malvern Park. You could just as easily add 60 parking zones on Hawkesbury Ave, which hasn't been suggested, probably for the same reason, that it's residential.
20047	Jackie Forbes		yes	I understand the need for the parking restriction on Rutland Street in support of the shops, I am all for supporting them. I do not agree with the restriction on Malvern Street, this is a residential street with no or very little impact on the shopping area on Rutland Street, to add to that there have already been free parks removed in the area due to the cycle way fairs fair.

Submission ID	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20046	Tony Smail	Canterbury Rugby Football Union	yes	There is sufficient parking along Rutland St and Hawkesbury Avenue to satisfy the parking for the businesses on the opposite side of the road. The CRFU has had to pay for its own parking area to accomodate its people where the businesses have not. There is no justification for there to be parking changes on Malvern St, customers are equally able to park and walk which would not be a major imposition should Hawkesbury Avenue be full?
20045	Tom Leov	Canterbury Crusaders	yes	This is a street where multiple professional rugby players and support staff park for work at rugby park. Making this a P60 would be an issue for most of our professional players who training upwards of 5 hours a day.
20044	Sophie O'Sullivan	Canterbury Rugby Union	yes	I think having 30 min parking for the shops on Rutland is a good idea as there are a lot of people who stop for food & coffee. Malvern St is used by a lot in our business and also people who live in the area. There isn't a shortage of parks on Rutland St and making Malvern 60 mins would cause a lot of unnecessary difficulty in finding parking for games at Rugby Park (over 60 mins) and workers in that building.
20043	Sarah Munro	CRFU	yes	Please do not place these changes on Malvern st. This space is needed for a mixture of residential and business purposes so is not appropriate.
20041	Kahu Carey		yes	Rutland is where the bike lane is so fair enough. Malvern Street is used for long term parking at present. I park there also for long periods of time.
20018	Arthur McGregor	_	yes	

Submission ID	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20014	Rikke Betts		yes	
20009	Meredyth Anderson		yes	How can the restrictions be enforced?
20008	Keith Turner	Private individual	yes	I believe these proposal are important to support the viability these local businesses and the viability of St Albans as a suburb. It is unfortunate that more off street parking is not available for people working at Rugby Park.
20007	Allan Taunt		yes	For people need to travel by car (e.g. those that are difficulty walking a distance), parking duration limits will help them get parking closer to shops. This proposal is a good idea as it helps people in the community that may need it.
20004	Shane Fletcher		yes	This seems to be a direct prevention of parking availability to the users of Rugby Park. With the new cycleway drastically impacting the safety of the frontages of shops/businesses and general users along this area of road it has created an extra stress for potential clients. I support the limitations of parking for the proposed area of Rutland Street as this is more relative to the businesses in the small block but it its not very often that Malvern Street area beside Malvern Park has cars parked along it. Could 2-3 parks at the entrance to Malvern Street - off Rutland Street have a time limit?? I am sure the creative (not restrictive) planners can address this constructively.

Submissions who do not support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street (21 submissions)

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20248	Burns Mills	Crusaders Academy	no	It will be inconvenient for me and my peers because I will be training in the afternoon and morning and will not be able to park my car there without getting a ticket
20247	Matthew Letoga		no	
20193	Rameka Poihipi	Crusaders academy	no	Malvern street is a primary parking option for trainings. Trainings last the whole day, by putting a time limit on the parking makes it very inconvenient
20191	Quinten Strange	Crusaders	no	Money making scheme, silly idea as we can't shift our cars every hour because our training is longer that that time
20186	Zach Mckay		no	A full squad of super rugby players and an academy would have to park a couple streets over and then you end up having 100 odd cars down someone elses street/streets! Doesn't make sense having it 60min parks when half of those streets are in fact the rugby park facilities and dont have any houses on one whole side
20185	Finlay Joyce		no	
20179	Thomas Edwards	Canterbury rugby	no	
20178	Fergus Burke		no	No we as a house on do not support this as I feel it's just a way to make money out of us. We are a flat of 5 people and this would make it impossible for us as park our cars here!
20170	Joe Moody		no	I do not support the proposed changes especially not on Malvern street. It only promotes parking down other residential streets such as gosset street and annoy residents by limiting their parking spaces

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20155	Braydon Ennor	BNZ Crusaders	no	I work full time in that area and those roads are the only ones available that I am able to park on 8am-5pm. As my work does not have an employee car park
20154	Alanna Wright		no	I totally oppose all of the car parks being restricted. We have no parking outside our houses due to the cycle way and there are times during the day that we may have to park there. These parks were to help the residents. Access to our property at times is difficult due to heavy traffic and on occasions we may park across the road if any parks are available. Which is not too often. With regards to the shop owners having concerns one shop owner in particular from the hair salon parks in these spaces most of the time as do a couple from the rugby club. We need some parks non restrictive for the residnce who have lost there parking. Take the car parks away from the rugby club on Malvern St.
20147	William Harmon		no	This plan seems highly unneeded, and will cause more problems than it will solve. Keep it the same please!
20146	Harrison Allan	Crusaders	no	I travel to Rugby park for work everyday and rely on those parking spaces being available as my working hours are typically between 7am till 5pm. i strongly disagree with this proposal
20142	Will Jordan	Crusaders	no	Will create a big inconvenience to workers in that area. Don't see why it's neccessary given that it's not a main road etc, also a public area with Malvern park there
20137	Ryan Crotty	NZRU	no	Ridiculous for the players that use the rugby park facilities. The people who use those parks consistently support the local shops anyway. Parking wardens frequently attempt to make their quota around that area as is.
20136	Jord Taufu		no	You cant put restrictions times outside rugby park, I work for the crusaders rugby team and we are there alot longer than 30-60min

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20103	Berni Aulavemai		no	How many times will you blantantly lie to residents of Rutland Street? You told them when the cycleway was being proposed they would have one car park on the road available to them. The cycleway was built and all car parks were removed. They were then told that Rugby Park would offer residents parking after hours, only for vehicles to be towed away. Now you are removing any parking that is relatively close to them. YET because of extra staff that work at Rugby Park, the players and surrounding business (Meshino Cafe is the worst for this), and them parking where you want patrons, you are removing all long term parking. How about asking the workers and those training to park further away? Or down the road? Why is it only Monday - Friday? The cafe is open in the weekend. There are rugby games and training every Saturday. When do the residents matter in residential areas? When will you stop lying to us?
20070	Blair Baxter		no	
20042	Murray Eves	CRFU	no	Rutland is a busy street with shopping and cycle ways. Whereas Malvern St is less busy and it allows visitors/staff to park

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Rutland Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20016	Margaret Aulavemai		no	It surprises me that you want to implement changes due to insufficient parking for the local shops, as it is the shop owners and workers who are using the parks on both Rutland and Malvern, it is also the staff from Rugby Park. There are no parks for the property owners/tenants (6 properties) on the right hand side of Rutland Street travelling towards Malvern, we were promised parks but someone changed the plans without involving us, there is no spare parking on Innes Road, or Hawkesbury Ave, it is not unusual for property owners in these properties to have to park as far away as Browns Rd, which is a long way to carry groceries. The CCC have created this unacceptable situation themselves as they did not listen to "the People", you have spent an enormous amount of money for a huge very wide cycle way that is not used by very many, and you upset a lot of property owners, we were told that at least 1000 people would be using the cycleway every day, this is nonsense, I have taken time off work and completed counts. I have also counted at weekends, the most I have counted using it is 30 and that was on a Saturday.
20010	Carmel Olsen		no	I use the hairdresser in the Rutland St shops. Often when attending the hairdresser 2 hours is needed to get cut and colour done. It's already hard to find a park that will accommodate this time frame. This change will make it next to impossible.

Submissions who support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street (19 submissions)

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20481	Liz Van Mountfort	Coco Gifts	yes	Any help for local business is appreciated.
20405	Patricia Veronese		yes	Hate the new road layout. Not at all friendly for cars, must drive the shops mad as I can never find a park. To drop the grandchildren off at the Kindy is a nightmare!!
20301	Mike O'Grady	CDHB	yes	
20247	Matthew Letoga		yes	
20216	Samuel Matenga		yes	
20154	Alanna Wright		yes	I totally oppose all of the car parks being restricted. We have no parking outside our houses due to the cycle way and there are times during the day that we may have to park there. These parks were to help the residents. Access to our property at times is difficult due to heavy traffic and on occasions we may park accross the road if any parks are available. Which is not to often. With regards to the shop owners having concerns one shop owner in particular from the hair salon parks in these spaces most of the time as do a couple from the rugby club. We need some parks non restrictive for the residnce who have lost there parking. Take the car parks away from the rugby club on Malvern St.

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20103	Berni Aulavemai		yes	How many times will you blantantly lie to residents of Rutland Street? You told them when the cycleway was being proposed they would have one car park on the road available to them. The cycleway was built and all car parks were removed. They were then told that Rugby Park would offer residents parking after hours, only for vehicles to be towed away. Now you are removing any parking that is relatively close to them. YET because of extra staff that work at Rugby Park, the players and surrounding business (Meshino Cafe is the worst for this), and them parking where you want patrons, you are removing all long term parking. How about asking the workers and those training to park further away? Or down the road? Why is it only Monday - Friday? The cafe is open in the weekend. There are rugby games and training every Saturday. When do the residents matter in residential areas? When will you stop lying to us?
20093	Leesa Coyle		yes	What about creating a few more parks on Rutland Street by marking the parking spaces with more care as many more cars could fit in. Currently the road marking is appalling and inconsiderate.
20091	Bryan Bloomfield	Coco Gifts	yes	We have been very unhappy with the process right the start, it has done irreparable damage to our business but I know the council and cycle nazis don't care about that. In any case any possibility of more parking is welcome, I just wish you'd listened to us a couple of years ago. FYI, and contrary to your propoganda, cyclists do not spend more than motorists.
20085	Karla Davidson		yes	

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20071	A.G. Talbot		yes	Sounds logical in terms of supporting local small businesses and trying to reduce the embaressing obesity epidemic in NZ; 75% of the population are either obese or overweight and NZ is the third most obese country in the OECD. (These figures are correct). People should park further away and walk, rather than getting sicker by driving everywhere and costing more in health expenditure; diabetes, dialysis etc. NZers are car addicted and consistently overeat too much.
20042	Murray Eves	CRFU	yes	Rutland is a busy street with shopping and cycle ways. Whereas Malvern St is less busy and it allows visitors/staff to park
20018	Arthur McGregor		yes	
20016	Margaret Aulavemai		yes	It surprises me that you want to implement changes due to insufficient parking for the local shops, as it is the shop owners and workers who are using the parks on both Rutland and Malvern, it is also the staff from Rugby Park. There are no parks for the property owners/tenants (6 properties) on the right hand side of Rutland Street travelling towards Malvern, we were promised parks but someone changed the plans without involving us, there is no spare parking on Innes Road, or Hawkesbury Ave, it is not unusual for property owners in these properties to have to park as far away as Browns Rd, which is a long way to carry groceries. The CCC have created this unacceptable situation themselves as they did not listen
				to "the People", you have spent an enormous amount of money for a huge very wide cycle way that is not used by very many, and you upset a lot of property owners, we were told that at least 1000 people would be using the cycleway every day, this is nonsense, I have taken time off work and completed counts. I have also

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
				counted at weekends, the most I have counted using it is 30 and that was on a Saturday.
20014	Rikke Betts		yes	
20010	Carmel Olsen		yes	I use the hairdresser in the Rutland St shops. Often when attending the hairdresser 2 hours is needed to get cut and colour done. It's already hard to find a park that will accommodate this time frame. This change will make it next to impossible.
20009	Meredyth Anderson		yes	How can the restrictions be enforced?
20008	Keith Turner	Private individual	yes	I believe these proposal are important to support the viability these local businesses and the viability of St Albans as a suburb. It is unfortunate that more off street parking is not available for people working at Rugby Park.
20007	Allan Taunt		yes	For people need to travel by car (e.g. those that are difficulty walking a distance), parking duration limits will help them get parking closer to shops.
				This proposal is a good idea as it helps people in the community that may need it.

Submissions who do not support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street (44 submissions)

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20318	Mitchell Gibson		no	Rutland street yes because of the shops, but there are no shops on malvern street so no for that street
20274	Maree Bowden	Canterbury Rugby	no	Parking changes to Malvern Street will not allow for easy functioning for our day to day business requirements.
20251	COLIN MANSBRIDGE		no	
20248	Burns Mills	Crusaders Academy	no	It will be inconvenient for me and my peers because I will be training in the afternoon and morning and will not be able to park my car there without getting a ticket
20235	Scott Robertson	Crusaders	no	Malvern St is vital to our larger organizations parking without it is challenge for us to function. We use the shops the across the road just as much or more than anyone!
20203	David McLennan		no	The proposed changes to Malvern Street parking would have serious implications to everyday business for Crusaders/Canterbury rugby, with our athletes in particular - many of whom park down Malvern Street to attend training at Rugby Park. Parking restrictions would force them to park further away (mostly down adjacent side streets which would then have potential negative repercussions for residents living down these streets)
20193	Rameka Poihipi	Crusaders academy	no	Malvern street is a primary parking option for trainings. Trainings last the whole day, by putting a time limit on on the parking makes it very inconvenient
20191	Quinten Strange	Crusaders	no	Money making scheme, silly idea as we can't shift our cars every hour because our training is longer that that time
20190	Dallas McLeod		no	Need all day parking for rugby training at rugby park

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20189	Cullen Grace		no	
20188	Tom Christie		no	I agree that the parking change in Rutland street would make access to shops more readily available. I disagree with the proposal to Malvern street as it would be a massive inconvenice to workers in the area. I think it would be more appropriate to enable a strip of parking on Hawkeberry Ave, as this would be more suitable for people wanting to park to visit the shops (less walking distance etc.) as the main shop on the block would be Meshino and that would suit perfectly.
20186	Zach Mckay		no	A full squad of super rugby players and an academy would have to park a couple streets over and then you end up having 100 odd cars down someone elses street/streets! Doesn't make sense having it 60min parks when half of those streets are in fact the rugby park facilities and dont have any houses on one whole side
20185	Finlay Joyce		no	
20179	Thomas Edwards	Canterbury rugby	no	
20178	Fergus Burke		no	No we as a house on do not support this as I feel it's just a way to make money out of us. We are a flat of 5 people and this would make it impossible for us as park our cars here!
20177	Finlay Brewis		no	With these grounds primary for many players training, having a 60 would be very inconvenient for many people as usual times for trainings are easily over 60mins
20170	Joe Moody		no	I do not support the proposed changes especially not on Malvern street. It only promotes parking down other residential streets such as gosset street and annoy residents by limiting their parking spaces

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20162	Tony Smail		no	Hawkesbury Avenue and Rutland St parking is available for shops
20155	Braydon Ennor	BNZ Crusaders	no	I work full time in that area and those roads are the only ones available that I am able to park on 8am-5pm. As my work does not have an employee car park
20152	Tim Bateman	Crusaders	no	I need to park on Malvern st for work. There are no shops down that street so it's unfair to say it's for shops parking!
20148	Neil Tucker		no	Parking limited to 60min is not appropriate for this road. Kids sport and social activities around Malvern Park should not be limited in duration. Does not support Crusaders/Canterbury Rugby organizations which already have limited parking options.
20147	William Harmon		no	This plan seems highly unneeded, and will cause more problems than it will solve. Keep it the same please!
20146	Harrison Allan	Crusaders	no	I travel to Rugby park for work everyday and rely on those parking spaces being available as my working hours are typically between 7am till 5pm. i strongly disagree with this proposal
20142	Will Jordan	Crusaders	no	Will create a big inconvenience to workers in that area. Don't see why it's neccessary given that it's not a main road etc, also a public area with Malvern park there
20138	Luke Romano		no	With reguards to the malvern street parking. Obviously you know that the crusaders and canterbury train at rugby park. Your proposed 60min parking area is mainly used by crusaders/canterbury players who are "working" at rugby park. We work for periods longer than 1 hour. This would force us to park down the side streets in front of peoples houses which would probably result in complaints. There is ample parking around the shops on rutland and hawkesbury ave.

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20137	Ryan Crotty	NZRU	no	Ridiculous for the players that use the rugby park facilities. The people who use those parks consistently support the local shops anyway. Parking wardens frequently attempt to make their quota around that area as is.
20136	Jord Taufu		no	You cant put restrictions times outside rugby park, I work for the crusaders rugby team and we are there alot longer than 30-60min
20117	Grace Jones	Canterbury Rugby Union	no	This part of Malvern Street helps support parking for the Canterbury Rugby Union and the Crusaders due to the large number of staff & players in the business. It is also a main source of public parking to service events hosted at Rugby Park - Farah Palmer Cup games, Crusader Knights games, Crusaders Public Trainings and Open Day's. Any reduction in the space would put severe pressure on the surrounding streets.
20113	John Miles	Crusaders Rugby	no	I see no point in moving the cars that park on Malvern St as at present where they park there are no residential properties and shifting the parkers would only mean relocating to outside peoples homes.
20098	Bree Jones		no	This would inconvenience a lot of players, visitors and rugby supporters coming to Rugby Park. 60mins is not long enough for people to come in for meetings, training sessions etc. Changing the time frames here would only mean we have to divert all these cars to another area.

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20092	Stephan van Gruting	Crusaders	no	I am a staff member for the Crusaders and we don't always have enough spaces in our staff carpark for everyone. Majority of the time I will use Malvern street and would be there for more than 60 minutes. We also have players that use the street parking on Malvern and this time restriction would effect the number of parks available to them.
20089	Sarah Mason		no	I am in favour of changes for Rutland Street but oppose the changes to Malvern Park, which I do not think are necessary. The reality is that there is a large business across the road from these shops whose staff car park isn't large enough to cater to all staff and players. Cutting off Malvern Street parking to the players would just cause issues elsewhere down other residential streets. One could argue that the Rugby itself brings in a large amount of visitors and customers for these said business that they would otherwise not see.
20086	Chloe Coutts	Canterbury Rugby Football Union	no	This is a residential area and the part of Malvern Street is used for the business purposes
20070	Blair Baxter		no	
20061	Gareth Duder		no	Helping the customers find parking space for the shops is great and the 30minute limit on Rutland for a coffee stop will be great but the most people using Malvern street park there all day due to the nature of their work at Rugby park or staff working in the same shops. 60mins here is irrelevant and would only push the parking down another side street
20058	Grant Keenan	Canterbury Rugby	no	We need to have no limits on Malvern Street as this is where players park to attend sessions at Rugby park plus there are people who park there with kids to play on Malvern park, have picnics etc. In addition various people from the public use Malvern Park for Health & Fitness.

Submission ID	First name	Name of organisation (if applicable)	Do you support the proposed parking changes on Malvern Street?	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
20052	Rebecca Archibald		no	Malvern Street is a residential area and also gives access to the Malvern Park. You could just as easily add 60 parking zones on Hawkesbury Ave, which hasn't been suggested, probably for the same reason, that it's residential.
20047	Jackie Forbes		no	I understand the need for the parking restriction on Rutland Street in support of the shops, I am all for supporting them. I do not agree with the restriction on Malvern Street, this is a residential street with no or very little impact on the shopping area on Rutland Street, to add to that there have already been free parks removed in the area due to the cycle way fairs fair.
20046	Tony Smail	Canterbury Rugby Football Union	no	There is sufficient parking along Rutland St and Hawkesbury Avenue to satisfy the parking for the businesses on the opposite side of the road. The CRFU has had to pay for its own parking area to accomodate its people where the businesses have not. There is no justification for there to be parking changes on Malvern St, customers are equally able to park and walk which would not be a major imposition should Hawkesbury Avenue be full?
20045	Tom Leov	Canterbury Crusaders	no	This is a street where multiple professional rugby players and support staff park for work at rugby park. Making this a P60 would be an issue for most of our professional players who training upwards of 5 hours a day.
20044	Sophie O'Sullivan	Canterbury Rugby Union	no	I think having 30 min parking for the shops on Rutland is a good idea as there are a lot of people who stop for food & coffee. Malvern St is used by a lot in our business and also people who live in the area. There isn't a shortage of parks on Rutland St and making Malvern 60 mins would cause a lot of unnecessary difficulty in finding parking for games at Rugby Park (over 60 mins) and workers in that building.

	applicable)	parking changes on Malvern Street?	
arah Munro	CRFU	no	Please do not place these changes on Malvern st. This space is needed for a mixture of residential and business purposes so is not appropriate.
ahu Carey		no	Rutland is where the bike lane is so fair enough. Malvern Street is used for long term parking at present. I park there also for long periods of time.
nane Fletcher		no	This seems to be a direct prevention of parking availability to the users of Rugby Park. With the new cycleway drastically impacting the safety of the frontages of shops/businesses and general users along this area of road it has created an extra stress for potential clients. I support the limitations of parking for the proposed area of Rutland Street as this is more relative to the businesses in the small block but it its not very often that Malvern Street area beside Malvern Park has cars parked along it. Could 2-3 parks at the entrance to Malvern Street - off Rutland Street have a time limit?? I am sure the creative (not restrictive) planners can address this constructively.