

## SUBMISSIONS FOR PROPOSAL TO EXTENT ONE-HOUR FREE PARKING OFFER

Sub. ID	First name	Last name	Speaking	On behalf of	Name of organisation	I / We	Comments
15178	Julie	Tobbell	Yes			support the plan	<p>It is a really valuable asset / advantage for our communities to be more easily accessible to visiting our city centre. The Free first hour parking gives a really great incentive to visit more often which in turn creates a more desirable &amp; busier city centre. It may encourage residents to stay in town for longer also.</p> <p>It is a good public relations initiative &amp; the CCC staff need to be commended for this.</p> <p>As a parent of young teens who wish to experience our new City centre shops - it also makes this option to shop in the city centre more achievable while keeping us all up to date with the city's latest progress!</p> <p>Please continue this great initiative &amp; incentive for our residents to be patrons of our evolving New city!!</p>
15406	Connie	Christensen	Yes	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>I do not support an extension to the one hour free car parking because;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- One-hour free parking will encourage more people to drive into town at a time when the council is also trying hard to encourage more people to choose to take the bus, cycle or walk!</li> <li>- The off-street car parking building are only running at 60% capacity. This suggests to me that there are too many and on-street car parks and that they are too cheap.</li> <li>- Extending this trial will cost at least \$400,000 a year. It makes no sense at all for council to subsidise people who choose to NOT take the bus or bike even more than they already are!</li> <li>- More cars in the city centre means more accidents, more people injured, more noise, more air pollution and more congestion both in the city centre but also around the city centre when people choose to take their car rather than share a ride, bus, cycle or walk.</li> <li>- There is no evidence that the 'one hour free car parking trial' has actually brought more people (customers) into the city centre at all.</li> </ul> <p>The council needs all the car parking revenue it can muster to be able to pay for road maintenance and repairs following the earthquakes and enormous heavy vehicle wear during demolition and now rebuilding of Christchurch.</p> <p>I suggest that council use the \$400,000 to reinstate the free city centre bus service which was available before the earthquakes. Would make way more sense actually.</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p>
15400	Francesca	Bradley	Yes	On behalf of a group or organisation	Generation Zero	do not support the plan	<p>Generation Zero does not support Council's proposal to extend the one-hour free parking at the Lichfield and Art Gallery car park buildings.</p> <p>As we rebuild our city, we need to design a city that can transition towards a more adaptable, zero carbon future. This trial incentivises more people to drive into our city centre and is ultimately detrimental to Council's other efforts to encourage people to explore alternative transport options. By instead, prioritising public and active transport, our Council can help enable the behaviour shift our city needs to reduce the congestion and move towards low-carbon</p>

							<p>transport infrastructure.</p> <p>The aim of this one-hour free parking trial was to encourage people to come back into the central city. However, Council staff cannot show that this free parking trial has been successful in attracting people into the city, as none of the car parking buildings in the central city have been operating near, or at capacity. Council's December 2017 parking supply and demand survey of the central city, showed that the supply of parking in the central city exceeded the demand. 9,800 of the 14,400 parking spaces were being utilised. During this month, the Lichfield Street Car Park Building's occupancy was only around 60%. Therefore, the demand for these hour-free car parks is not substantiated.</p> <p>The offer of one-hour free parking in central city Christchurch directly competes with the private car park owners and operators also located in the city. These private providers are beneficial to our city by reducing demand for on-street parking, and therefore freeing up space for active and public transport options. This service is fundamental to assist citizens with their transport options as our city transitions towards low-carbon transport infrastructure. Council's initial research before commencing this trial found that private car park owners and operators were concerned that Council's free parking would undermine the confidence of the private sector in investing in city parking facilities.</p> <p>Council's initial research with key stakeholders also found that it is generally accepted by users that they have to pay for their central city parking. They also expressed concern that by Council providing free parking, this could undermine the general acceptance that car parks need to be paid for by their users.</p> <p>Generation Zero is seriously concerned an extension of this unsuccessful trial is being explored. We are more concerned still that Council have not budgeted for the proposed reduction in revenue of approximately \$400,000 per year in the proposed Long-Term Plan. Council staff have also advised that this is a conservative figure, based on occupancy rates in the parking buildings not increasing. If this lost revenue were to be added to the proposed Long-Term Plan, which is also delaying funding to the Major Cycle Routes network, Christchurch City Council would be seen to be actively enabling a future for our city, where we continue to depend on cars.</p> <p>Generation Zero strongly advise Council not to extend the one-hour free parking at the the Lichfield and Art Gallery car park buildings.</p>
15352	Paul	Lonsdale	Yes	On behalf of a group or organisation	Christchurch Central City Business Association Inc.	support the plan	<p>The Central City Business Association supports the extension of the first hour free car parking concession from 30 June through and until 31 October 2018. This would get us through the colder months of autumn, winter and spring, which are historically a harder period of trading for retailers in the central city.</p> <p>We believe that awareness amongst Christchurch's suburban population of off street car parking options in the CBD is not well developed at this time and that this is reflected in the low occupancy rates of the car park buildings. Continuation of the first hour free car parking concession until 31 October will be a helpful tool to increase that awareness and grow use and patronage of the buildings.</p> <p>We assume that the Lichfield Street car park building has been built for a 50 year minimum life, and that the Art Gallery basement car park has too. In the regenerating central city environment it is to be expected that achieving a high level of occupancy will take some time, that use and patronage of the assets will need to be gradually built up. We believe that both the costs associated with building up that patronage over time and the benefit to the business community of the first hour free car parking concession at this time should be viewed within the context of the 50+ year life of the assets.</p> <p>Beyond 31 October 2018 it is more important that the pricing is set to continue to build patronage and use of the Lichfield Street car park building and the Art Gallery basement car park, rather than match the pricing of competitor car park buildings. When there is clear evidence that Council's car park buildings are achieving higher occupancy rates, then that would be the appropriate time to review pricing, finding that optimal price equilibrium between demand and supply. Setting a price which produces a constantly low level of occupancy is not in the best interests of the greater</p>

							Christchurch community or central city business community.  Also, Council could consider special pricing and marketing initiatives when there are events on in the central city. Again this would be with the express purposes of attracting more people into those central city events and at the same time growing awareness amongst car drivers that the buildings are open, safe, affordable and easy to use parking facilities.
14782	Murray	Chesterman	Yes			support the plan	Whilst the Council has been happy to fund cycleway initiatives throughout the city ultimately reducing onstreet parking, the council should in turn contribute towards 1 hour free parking in carpark buildings for those of us ratepayers that choose to drive for a range of reasons. An accessible city needs to be accessible and attractive for all people, whether they be accessing the city by bike, bus, car or walking.
15386	Margo	Perpick	Yes	On behalf of a group or organisation	Apollo Power Yoga Limited	have some concerns	The 1 hour free parking initiative should be extended to 2 hours free parking in all City Council owned off-street parking and all on-street parking in the central city.  When I last inquired about how much the City Council earns from central city parking fees and enforcement, I was told that it was in the region of \$10M per year. The amount which would be spent on this initiative is insignificant compared to the revenue that the Council is generating from charging for parking in the central city.  The Council's current policies unfairly advantage suburban businesses, to the detriment of central city businesses. The Council provides free on-street parking to the customers of suburban businesses, but charges the customers of central city businesses to part on central city streets. Allowing 2 hours free on-street and off-street parking in the central city would go some way towards redressing that balance.
15418	Eve	Edmonds	No	For myself		support the plan	The rate increase equates to \$3 for my household, so that is a small price to encourage locals back into the city. To those of us who haven't worked in the city since the quakes, it's almost an entire new city, hard to find our way around, hard to know where to park, we just don't know it very well yet, we've been living in the suburbs for years. Something as simple as 1 hour free parking will make it so easy just to pop in, to explore, to get to know the centre again, to dine or shop in the city instead of the suburbs. Please keep the scheme going.
15412	Jason	Eager	No	For myself	Jason Eager	support the plan	1 hour free parking drops the barrier that most people have about parking in the parking garages. Many errands only take about an hour. This will ensure that more people come back to the CBD.
15405	Jessica	Permain	No	For myself		support the plan	The one hour free parking is bringing so many people into the city and putting life back into it. With so many malls in the city that offer free parking it would be stupid to remove the free hour as it would deter many from the city as there are the malls.  The one free hour is fantastic it would be sad to see it go
15401	Debbie	Lewis	No	For myself		support the plan	I have purposely avoided the city since the earthquakes 7 years ago. For the first time, I used the car park yesterday. I enjoyed my time in town and would do so again if the first hour remains free. Otherwise I will stick to the malls and the inner city businesses miss out. Please keep first hour free parking. Thank you
15382	Jacqui	Gibson	No	For myself		support the plan	
15379	Natalie	Farrell	No	For myself		support the plan	I work at Christchurch Hospital. On days where I start later, I frequently have not been able to find a free car parking space nearby so I park at Lichfield St. I already have to pay \$10 for this privilege... and I finish at 2.30pm!! (yes, \$10 for 6 hours). I would not like to have to pay yet more just so I can be within a 15 minute walk to work! It is just as well I do not start late every day or I would be spending \$40 in car parking to work my 20 hour week.

15376	Kate	Latimer	No	For myself		support the plan	
15372	Jill	Stephens	No	For myself		support the plan	Drove into town for the first time since city re-opened. Parked in The Crossing car-park for one hour and was charged \$8.60 (\$8 car parking fee, and 60c credit card charge. Was furious. I had assumed it was City Council car-park, rang Council and was told No, not our car-park, that is Wilsons. Will never park there again, will try Lichfield Street next time, or else will take the bus (Gold Card). Free hour great incentive to bring people back into City.
15370	Jane	Nuttridge	No	For myself		support the plan	Keep it!!
15368	Dea	Majstorovic	No	For myself		support the plan	
15367	Peter	Morrison	No	On behalf of a group or organisation	Hospitality NZ	support the plan	Please keep the 1 hour free Parking
15366	Helen	Murphy	No	For myself		support the plan	I park at lichfield street often as i am visiting police, corrections. and court often. I appreciate the free parking very much. ..it makes me proud of a city that shows goodwill to maybe more infortunate members of society .ps i do visit Ballantynes as well
15365	Mary	Ginn	No	For myself		support the plan	With the lack of parking in the center now we need to keep the 1 hour free permanently just as we had pre Earthquake.
15361	Heidi	Connolly	No	For myself		support the plan	
15360	Sarah	Ferguson	No	For myself		support the plan	First hour free encourages people to frequent city. Otherwise we'll all just go use the free mall parking and avoid the city.
15359	Debbie	Rhodes	No	For myself		support the plan	Many years ago, pre earthquake, I managed a retail store in the central city. The store did well but nothing like the malls business. Locals are happy to shop in town but not with the parking fees the way they are. Everything should be done to encourage people back into the central city to create a vibrant buzz around this wonderful new city we have. Don't let it die a slow death again!
15357	Lex	Maguire	No	For myself		support the plan	Definitely in support of keeping free parking available wherever possible in the central city. While you're at it, sort out the ridiculous hospital parking situation instead of implementing more unwanted, unnecessary and dangerous cycle lanes and road narrowing in the central city. I'd say our council seems to be run by monkeys, but that would be an insult to monkeys.
15356	Juliet	Maguire	No	For myself		support the plan	When I come to town it's for a specific purpose. If I know I can park easily and for free then I will still come in. If not then I will make other arrangements.
15354	Michele	McCormack	No	For myself		support the plan	Above question is ambiguous. I support extending the one hour free parking in town and suggest that you both make it permanent as one hour is often not enough. If you want people to come into town, I think it's important to offer free parking. Otherwise they're likely to go to the mall, where it's free.

15351	Paul	OConnell	No	For myself		support the plan	There are still a lot of people that are not aware of the one-hour free option for these car parks, so I think if the offer was extended (and promoted more), then there would be a larger group of people that would start to make use of the facilities, recognise the convenience of them, and be more likely to make repeat visits to the city in the future.
15348	Jamie	Dickson	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Yes it's important to keep the the parking free and easy in the city. I know for certain now that people are put off from coming into the city.</p> <p>Gone are the days (like we used to have in Chch) when you could just drive into the central city, randomly just pull over to the side easily, drop something off / pick something up then if liking it decide to stay longer (put money in the meter). It's not that easy anymore. Free parking is a good first step. Also having lots of 5 -10min free parking zones keeps the the city attractive and usable. The word "cost" seems odd to me - it indicates that you are using money that you already have and lose it all to free parking. Perhaps the words "revenue not gained" is more accurate. There could be other ways of making revenue: free parking to the city council council sausage sizzle in the city at the heart of the city..city council markets etc..supporting hospitality in the heart city will create lots of revenue for everyone. Open up all the streets and let the people come back in like it used to be.</p> <p>Many of the roads are presently choked off with all the alterations that have been made and far more dangerous with the low curbing right where the natural flow of driving used to be.</p> <p>It's definitely the right thing to do by making it free yes. In Nelson they have whole carparks free to use in the weekends..the town gets packed out. Who is behind the Wilsons carparks? If a bunch of those stoney pot-holed car parks were opened up free each week in Chch city it could make a huge difference to people numbers I would imagine.</p> <p>A few months back I drove into the city to check out the new Freshchoice supermarket. There were no side street parks available but there was an entrance to a main carpark. It had a barrier arm. I decided to not go into the supermarket. It was not easy enough so I backed out and kept going.</p> <p>Westfields in Riccarton - packed full of people each week, free parking, no barrier arms, great driving flow in and out.</p> <p>Yes I think free parking works.</p> <p>Thanks,</p> <p>Jamie Dickson</p>
15345	Rowan	Robinson	No	For myself		support the plan	The extended time, definitely motivates me to come into the central city. With trying to regenerate the central city the ability to park for an extended time is a + factor.
15340	Emma	Brook	No	For myself		support the plan	Your taking away more praking within town make up for it by extending the time limit of free parking so that people will actually come into town! An hour isn't long enough for people to have a look around, grab some food and anything else they want to do! We are trying to get people back into town not drive them away! Come on use your brains!!!!
15339	Glen	Ford	No	For myself		support the plan	We need to get more people into central Christchurch and this is a great way to encourage this.

15338	Libin	Babu	No	For myself		support the plan	
15337	Jodi	Oldham	No	For myself		support the plan	The city centre needs to be accessible and be able to compete with free parking at malls. Let's incentivise people to come into the city centre and help the businesses in there!
15335	Alice	Shannon	No	For myself		support the plan	
15332	Jamie	Thompson	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>The hour's fee parking often sways my decision of whether to park in town or go to a suburban mall. I have visited the CBD many more times than I otherwise would have done because I know I can park for free for an hour.</p> <p>I can't see that offering an hour free is going to undermine any private parking companies' confidence as they must make most of their money from longer-term regular parking which won't be affected by the free hour. Also, there is much more to lose from people not visiting the CBD to spend money than there is from private companies lacking confidence.</p> <p>I can't see that there is a negative to extending the free parking. 0.1% increase in rates is a pretty reasonable price to pay for free parking in the CBD.</p> <p>If there are any concerns about people using the free parking and not spending money in the CBD, could a parking ticket validation scheme be introduced where business owners can verify you have spent money to get free parking?</p>
15331	Rebekah	Scott	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I have used the Litchfield st car park frequently since the opening.</p> <p>It makes parking in the city cheaper and more affordable.</p> <p>It makes shopping at the crossing more appealing than a mall such as riccarton which has free parking.</p> <p>I have met friends for dinner and drinks at the terrace and parked in Litchfield.</p> <p>I think the free hour has been great and it should remain to encourage growth and spending in the CBD.</p> <p>So much is happening there so please keep it affordable for people to visit these new eateries/shops/bars</p>
15330	Philip	Richards	No	For myself		support the plan	I support the plan to extend the one hour reef in the Lichfield Street Carpark. It will help to reactivate the CBD and create the Blueprint objective of a vibrant city centre
15329	Philip	Richards	No	On behalf of a group or organisation	J Ballantyne & Co Ltd	support the plan	<p>We support the extension of the first hour free in the Lichfield Street Car park Building.</p> <p>Currently there is a low awareness of the building and in some cases a reluctance to use parking buildings again due to post quake anxiety. It is far from being at capacity. The concession rate assists marketing and encourages use of the facility. The CBD retail has been badly affected by the roadworks and needs more time to recover once access has been restored. The concession parking rate will assist this . The building was originally funded to a significant degree by the development contributions from property owners in the CBD so the concession might be regarded as a return on their equity.</p>
15327	Ethan	George	No	For myself		support the plan	

15326	Mel	Ellen	No	For myself		support the plan	Yes extend the 1 hr free parking
15325	Shirley	Stephenson	No	For myself		support the plan	You have messed up the city parking with your ridiculous planning. I would only want to spend an hour in there if at all. Will be shopping local.
15323	Jennifer	Riley	No	For myself		support the plan	
15321	Frances	Boyce	No	For myself		support the plan	To encourage the city experience.
15320	Logan	Smedley	No	For myself		support the plan	If the first hour wasn't free I would not come in to town
15319	Marissa	O'Leary	No	For myself		support the plan	
15318	Holly	Mitchell	No	For myself		support the plan	Please keep the one hour free car parking as I believe it will help bring people back to the city. Car parking is so expensive now days, some areas are not good for buggies, walker frames or the elderly in general. Small business owners in the city would greatly benefit from this also.
15317	John	Walker	No	For myself		support the plan	
15316	Leigh	Smith	No			support the plan	I'm presuming the plan i am supporting is to continue the one hour free parking, not to discontinue it?  We need as much encouragement as we can to get people interested in coming into our city. The cycle ways are just a very expensive joke.
15315	Tricia	Hampton	No	For myself		support the plan	I like the Free parking because getting around the City is still SO BROKEN. It is quite dangerous in there are massive areas which still have to be worked on.  I have already had a foot operation and I like to planned my trip BEFORE I go to town.  I wish for the Free Parking to be extended.  I actually HATE going to the City as it is....!
15307	Peter	Skidmore	No	For myself		support the plan	Free day time, short term parking and access to the city is vital to encourage people to visit. The city is competing with suburban shopping centres who offer free short term parking. Restricting free access to the city will kill its patronage.
15306	Matthew	Hunt	No	For myself		support the plan	
15305	Gythlian	Loveday	No	For myself		support the plan	Please extend the free one hour city car parking. Coming into the city is no longer a great experience since the quakes and parking is so frustrating.

15304	Esther	Stewart	No	For myself		support the plan	Love the idea of being able to pop in to town to do a few quick job and not being charged an arm and a leg. I would happily come into town more often if 1 hour free parking was to carry on.
15302	Chris	Close	No	For myself		support the plan	I have loved the 1-hour free offer over the summer and oils like to see it continue in as many car parks as possible. I feel like it draws more people into the proper car parks, rather than finding other less reliable / risky parks elsewhere. Its great when you're just popping I somewhere for half an hour to not have to pay (rather than trying to stop in a 5/10 min park) and it makes paying for the following hours easier to handle if you're there longer. It's also a nice option to have, as people's feelings about car parks have been marred by Wilsons and the daylight robbery of their fees. I'd love to see this offer continue!
15301	Maree	Hood	No	For myself		support the plan	I think it is important for the business owners in the city that we support them. If I know I can park free for an hour I will come into the city to shop rather than going to the local mall.
15300	SUE	Davey	No	For myself		support the plan	To have a vibrant new city which most cantabs spoke to after 2011 we need people in the city to make this happen. Please continue the one hour free parking. No point having an empty city and empty car park.
15299	Rebecca	Templeton	No	For myself		support the plan	
15298	Viv	Ellis	No	For myself		support the plan	Support the new businesses in our city at least this helps.
15296	Jayne	Hall	No	For myself		support the plan	Car park is under utilised. I'll be using it for my trips to the hospital but only if the hope free remains. Most of my visits are 30 mins or less
15295	Angela	Torrie	No	For myself		support the plan	As I attend the Court regularly it would be most helpful to extend this. It means I can drive & park rather than walking tge several blocks
15294	Karilyn	Smith	No	For myself		support the plan	After working for over 33 years and now retired, I have the opportunity to explore our city. However, after the changes made to the bus service, I don't have a direct bus to town any more. I have regularly used the Lichfield Street carpark since it's opening to go into town and, in particular, to support Ballantynes who have supported the city of Christchurch by opening when there was nothing else in town. With the changes to the street parking and the restrictions now in place, I would be very disappointed to lose this 'perk'. My rates have increased substantially and I feel this is something that should not be discontinued.
15293	Tayla	Wright	No	For myself		support the plan	



15292	Margaret	White	No	For myself		support the plan	The free parking has got us spending money in the city when otherwise we would have spent in the suburbs. Examples are weekend lunches, shopping and visiting the arts centre. It's still such a hassle finding your way around town, and private carpark rates are extortionate considering the lack of cohesion between city sights and shops.
15291	Kyle	Sutherland	No	For myself		support the plan	Of course the 1 hour free parking should be extended, it should be extended to 3 hours to bring people back into the centre city and give them enough time to do their shopping and watch a movie at the new cinemas.
15288	Michael	Vincent	No	For myself		support the plan	More carrot less stick. Central city needs to reclaim the shopping high street experience which was taken and improved by the suburban malls i.e free parking, air conditioned environment and clean toilets. 1 hour free parking is a small price to pay
15287	Dallas	Preston	No	For myself		support the plan	It's still needed to encourage CHCH residents to come into town.
15286	Paula	Coll	No	For myself		support the plan	
15285	Mikayla	Hubert	No	For myself		support the plan	
15284	Anthea	Madill	No	For myself		support the plan	I think that offering 1 hour free is a great way to encourage people to visit the central city who wouldn't otherwise. The revenue will increase as more people use the option and stay for longer than 1hour. Not everyone can afford car parking and private companies make a lot of money out of the parking in the city. This option is a great way to make things accessible for everyone.
15283	Tina	Anderson	No	For myself		support the plan	

15282	Rose	Hindson	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>The Free First Hours parking is a reason to destination shop and do business in the CBD.</p> <p>I refuse to use any Wilsons Car Parking as it is owned by a non NZ company.</p> <p>The CCC have a responsibility to look after the ratepayers and encourage people back into the CBD so the eclectic range of shops and businesses can thrive.</p> <p>It's easy to bypass the CBD and go to the Malls where parking is free. However the CBD offers a unique and exciting experience for me.</p>
15280	Lucy	Taylor	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I think this is a great plan particularly as it is the only carpark in the central city (that I know of) that has the first hour free. I have used the carpark numerous times and don't consider parking anywhere else now</p>
15279	Tania	McHugh	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>One hour free is the only way to rejuvenate our central city so it is accessible for everyone regardless of their wealth</p>
15277	Michael	Bastin	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Free parking has encouraged my wife and I to go into the central city for shopping. We did most of our Christmas shopping in town rather than malls. We think it encourages people to make the most of new developments in the city rather than malls in the suburbs. We loved getting back into the city and encouraged others to help revitalise the city centre.</p>
15276	Helen	Holyoak	No	On behalf of a group or organisation	Family	support the plan	<p>With small kids it has added a convenience to cost benefit which has meant we have parked a gallery and scooted around areas of the city we wouldn't have. Without it we would've stuck to Hagley. It has opened my eyes to what's new and open. This is same view as my partner who has used it to take kids into city as well.</p>
15273	Joseph	Lah	No	For myself		support the plan	
15271	Rachel	Hickling	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I love the hour free parking at the Lichfield and art gallery car parks! I know that if I have to go into the city for a short time I have a safe and reliable place to park and when I do need to be in the city for longer I always come to one of the parking buildings with the first hour free because I feel loyalty to the car parks. I've never had a bad experience in either the Lichfield or art gallery car parks and is live for the first hour to stay free. Thank You</p>
15270	Cameron	Melville	No	For myself		support the plan	
15269	Amy	Bisley	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Quite simply if there was not the 1hr free parking i would not make the effort to shop in the city!!! I can do all the shopping I need at other locations closer to where I live but have been making the effort to pop into the city and support the businesses there. If I had to pay for parking I would not be so inclined to come into town. In short, the 1hr free should stay, I think you will all be shooting yourselves in the foot if you lose it.</p>
15268	Vanessa	Jones	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>This is the reason I like to go to the city and have a look around</p>

15264	Karen	Heppelthwaite	No	For myself		support the plan	Working in the central city and moving from bath street where people had access to south city car park and 120 minute free parking on the streets to New Regent Street ... the constant discussion with our customers every single day is the cost and the difficulty of parking in the central city . The next comment they make is they hardly come into the city because of that reason. If we are going to be a progressive city and keep businesses vibrant and people engaged and coming in we need to offer an incentive. So keep the 1 hour free parking it will be worth it in the long run .
15263	Kristee	Healy	No	For myself		support the plan	I support the plan of having one or two hour free parking available, as this helps businesses in the cbd.
15261	dee	homewood	No	For myself		support the plan	One hour free parking is a great initiative to get people into the city, especially since you can park for free for one hour at shopping malls. I have used the one hour free in January, would of used it more but did not realise it had been extended. I would take more advantage of this and would go into the city centre more if this was indefinite.
15260	Dana	Hendtlass	No	For myself		support the plan	It Is a must to continue the one hour free parking. The amount of people I know that avoid town because of the lack of parking is extremely high. You get rid of this one free and even less people will come into town. We are slowly building a great city but there is no point if it is too expensive to come in and visit. And public transport is not for everyone especially a little one.
15259	Lisa	cowap	No			support the plan	The continued support to enable people to park easily in the city centre needs to remain. We are not a car free society and will not be for years to come. Christchurch does not have the public tranport infrastructure or population. We also have a large ageing demographic, cycling will never be an option.
15258	Steven	Sutcliffe	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I believe that in order for central city retail to even approach a level playing field with the shopping malls, free parking is an absolute must. Not doing it will ensure the decline of retail in the city as the new bright and shiny retail being built in the city is not enough on it's own.</p> <p>Shopping mall management use every device they can to ensure that they succeed as a business, but their weekness is a lack of diversity in the retail on offer.</p> <p>The city needs to be managed to take on the skill of the malls and to offer something different and hopefully better. To even think about not having some free parking is a guaranteed way of keeping people out of the city and makes me wonder if anyone is actually thinking.</p> <p>Free parking It is an essential part of changing behaviour from just going to a mall in the first instance. Not having it will ensure the failure of our new city centre</p> <p>Thank you</p>
15257	Euan	Gutteridge	No	For myself		support the plan	Yes - extending the 1 hour free parking is a no-brainer. It will incentivise people to park off the street as well as keeping prices of other parking operators competitive. Hope this is permanent
15256	Ingrid	Cooper	No	For myself		support the plan	I personally have utilised both the art gallery and Lichfield Street carpark many times due to the 1 hr free parking. Getting into the central city is stressful for many people, including the elderly. Having a place, you know you can park in for an hour for free, definitely makes coming into the city centre less stressful.
15255	Jack	Aitken	No	For myself		support the plan	

15254	Tanya	Carter	No	For myself		support the plan	
15253	Johanna	Ryan	No	For myself		support the plan	
15252	Anita	Gourley	No	For myself		support the plan	I would shop elsewhere if parking in town is not affordable. I'm always there for more than an hour if I do go in, so knowing the first hour is free helps me to stay longer!
15250	Melissa	Baer	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I would not have parked there at all had it not been for free 1 hr. you got more of my money than you would have otherwise received nothing.</p> <p>Not a lot of marketing beyond a street sign did I see. As I am a young working professional I assume I am the target market and none of the marketing reached me. A proper measure of sales and foot traffic increase of businesses may be a more appropriate measure.</p> <p>Generally I don't accept the notion that parking should always be paid for. Free 1 hr parks on all streets are common in other downtowns around the world. Understand the cost of customer acquisition and the value proposition you offer to businesses and landlords looking to rent the space. More people=more rent for those spaces.</p>
15246	Mark	Haylock	No	For myself		support the plan	
15244	Amy	Gudgeon	No	For myself		support the plan	Love love love the free hour parking! Far more interested to come into town to catch up with friends and shop. It's so great knowing it won't cost me a fortune to park, just a couple dollars. I'm always more than an hour. So you're still collecting some money.
15243	Sophie	Moore	No	For myself		support the plan	Would be crazy to end something that brings tourists and locals into our city. Expensive parking as a sure fire way to exclude tourists and locals from visiting the area.
15242	Bret	Watkins	No	For myself		support the plan	
15241	Joakim	Olander	No	For myself		support the plan	It is a good plan. Discussing within my social circle (anecdotal evidence only), the main point of concern in the CBD seems to be that you cannot find reasonable parks. Although I think anyone with this argument is simply lazy, the 1 hour free scheme is a great step to counter this, and a good step to continue the flow of people back into the city post quake.
15240	Lei-Anne	Bashir-Elahi	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>It is commonsense - if you want to encourage people into the cbd then you must make more free parking available for longer, but you also need more parking in itself but there is minimal space available.</p> <p>It is a public transport system with very frequent buses that would make our city vibrant and bustling again as people can access a hassle-free method of travelling there because parking is the key deterrent - regardless of the length of time free parking may be available thus extending the period is only putting a bandaid on the real problem here.</p>
15239	Kate	Baxter	No	For myself		support the plan	

15238	Amanda	Ohs	No	For myself		support the plan	
15237	Amanda	Ohs	No	For myself		support the plan	
15234	Karen	Mullaly	No	For myself		support the plan	Park in the city is not easy - please make it easier for Christchurch residence to support retailers in the city by offering one hour free parking permanently. Thank you.
15231	Kelly	Ledington	No	For myself		support the plan	
15229	Rua	Murray	No	For myself		support the plan	The one hour free means that I complete some retail purchases in the central city that otherwise I would do in a suburban mall. I have found the trial convenient and efficient. Both are important to me. I prefer to support central city retailers, but if I am comparing free parking vs paid parking, all other things being equal I will choose the free option. I only use a car because the public transport is so bad.
15228	Stephanie	Alderson	No	For myself		support the plan	Definitely keep 1 hour free. And add 2 levels of monthly paid car parking for local workers. And perhaps 1 level for hospital car park and ride. Utilise the whole building
15227	Nick	Vassar	No	For myself		support the plan	Of course we want 1hr free parking, more of it even!
15223	Amanda	Stanton	No	For myself		support the plan	
15212	Kathryn	Rattray	No	For myself		support the plan	Love having the hour free! It encourages me to go in knowing that I'll get one hour free, so if I decide to leave within that hour because my baby is getting difficult I have not had to spend money on the parking. If I stay in longer (which I normally end up doing) it's nice to have had the first hour free. It also means that when just needing one item or popping in to visit someone for lunch I'm happy to go into town rather than somewhere else.
15209	Eric	Croose	No	For myself		support the plan	Might I point out, and as you are probably aware, Nelson has a city wide first hour free policy. A brilliant scheme for attracting people into the city center and away from the suburban malls where all parking is free.
15208	Marcela	Ávalos	No	For myself		support the plan	
15207	Mel	Fletcher	No	For myself		support the plan	I've recently moved into the city and love this initiative. It has encouraged me to go into the central city and shop in the high street. I doubt I would bother if I didn't get some concession towards parking
15205	Natasha	Langan	No	For myself		support the plan	As someone from chch I only go into town when necessary, if there I will always look for a 1 hour free parking if I can't find one I will usually not stop. The 1hour free gives me peace of mind and I do not mind paying a little bit if I go over

15203	Yvonne	Smith	No	For myself		support the plan	Parking in the city is problematic and costly especially if only coming into the city for a short visit it will often make us decide not to do so because of the potential cost and hassle with finding parking. Prior to the earthquake there was always free parking for the first 30 mins at the bus exchange car park. With our children attending discovery school in the bus exchange building this meant we could take them and pick them up each day without difficulty or a big cost adding up over 5 days a week. Our school has a planned return to the central city this time next year and free parking available to collect children and shop while in town would be very helpful. I also prefer parking buildings as I have experienced damage to my car which is fairly low to the ground from parking in the exorbitantly priced rubble based Wilson parking parks. Thanks
15202	Ebony	Elliott	No	For myself		support the plan	
15197	Hugh	Loughnan	No	For myself		support the plan	I think the hour parking should be extended to keep supporting the central city in this rebuild phase. We need more people in the city to get a vibrant centre
15193	Gillie	Watson	No			support the plan	I think to encourage people back into the city Centre it is essential that parking is at a reasonable cost. 1 hour free parking is a great way to achieve this
15192	Rebecca	Helliwell	No	For myself		support the plan	Encouraging access to the city is important. This makes just popping to a shop or cafe for a short time more appealing and much more likely to happen.
15190	Anna	Clare	No	For myself		support the plan	This is a fantastic initiative. It has certainly brought our family into the centre of the city on several occasions.
15189	Chow	Lai	No	For myself		support the plan	Had there been no free 1st-hour free parking at the Lichfield Street Carpark, I would not have visited the CBD over the past few months. Most of the retailers at the CBD have similar branches in other suburbs, e.g. Riccarton.  The persistent problem of having expensive carpark at the CBD will impair on the regeneration of the CBD.
15188	Hannah	Watkinson	No	For myself		support the plan	
15187	Katie	Hammill	No	For myself		support the plan	
15186	Shannon	Smith	No	For myself		support the plan	
15185	Deanne	Harvey	No	For myself		support the plan	I rely on this parking to feel safe in town in the evenings

15184	Russell	Deeming	No	For myself		support the plan	
15183	Kate	Allan	No	For myself		support the plan	Please keep the free parking initiative! It is so wonderful to see so many people back in the city centre. I personally would head in and support the businesses more frequently with free parking for an hour.
15182	Vanessa	smith	No	For myself		support the plan	
15181	Mileesa	Adams	No	For myself		support the plan	
15177	Peter	King	No	For myself		support the plan	I'm self employed and severely budhet conscious. While I work from home I'm often in the city on business, often for a short time - but regularly! I value an hour's parking and request that this continue.  Thanks.
15176	Jasmine	Lange	No	For myself		support the plan	Definitely retain first hour free parking!  This is a big draw card for me to come into the city and I would probably not come into town without it. It removes a major barrier to coming into town and because I feel like I am getting something for free, I am then happy to pay the additional fee for staying longer than the first hour, which I do regularly.  It is imperative that this is retained because so many people are still reluctant to come into the city but this makes it attractive. I have a young baby so realistically I have to drive and would not take another mode of transport but the one hour free finally means coming to the city is just as easy and cheap as going to a mall.  I just wish the first hour free could be applied to all the car parking buildings in the central city.  The first hour free stops the private operators from price gouging and makes sure that they offer some incentives too to remain competitive. All car park buildings should be first hour free. The city needs this so badly and it is the best thing the council has done in recent memory. PLEASE KEEP FIRST HOUR FREE or loose me and so many other people that I know to the malls.  Only consider getting rid of the first hour free and charging once your car park building is operating at 100% capacity, until then, the job is far from done and you would be sending the city backwards.  Before the earthquake you offered first two hours free, so why would you even consider getting rid of the first hour free (half the deal pre-quake) when the city needs more help than ever. It's the best thing you have done - please don't get rid of first hour free.

15174	Helen	Gough	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>KEEP ONE HOUR FREE PARKING in Council parking buildings.</p> <p>This is well worth any small amount on rates.</p> <p>I would visit the malls (with unlimited free parking) if this promotion did not exist. Because of the one hour free I have come into the city to shop and explore the progress many times and I ALWAYS stay for longer than one hour. This additional revenue would never have gone to council without the first hour free.</p> <p>Pre earthquake there used to be two hours free and the city was rebuilding then. The council MUST continue this first hour free as the city needs all the help it can get for a long time to come as it rebuilds. I only come in because of this as it holds such value and appeal - it is a massive attracting factor for a very small price. Well worth it. The worst thing you could do for the city is discontinue this.</p>
15172	Anita	McDrury	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I think it is important to make returning to the CBD affordable and accessible for people. Parking fees can be a huge barrier for many. Keep it free, it will also make it easy to visit the art gallery.</p>
15170	Jennifer	Robinson	No	For myself		support the plan	
15169	Laura	Strachan	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Yes 1 hr free parking to stay! I don't go into the central city very often for one of the main reasons parking! It looks to becoming such a nice place to enjoy and spend money but I don't want to spend it all on parking.</p>
15168	Sam	Luo	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>We used to have free parking ( a hour or 2 hours) at the Bus Exchange Car-park before quake.</p>
15164	Bejen	Patel	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Great idea to get people back in to town</p>
15163	Adrian	Hunting	No	For myself		support the plan	
15162	Bonnie	Sarkar	No	For myself		support the plan	
15158	Julie	McMillan	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Extending the hour free parking in central Christchurch encourages me to shop and support our local businesses.</p>
15155	Marian	Fletcher	No	For myself		support the plan	
15154	Melanie	Wilson	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>If I am going in there it is for a reason - not to have a look around - if I wanted to do that I would go to a mall (free parking - covered shopping). This is your competition and you need to be aware of that. The city center is still in rebuild phase. You are only penalising the companies that have supported you by going back into the city. If you don't have the free 1 hour parking then I will probably not shop there at all.</p>



15152	Samantha	Lovie	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>It's a fantastic way to attract people to the CBD. If this free parking was not available many more people would opt to go to the malls instead or order online i am sure (I know i personally would).</p> <p>I really think we need to support our CBD until such time as many more business have moved back to town. We need to support retailers in the CBD by encouraging patronage, not hampering the rebuild by making it expensive to visit.</p>
15151	Samantha	Key	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>It should be continued, to encourage people to go in to the city. Personally, I did not go back to the city until there was free parking (like there had been previously before the earthquake), and I will avoid it once again if it stops. There are many other places now to shop and enjoy. I was completely against all the 'pay by the hour' car parks that were popping up all over the city after the earthquake. Daylight robbery! One hour free is the least that can be offered.</p>
15149	Diana	Plesovs	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>An excellent idea as it gets me into the city in a central location. I enjoy our exciting city and its accessibility. One hour free parking means you can just pop in for a purchase or appointment or have a look around. Thank.you much appreciated.</p>
15148	Marie	Hanson	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Agree that should continue to provide 1hr free parking in the interim to help support the Chch rebuild and those businesses in the city whilst the city isn't fully rejuvenated. Council needs to incentivise growth in the city as otherwise it will not regrow. People far to use to working around not having s city centre.</p>
15147	Giselle	Fortune	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>As a fine arts student at the University of Canterbury I regularly make trips to the Art Gallery. The free parking initiative ensures that students and those on lower incomes have better access to this essential community resource.</p>
15146	Rowena	Stevenson	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>It would be great to extend free parking scheme in the city.</p> <p>After a Sunday afternoon visit to see the development of the East Frame park area from Margaret Mahy park to Lichfield st we left a gravel pit cark park with a \$65 ticket for not feeding Wilsons money eating machine. We vowed never to return to the city. Remembering that free parking is actually available might help us rescind out vow.</p>
15145	Kala	Shaw	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>The 1hr free parking is perfect. We are still not at the point where the CBD is the place to go which is why it needs to stay.</p>
15144	Maciej	Ratka	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Should extend to all council owned car parks in CBD</p>

15143	Letitia	Lum	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>1 hour free is definitely an incentive for me to pop into town for a quick shop or lunch.</p> <p>Reason 1- It puts me off if I have to look for a car park on the street and the buildings offer me a guaranteed easy to get into quick car park.</p> <p>Reason 2: I think of the cost of parking as an added cost to my lunch or purchase. So an extra \$3-8 is quite significant when I can get most of the same products / services with free parking elsewhere</p>
15142	Brooke	Matthews	No	For myself		support the plan	It's a great way of encouraging people to come into town since parking is quite expensive
15139	Camilla	Wheeler	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Knowing easy access free parking is available makes me more likely to come into the central city. I am more likely to choose the Council car park when I come into town over the private ones. I usually stay longer than 1hr but having the first hour free is a real bonus.</p> <p>Having parked in some of the other new multi storey car parks, I am much more likely to park in the Council ones because I don't think the prices are gouging. The private one opposite the council building is ridiculous, I parked there once and never again! I don't mind paying but prices should be competitive and reasonable.</p>
15138	Tony	Wyatt	No	For myself		support the plan	
15137	Louise	Duke	No	For myself		support the plan	
15136	Iain	Nicholls	No	For myself		support the plan	
15134	Sally	Neame	No	For myself		support the plan	
15133	Ashley	Watson	No	For myself		support the plan	Keep the free parking. It makes it worth coming into town.
15132	Jamie	Inns	No	For myself		support the plan	I am far more likely to shop in the cbd if I have the option of getting an hours free parking.
15131	Kate	Ferguson	No	For myself		support the plan	
15130	Konrad	Neleman	No	For myself		support the plan	

15128	Sharon	Spear	No	For myself		support the plan	
15127	Morgan	Hodgson	No	For myself		support the plan	Without 1 hour free parking I would not shop in / visit the cbd, it keeps the cbd vibrant and busy
15126	Emily	Waterreus	No	For myself		support the plan	If you don't continue on with the one hour free parking I feel it will limit people coming in to the city, with one hour free people then only have to pay four or six dollars for the two hours instead of double that meaning they will stay longer and spend more money in the city rather than racing back to their car before an hours up and they get a horrendous car park bill.
15125	Anna	Bright	No			support the plan	
15124	Gabrielle	Wethey	No	For myself		support the plan	It has certainly encouraged me to go into the central city more often and explore on a weekly basis what is going on. I think there are still a lot of people that see it as too hard to go into the city and need this encouragement.
15123	Shari	White	No	For myself		support the plan	Would be a great idea to continue the one hour free. Chch is still needing to entice people into town. We have spent over 5 years with little habit of going into town and making do in the outer suburbs. We will take a little bit longer to build up the habit of making town the place to go again. And by having free parking for one hour will help. I love visiting town and only do so when I know I'll get a park at a low price. Please keep this going, those carpark will not reach capacity without it in the near future.
15121	Maria	Kay	No	For myself		support the plan	
15118	Carly	Coleman	No	For myself		support the plan	I feel that the one hour free parking is a necessity to get the city centre back up on its feet and to support the businesses that are there. Without the free one hour parking, myself and so many people that I have spoken to about this go elsewhere. If I do go into the city I always end up being there for over an hour but that initial free hour is the drawcard. Originally I come from the UK and it is sad to see local high streets with so many businesses standing empty because people are using out of town retail centres with free parking or online shopping instead. I would hate to see this happening here. Especially with so many small businesses fighting to stay afloat in the city.

15117	Felicity	Bryce	No	For myself	Lumino chch city 71 Gloucester street	support the plan	The 1 hour parking will suit businesses who want people to come into the city and visit their premises but are put off with having to pay for parking. For us we are a dental practice and want clients to come in and not worry about paying for parking as appointments usually are under an hour.
15114	allison	o'neill	No	For myself		support the plan	i support free parking extension. the parking costs in the city are devilish. i went there, spent a fortune (as did my two friends) and came back to a parking bill of \$14 for just over two hours (they were the same). we were disgusted. i do not think ratepayers should have to foot any short comings however. we should be allowed to come to our city (where we SPEND MONEY) without having to pay obscene amounts. car parking is an essential part of a CBD, it should exist for our use without excessive cost.
15113	Elizabeth	Oâ€™Connor	No	For myself		support the plan	
15112	Brendon	Clarke	No	For myself		support the plan	
15111	Annoushka	Paynter	No	For myself		support the plan	
15110	Sally	Ryan	No	For myself		support the plan	
15109	Tawny	Harris	No	For myself		support the plan	
15107	Danielle	Dooley	No	For myself		support the plan	
15105	Kate	Griffith	No	For myself		support the plan	Without access to reduced cost parking I know our family and other families will avoid the city. My kids thoroughly enjoy being in the central city but with 3 kids and often only one adult to do that with, bussing from Hornby is rarely a feasible option. Free parking allows us to park, enjoy time together, have a coffee somewhere and enjoy the shops more frequently
15104	Jean	Thomson	No	For myself		support the plan	

15103	Sophie	Alsop	No	For myself		support the plan	
15102	Briar	Thompson	No	For myself		support the plan	I find the free parking great when coming into the city and happy to park there even when I know I'll be longer than 1 hour. I'd be keen to see it stay so it makes the trip into the city easier and I can spend money at the shops rather than the car park.
15101	Geoff	Lye	No	For myself		support the plan	Yes please extend the 1 hour parking in fact make it 2 hours and give people longer to get things done and possibly stay longer in the cbd and spend more money . Even if the lichfield st carpark is the only one it applies to and the others stay at 1 hour.  I used it just the other day (Friday) and only had time to get one thing done and didnt have the money for the rest i wanted to do to park longer .
15100	Sarah	Livne	No	For myself		support the plan	I think the 1 hour free parking should be extended, not only for a year but for a much longer term and not as a trial but as a permanent feature. It is very common in big cities around the world, even where people "understand that parking users need to pay", to provide one or even two hours of free parking in areas where you want people to spend time leisurely, e.g. shop, dine, etc. and the council should make this happen in more than one place in the city.  I think that your attempts to evaluate whether this has "worked" or not are a bit premature because the main daunting factor in going to the city is not the parking cost, but the hassle in driving in with a car at all. There is so much road work still going on, each time you go into the city different routes are blocked, different detours apply, temporary confusing signs about no entry or no turns are everywhere, and you have to drive so slowly that every mistake can cost you a lot of time in getting where you need to get. If you add to that the need to get to a specific parking lot or even just find legal parking regardless of cost - personally I only do that if I absolutely must, and I don't enjoy it at all, so when it comes to spending time shopping, dining, etc. - I prefer not to drive into the city for that. When the road works are finished and people get time to adapt to what goes where and how to get to places - that's when you can start evaluate the rate at which people are returning to the city center for leisure.  But even then - it's hard to tell how you would evaluate this (other than by perhaps polling public opinions), because on one hand you are doing everything to discourage people from driving into the city (e.g. the 30kmh speed limit) - I'm not saying it's a bad thing - and on the other - you are comparing parking lots capacities. OK, so you managed to reduce drivers volume into the city (or perhaps managed to provide ample parking space). That's all your evaluation means. It doesn't test whether without the free parking there would be less trade in the area or not. It's quite possible that without the free parking people would either drive in even less or would spend much less time in the center and buy less.
15099	Bianca	Nielsen	No	For myself		support the plan	Having one hour free parking encouraged my family to visit the city centre retail and food outlets over the summer school holidays. While parked in the new Lichfield street car park my family also went to various summer events at Hagley park. We would much rather spend our parking money on council run facilities than an overseas company providing parking. Having the first hour free encouraged us to use the council parking buildings and most times we visited town we spent over \$5 on the parking as we visited town for several hours.
15098	Kirsty	Hosking	No	For myself		support the plan	

15096	Toni	Odell	No	For myself		support the plan	
15095	Linell	Lottering	No	For myself		support the plan	
15094	Hayley	Strachan	No	For myself		support the plan	
15093	Daniela	Ward	No	For myself		support the plan	Businesses need our trade. Extortionate costs at other car parks would mean I do not visit the city centre as often.  Always been impressed by the hour free parking in Nelson city when visiting!
15092	Ben	De Wys	No	For myself		support the plan	
15091	Ray	Thomsen	No	For myself		support the plan	Th first hour free is a huge incentive for us to come into town on short visits (to grab a meal with the kids or shop). Even if staying over the hour I have no problem with paying a smaller fee. Also like the flexibility compared with meters where you need to prepay. If the first hour is removed, we wouldn't bother to go into the city and we'd go elsewhere. Pretty simple really. I was a regular user of the bus wxchange car park pre quake which had two hours free. We often visited town because of this.  Recently I also used the Lichfield car park when attending an outpatients appointment. It's a 12minute brisk walk but worked ok. Only had a 70c fee upon leaving carpark which was great. It should be encouraged as an option for Hospital visitors.
15090	Duncan	Ferguson	No	For myself		support the plan	
15089	Melanie	Elliott	No	For myself		support the plan	
15088	Stephanie	Cullen	No	For myself		support the plan	
15086	Beatrix	Chin	No	For myself		support the plan	
15085	Hilary	Sutton	No	For myself		support the plan	The Lichfield car park is my first choice of car park in the central city. Although the turns are rather tight when entering and ascending from street level, that is preferable to parking on the rough surfaces of some Wilson's parking sites. One hour of free parking does entice me into the city to shop.
15084	Patrick	Ryan	No	For myself		support the plan	We need to continue to support the city centre as it finds its feet. Encourage people to visit the centre by allowing free parking

15082	Annabelle	Ogilvie	No	For myself		support the plan	It will bring so many more people into the city to shop, to bring life, to bring energy and a community back. So many other cities have 1 hour free parking, why shouldn't Christchurch too. Give something back to the people!
15081	Emma	Carson	No	For myself		support the plan	
15079	Jayne	Yardley	No	For myself		support the plan	
15078	Zani	Polson	No	For myself		support the plan	
15077	Liam	Perrell	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I believe that having an hour of free parking is such a draw card for people wanting to go shopping without being stuck in the crowds of the mall or paying bf into the dirty pockets of Wilson parking.</p> <p>I often take advantage of the car park on Litchfield street, many times staying longer than the free hour, happy to pay for what is a great service in a great new building.</p> <p>Please do not see that hour as lost revenue, see it as an investment for getting people to use the car park long term.</p>
15075	John	Watt	No	For myself		support the plan	The free hour is a great thing to continue to encourage people back to the city.
15074	Justine	Kime	No	For myself		support the plan	I find it a huge motivator to come into town. Otherwise o would not bother. I generally stay longer due to the first hour parking being free.
15072	Ruth	McLean	No	For myself		support the plan	
15070	Martine	Carter	No	For myself		support the plan	
15069	Heather	Allan	No	For myself		support the plan	I support the plan I feel it will encourage people to use the car park and good reasonably priced parking is vital to encourage people to return to the city centre.
15068	Genevieve	Marshall	No	For myself		support the plan	Keep this!! There isn't much benefit to the city at the moment so there needs to be incentive to go other whys people will shop elsewhere like the malls where it is free. There has to be some benefit to living in Chch especially when the night life is so terrible
15067	Pam	Golding	No	For myself		support the plan	
15065	Michael	Ross	No	For myself		support the plan	This will encourage casual people to come into the business centre. When it becomes full then can reconsider

15064	Aglaia	Tee	No	For myself	Aglaia Tee	support the plan	
15063	Luke	Williams	No	For myself		support the plan	
15062	Glen	Whittaker	No	For myself		support the plan	This encourages us to head into town on a regular basis and would like to see it continue.
15061	Andy	Yates	No	For myself		support the plan	
15060	Kit	French	No	For myself		support the plan	Need to continue supporting business in the city. Making it harder / more expensive is not going to keep our city centre alive
15059	rabia	mofassir	No	For myself		support the plan	Please keep this facility it has brought me back to the city time and time again, I often avoided town due because of Wilson's ridiculous pricings
15057	Maggie	Patterson	No	For myself		support the plan	
15056	Mark	Nicoll	No	For myself		support the plan	
15055	Bridget	Reardon	No	For myself		support the plan	
15053	Jayde	Hunt	No	For myself		support the plan	
15052	Kali	Foster	No	For myself		support the plan	Having the first hour free makes the city more accessible and entices us to shop there for longer. We used to make use of the first hour free prior to the quakes and would like he initiative to remain
15051	Erick	Jalocon	No	For myself		support the plan	
15049	Deborah	Brown-Smith	No	For myself		support the plan	Parking is expensive in the city. Malls provide free parking. The free hour gives some incentitive to shop in the city which if it was taken away would penalise the shops with less support. I still don't understand why there is no free parking on the streets in the city at the weekend. Why kill it for the retailers. We are a single income family. I don't wish to waste money on parking when I can shop for free elsewhere



15047	Enma	Jenkins	No	For myself		support the plan	
15044	Kate	Croat	No	For myself		support the plan	
15043	Greg	Manson	No	For myself		support the plan	
15041	Charlotte	Robb	No	For myself	Charlotte Robb	support the plan	Love the one hour free. I take elderly friends into town that would otherwise not go. They love Ballantynes and love only having the small walk and having the first hour free means that we can spend longer in town and also visit cafes and explore new areas that are opening up.
15039	Chrissy	Robertson	No			support the plan	
15036	Jonathan	Tan	No	For myself		support the plan	The 1ST HOUR FREE PARKING with accompanying LOGO to be prominently displayed to encourage people to utilise the facility available. Most people are not aware of this facility available when they come into the city . Thank you CCCC for the kind gesture to make this possible for more people to enjoy the Great Christchurch City!
15030	Elizabeth	Christensen	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>First hour free makes it worth coming into the city for specific purchases, but lots of people probably end up staying longer and spending more than they originally planned.</p> <p>There are a lot of new businesses in the city, and they need all the customers they can get. As more businesses get up and running it will be even more vital to draw people back into the CBD.</p> <p>Lots of us stayed out of the CBD for literally years Post-quake, and discovered that we could get FREE parking in the alternative shopping and business areas.</p> <p>Wilson Parking has left a bad taste in people's mouths, - stonking fees for potholes and puddles - so we need to make an effort to entice the community back. Even though it's a business decision, the very notion of first hour free sounds generous and welcoming, in contrast to Wilson's.</p>
15029	Victoria	Toner	No	For myself		support the plan	For Christchurch to become a more vibrant city people need to be encouraged to come back into the city, and carparking is often a huge barrier as people compare the city with malls where they can park all day. Free street parking on the weekend would make a huge difference too.
15028	Rachel	Donaldson	No	For myself		support the plan	Keep this one free hour - it will generate far more money in the long term than charging for it - and now that the city is finally coming back together don't you want people to come and see it?!!

15026	Janine	Bartrop	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>The city was dead before the earthquakes and will easily die again if people aren't enticed to visit. Making parking an affordable option is an essential factor.</p> <p>To shop for a couple of hours, at \$2 per half hour, becomes a pricey trip. With the free hour, I visit the city regularly. Remove the free hour and I'd rather go to a mall where it's free parking and in the winter, warmer and dry. Or for that price, I can just shop on line, as that's about the price of a courier fee.</p>
15024	Susan	McKone	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>The city is still getting back on its feet. Free parking is available at all the malls. People won't come in if they have to pay so one hour free is a good compromise. Prior to the earthquake the city was dying and parking was a large part of the issue. I get that the council wants to encourage other transport forms but the fact remains car usage is the most popular form of transport in New Zealand and while it remains so, the council must compete with the suburbs if it wants to attract visitors regularly.</p>
15023	Ben	Lever	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>It should continue 1 hour free</p>
15022	Rosemary	Hall	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>The one hour free parking definitely encourages our family and friends for shopping purposes (always longer than one hour) and hospitality purposes which is generally a 2 - 3 hour, if not 4 hours or longer if some shopping is added. It is a definite incentive. Keep it going</p>
15021	Erica	Henderson	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>1 hour Free parking encourages me to head into town to shop instead of a mall</p>
15020	Sue	Allard	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>As a central city resident I think its very important to encourage people to come into the city centre. The one hojr free parking scheme will assist with getting this to happen. I encoyrage friends to come into the cbd and use the one hour free parking. There are not many people luvng in the central city so we need more people coming in</p>
15016	Simon	Hart	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Just make it permanent. And make it all day at the weekend. Manchester st multi storey was free at the weekends before the quakes. You need to make the city a go to place at the weekend. Free parking will do that.</p>
15015	Judith	MATTHEWS	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>The free parking encourages people to come and explore the inner city which since the quake many have mot returned due to concerns over parking access.</p>
15013	Aric	Thorn	No	For myself		support the plan	
15010	Shaun	Comer	No	For myself		support the plan	

15009	Jan	Edwards	No	For myself		support the plan	Just need to promote it some more. Where are the entrance ways? They are not obvious
15001	Dahl	Robertson	No	For myself		support the plan	An hour is a reasonable subsidy to encourage people to access the city. An extension of the plan will support people with changing their behaviour around making the city their choice of shopping destination while other critical builds to lure people take shape, such as the Farmers Market and the Movie complex. I view the extension as part of a wider long term plan to shift peoples behaviour and mindset around accessing our city.
14998	Abi	Watts	No	For myself		support the plan	
14995	Line Marie	Andersen Reid	No	For myself		support the plan	
14994	Teo	Hyndman	No	For myself		support the plan	
14993	Jane	Clifford	No	For myself		support the plan	
14991	Loretta	Modica	No	For myself		support the plan	it is a great incentive to come into town and I'll usually stay much longer than the hour. If it is taken away I'm more likely to shop in suburbs where there is free parking
14988	Sonya	Henry	No	For myself		support the plan	We have a LONG way to go to reform consumer habits to include the central city. I survey people every day in my business! 99% positive with the one hour free parking. It's encouraging them to pop in regularly. Only 1 person has told me it's impacting on other car parking options. Bigger picture here please! No people, no business, no taxes/revenue for the CCC.
14978	Ainsley	Calcutt	No	For myself		support the plan	Any incentive to encourage me to to town is valuable. I far prefer to shop east of Ferrymead because of parking issues.
14974	David	Delagarza	No	For myself		support the plan	Free parking will be play a critical role in bringing the CBD back to life
14970	Andrew	Lamb	No	For myself		support the plan	I strongly support extending the 1hr free parking.  It encouraged me, about 10x, to stop in the city and explore and shop rather than just drive past/through.  It also enabled me to support CCC parking (when I stayed past the free hour and paid for subsequent time), rather than put money in the pockets of Wilsons or other private parking providers.

14968	Max	Begley	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Parking is the one thing that stops many people from coming to the CBD, Ask most people and they despise Wilson Car parking as they have profiteered after the earthquakes.</p> <p>Having experienced City and town councils making free parking available in town in the UK and seeing the prosperity it has bought, I couldn't recommend it more.</p>
14966	Linda	Robinson	No	For myself		support the plan	
14964	Max	Middleton	No	For myself		support the plan	I definitely feel that the CCC should continue to offer one hour free parking. It is extremely hard to find anywhere in the city with affordable parking and at the moment, these two car parks are the only real areas.
14963	Fiona	Floyd	No	For myself		support the plan	Yes, I think 1 hour free parking is a great way to encourage people into the city. And when we see what a great place it is now, we stay longer, and spend more. Yes, there is a cost to the council, but it is of significant benefit to the businesses in town. I understand the desire to encourage public transport, but we find the Lichfield car park most appropriate for our aged and infirm parents. To be able to take them into town and take the hassle out of parking is wonderful.
14962	Amy	Milne	No	For myself		support the plan	I believe this is a great initiative to help encourage back into the city. Long may it continue.
14960	Andrea	Mcgill	No	For myself		support the plan	I won't go into the centre of my town to pay 3 dollars a hour to park. The first hour needs to be free to attract people to shop in the town.
14952	Mikayla	Ayrton	No	For myself		support the plan	Parking in the city Centre is difficult and you find yourself walking distances to get free or cheap parking. The city is growing and with more places to eat shop and see it's important that you have a way to bring people in the rough time I would spend in town would be 1-3 hours leaving my parking fee being between \$0- \$4 on average which is cheap if i used my time wisely.
14911	Bill	Bird	No	For myself		support the plan	
14875	Paul	Dolan	No	For myself		support the plan	I believe it is vitally important that the center of Christchurch city is a thriving place that gives the heart of the city a vibrant pulse. Every effort should be made to encourage people to come into the city whether it be to support business or simply be in the heart of the city. The retail sector in particular faces stiff competition from the various malls around the city where parking is free for the duration of a visit to a mall. To offset this, even by one hour, I believe will benefit not only the inner city retail sector but will also give people an incentive to visit the central city providing an energy that is essential for the regeneration and 'feel' of this area of Christchurch.
14871	Wendy	Hay	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>people are only just beginning to rediscover the city centre. Free hour will help them.</p> <p>Also, one hour free means people will take the time and make the effort to come in for shorter trips- eg just to purchase one or two items at Ballys or Country Rd for instance- instead of going to a mall.</p>
14851	Barbara	Eisele	No	For myself		support the plan	It makes it affordable to come to inner city.

14840	Peter	Goldsmith	No	For myself	Peter A Goldsmith	support the plan	I have enjoyed this offer & commend the council on the initiative. May it continue.
14839	James	Hawkins	No	For myself		support the plan	
14837	Georgia	Hillyer	No	For myself		support the plan	Having an hour free parking brings people into the city more even if it's for something small, and if you extend it further by giving every carpark free parking more people will come into the city rather than getting annoyed at the lack of free parks (and large amount of expensive ones like wilsons)
14836	mark	carswell	No	On behalf of a group or organisation	Cosmic Ltd..Retail store	support the plan	<p>We have had a Cosmic Shop in Chch Central City since 1997. including Restart, our present Pop Up shop in the BNZ Centre and by the end of the year, a new Cosmic Shop as part of the Peebles Groups Farmers' Market.</p> <p>Charges for Parking has always been a turn off for citizens visiting the city. The Suburban Malls free parking has set a standard that disadvantages the City. It is apparent to us that the majority of local people have not bothered to return to the central city. ( the city seems to be the quietest we have ever seen, if we go by retail turnover from our store and our fellow retailers).</p> <p>There is some very positive additions to the city, but we have to draw the locals in, and free parking is a real drawcard for a lot of people.</p> <p>Let's make it permanent, and make the parking rates at a very reasonable level so no one gets a nasty surprise as they leave the parking building.</p> <p>Surely all Chch people want to see a thriving Central City, and this is an essential piece of the jigsaw.</p>
14829	Anne	Liddicoat	No	For myself		support the plan	Please keep the hours free parking at Lichfield st car park
14827	Jessie	Flaus	No	For myself		support the plan	Keep the hour free
14825	Macarena	Barra	No	For myself		support the plan	
14824	Melisa	Cid	No	For myself		support the plan	
14822	Jo	Kinley	No	For myself		support the plan	I think it needs to run longer I used this free parking option a lot pre earthquakes to just whip in and grab something from Ballentynes. Parking since then has been a nighttime and so have hardly come into town. You need to ensure people have had long enough to make coming into towns habit. Also as roads are still a nightmare in town it is hard to even get there.

14821	Averil	O'Neil	No	For myself		support the plan	An inducement is needed to encourage the public into town. We know a lot of people who have scarcely been back in since the earthquakes, as they can park for free at malls, and object to the steep parking fees - and poor ground conditions - at private parking areas.
14811	Pippa	Jerram	No	For myself		support the plan	Great outcome of lowering barriers for people to enjoy community resources to increase social and cultural capital
14810	Jennifer	Cotter	No	For myself	Jennifer Cotter	support the plan	Offering 1 hour free parking gives a feeling of goodwill. Free parking in the weekend would also benefit the city centre.
14806	Chris	Patalano	No	For myself		support the plan	Free parking to get people into the town centre is great.
14805	Mary	Torkington	No	For myself		support the plan	I would not visit the city centre to shop without the free parking. I live up the top of a hill and have to use my car to reach the nearest bus stop so I would rather just drive and park. It saves a lot of my time rather than travelling by bus.
14802	Tom	Nisbet	No	For myself		support the plan	I agree with 1hour free parking. This will encourage people to use the ccc carparks keeping the money in Christchurch as opposed to the expensive Wilson run car parks where that money goes overseas. I would also like to see ccc carparks offering 2-3hr free or reduced cost parking in weekends to bring people away from the malls and into the city
14800	Helen	Russell	No	For myself		support the plan	It has been wonderful to see shops and cafes beginning to return to the city, but for a long time we have been going to places such as malls that don't require us to pay for parking. I fully support the hour free parking because it has made shopping in the city as easy and as cheap to park as it would be if going to a mall. I have loved seeing the city become busy again and anything that encourages people to go back into the city is to be supported.
14793	Caroline	Etherington	No	For myself		support the plan	
14792	Sally	Provan	No	For myself		support the plan	I support the plan as I have used the free parking multiple times and it was part of my decision on those occasions to come into the city rather than going elsewhere. It is part of encouraging people back into the city.
14778	Stefi	Porter	No	For myself		support the plan	There is still a lot of doubt about the success for the inner city. We need the nay sayers to come in and have a look on not have a barrier to come in.

14764	Khloe	Peck	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I support the one hour free parking in the CCC owned car parking buildings. Having these available encourages locals into the city to spend more time, hopefully spend more or at least see what the city has to offer and how it has changed over the past seven years.</p> <p>It is also great for visitors to the city from within NZ as they can often find parking expensive in a city if coming from the regions where they are used to paying \$1 or less per hour.</p> <p>Before the earthquakes we had two hours free parking in the central city and it definitely encouraged me to visit the city on my days off (I used to work in the city and still do).</p> <p>The only issue is a lot of people still don't know about the free first hour so will be helpful having another social media campaign as well as poster and billboard display to try and encourage locals back into the central city and see how much is open!</p>
14763	Sara	Quartly	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>We have made use of the free parking at the Art Gallery on a number of occasions. We can access so many things from there, and if my teen is having a bad day with his leg (he has a mild disability) we know we can park there and have easy access to the Art Gallery or the Arts Centre.</p>
14734	Helen	Shorthouse	No	For myself		support the plan	
14727	Murray	Dickinson	No	For myself		support the plan	
14724	Chris	Taua	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>You need to attract us citizens into the city. This is one easy way to do so.</p>
14721	Malin	Zachau	No	For myself	Whanau Zachau	support the plan	<p>In our whanau there are 2 members with mobility problems and public transport is not possible. The cost at eg the Crossing is prohibitive, this is one of the nearest for hospital appointments because the hospital shuttle is wholly unsuitable for people with mobility problems.</p>
14720	Glenn	Connor	No	For myself		support the plan	
14719	Pearl	Chang	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Yes, the one hour free carpark is a wonderful idea and life saver for city businesses. It adds enticement to come into town and spend in town. Sometimes you just need to do a quick pick up. If same thing is available at both Mall and Town then Mall would win because it is free. Extending the hour free carpark in town brings the competition more even. Especially in Winter when weather is shxt and people tend to drive more</p>

14658	Irinka	Britnell	No	On behalf of a group or organisation	Englefield Residents Association inc.	support the plan	We have consulted among the group and agree by consensus that the free parking should continue while we are still encouraging people back into the central city. We understand there is a cost but it is small if we want retailers to succeed in the city. A suggestion was made to provide retailers with free parking coupons they can offer their customers, as not all locals or visitors may be aware of the offer and it may encourage them to come back on another day. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this important issue which is part of getting our city to recover after the devastating earthquakes.
14637	Richard and Louise	Swatton	No	For myself		support the plan	We have taken advantage of the existing free hour several times since it began. We live 50 minutes from town and have to travel by car when picking up food shopping and other items that are difficult to move on public transport. The free hour initiative makes a trip to the centre of town a more attractive proposition when there are barriers to access and more time and effort are needed compared to visiting a non-centre mall. About half the time we have stayed for less than an hour but even when stopping longer the first free hour makes to overall cost reasonable. We have no doubt that if the free hour is removed it will be a disincentive to visiting the centre and certainly our visits will be lessened.
14628	Dawn	Dart	No	For myself		support the plan	I have enjoyed the hour free and it encourages me to visit the central city. I often stay over the hour and like the part payment. I always choose a CCC car park and will avoid Wilson's, as I want the money to go back to Christchurch not overseas. Please keep the first hour free!
14627	Anthony	Dale	No	For myself		support the plan	
14573	Bill	Kingston	No	For myself		support the plan	
14572	Sally	Coughlan	No	For myself		support the plan	I think it's very important to continue to encourage people into the central city as it is only getting a sense of momentum to it now with there being a variety of options to hold one's attention for ore than an hour or so. It also sends a message of goodwill to both retail owners and customers that we want and need these businesses to be supported. The financial saving is significant in changing people's decisions over where they choose to park. For me, I'd prefer to be parking in a council owned parking facility than a private as I feel some returns get returned to the wider city's redevelopment.
14564	Warren	Masters	No	For myself		support the plan	
14560	susan	betts	No	For myself		support the plan	Free parking in the city centre is a must to support the businesses in this badly affected area to flourish. Wilson's car parking seems to be on every demolished piece of land which is so sad and costs a fortune to park in. It's very sad to see them profiting to much out of such a sad and unfortunate event. I hope the council takes back more of the land from wilsons car park and turns it into something more useful for people.
14541	Grant	Hay	No	For myself	Grant Hay	support the plan	



14523	Amy	Hartnell	No	For myself		support the plan	I fully support the one hour free parking initiative at the Lichfield and Art Gallery Carparks. Whilst the city is still in a state of flux in terms of the hospitality / retail opportunities and a challenge to navigate, people do need an incentive to venture into the city centre for leisure purposes. This is a way to encourage those who perhaps do not venture into the city regularly, to take the time and explore all of the new opportunities available.
14503	Denise	Lock	No	For myself		support the plan	The fact that the first hour is free has encouraged us to visit the city centre more regularly. If we choose to come into the city to dine we factor in the cost of the parking. In addition, I would definitely like the parking metres to be free on Sundays, as most people travel into the city for leisure and not work.
14502	Angela	Webster	No	For myself		support the plan	Think its great to extend this option so more people are encouraged to come into town. It has been a long time since some people have ventured into the city since the earthquakes and we now have to persuade some people eg my parents to do so and see the new offerings.
14500	Graham	Robinson	No	For myself		support the plan	Businesses in the central city and drivers going into the city need to be encouraged because of the difficulty of getting past the roadworks and other construction in the city. I have recently been to the Lichfield St park three times, because it is central and close to Cashel St. I have passed other car parking places to get there and the route there is not direct ( up Montreal St, Cashel St, down Cambridge Tce and into Lichfield St ) I suggest the one hour free parking stay until all of the roadworks have finished.
14489	Christie	Simpson	No	For myself		support the plan	I have always been a big supporter of the central city, and always prefer to do my shopping there instead of at malls - but being 23 I don't have a lot of money to spend on parking. I always opt to park at CCC car parks because I prefer that my money goes towards bettering our city, as opposed to going into a private company! I have loved this free parking and I believe it's a worthwhile investment for the city - it makes a quick trip to Ballantynes or the Crossing so much easier and so much more enjoyable. If you make the infrastructure easy and free to access, the centre of Christchurch will come back to life again. Taking away the free parking will only put more people off. I also believe that with better marketing this could become a huge thing - if it's the only free parking in the city and everyone knows about it, everyone will park there! I work in marketing and design so I would be happy to help with this if needed, pro bono. My email address is christie.a.simpson@gmail.com. I look forward to hearing the outcome and I do hope the decision will help shape the future of our city for the young people like myself.
14479	Michaela	Pilgrim	No	For myself		support the plan	I support the free hour parking. It is a draw card to get people back into exploring our new town. It also means that people may consider shopping in town vs a mall where it is easy and free to park.
14477	Richard Ashley	South	No	For myself		support the plan	Free parking should be encouraged over won't whwn there is less tourists about and generally quieter, therefore unmet carapace demand would still exist. Alternative free first half hour would encourage those with short errands or those on lunch breaks. Ashley
14474	Kyoko	Giebel	No	On behalf of a group or organisation	family members	support the plan	
14473	Ceri	Foote	No	For myself		support the plan	

14470	Amanda	White	No	For myself		support the plan	I wish you guys were comparable with Wilson's for pricing! I hate giving an offshore company over \$100 a month for parking but at \$7 a day they are significantly cheaper than supporting the CCC parks. The Hereford St and The Crossing carparks are very close to my work but I cannot afford to use them so am forced to park in a Wilson's Gravel pit. Please look at your all day pricing! I would much rather support a local business!
14468	Andrea	McLay	No	For myself		support the plan	
14464	Leeann	McAuley	No	For myself		support the plan	I live in Rangiora and work at 111 Cashel Street and often need to just come into town and quickly drop off something at work and the one hour free is so convenient and stressfree.
14463	Emma	Burgess	No	For myself		support the plan	I think this is a great idea and will encourage me to come into the city more! If parking is difficult i am likely to avoid it completely!
14462	Sue	Brough	No	For myself		support the plan	I only come into town if i really need to ,the one hr free is good but very few people can shop or have coffee in 1hr ..the parking fee is too high ..last time it cost me \$12 .all my friends feel the same and just dont go to the central city ..none of my friends go to restaurants at night either as lack of parking close to restaurants is now non-existent.. ch ch is becoming overly bike friendly but that doesnt support businesses..
14456	Karissa	hyde	No	For myself		support the plan	I love the free parking initiative for encouraging me to come into the city. Makes it more cost effective and encourages me to stay longer.
14455	Jonathan	Boyce	No	For myself		support the plan	While I am fortunate enough to live close to the city and walk in (so don't personally make use of the car parks often), I believe a large sector of the city's population trade off paying for parking in town vs free parking in the malls. So even an hour free may draw more people in and keep them in the city contributing to businesses and the rebuild's success
14454	Vicki	Peters	No	For myself		support the plan	
14453	Annabel	Parrant	No	For myself		support the plan	
14452	Bronwen	Knowles	No	For myself		support the plan	
14451	Blair	Wallace	No	For myself		support the plan	

14450	James	Rice	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I've made use of the 1 hour free parking many times during the initiative. I would usually bike into town or avoid going at all when street parking was the best option because of the inhibitive cost as a student. The free hour made it possible for me to park in town. I'd almost always stay longer than an hour, often 2-3 hours or longer meaning I still paid parking fees.</p> <p>With the central city rejuvenating, I think it's imperative that people are encouraged to go into town to help the business that are open again thrive. I think the initiative is a great way to do that, so ending it now would be detrimental to the recovery of the city center, regardless of the lost revenue from parking. I believe that cost would be made up for by rates from Central businesses in the long run.</p> <p>In conclusion I think the initiative should be extended at least another 3-6 months for the reasons stated above.</p>
14449	Tania	Maka	No	For myself		support the plan	
14448	Lisa Jayne	Macfarlane	No	For myself		support the plan	It's important to incentivise the use of our central city businesses, and free parking is the least that we can do to ensure that city businesses can compete with big suburban shopping malls. The free parking period should be longer for those with mobility passes, as it takes them longer to get around and back to their cars.
14447	Paula	Le Compte	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>The city needs to be accessible, first hour free encourages people coming in and having a look and many will actually stay longer. There needs to be accessible short term, for the Justice Precinct and also currently for quick visits to the hospital until a parking building is completed there.</p> <p>There also needs to be ability to drop off and pick people up who are visiting in the city, perhaps collecting from the movie theatre area which is to open in due course. There are also sufficient niche retail outlets now opening, that a quick short duration carpark is ideal for.</p> <p>Currently the inner city is a nightmare for people visiting the city, with the ever changing road works and excessive cycle lanes. A known destination that provides first hour free car parking is ideal to counter this "inaccessible" feeling that is growing. Elderly are hesitant to venture in and are staying out at the suburban malls.</p>
14446	Jenny	Barnes	No	For myself		support the plan	I love the one hour free parking. It makes the difference of whether I would pop in to the city for something. I haven't used it at Lichfield St yet because I'm often in the city for full day for work, but I did use the one at the Crossing when they had it (I realize this submission is not about that building) and it was brilliant for a quick run in and out errand and it will definitely make a difference to whether I'd consider shopping at the Cashel mall. I have used it also at Rolleston Ave carpark. I think the central city is struggling to attract people back on and parking cost of a give part of that. One hour free eases the pain!
14445	Amy	Hewgill	No	For myself		support the plan	
14442	Daniel	Eaton	No	For myself		support the plan	I believe free or low cost parking is the best option to entice people back to the city centre, with very clear signage required to show which parks are which. I think if it is too expensive after the first hour, this would discourage me from going in the first place.
14440	Hannah	Gibbons	No	For myself		support the plan	I loved the free hour of parking. It did encourage me to go into the CBD when I usually wouldn't

14439	Mele	Wayne	No	On behalf of a group or organisation	Wayne Corp	support the plan	Keep parking in the inner city affordable and people will come.
14438	kate	veale	No	For myself		support the plan	
14437	Richard	Battrick	No	For myself		support the plan	I support the free hour. I've come across so many people who have not returned to the city since the earthquakes. Friends at Yaldhurst, and West Melton still have to make it in, and another who lives in Beckenham only made it in late last year and then told me how neat it was. If you can get 240 minutes for free parking at Westfield Riccarton then the city needs as much help as it can get.
14436	Merrin	Davies	No	For myself		support the plan	We need to encourage people back to the city centre and the one hour free parking is a good incentive for people to go there rather than the malls which have free parking.
14434	Wayne	Stewart	No	For myself		support the plan	The council must do everything in its powers to draw more people into the city, all parking in the central city should be 1 hour free to entice shoppers/diners, I'm pretty sure the extra revenue from more people parking etc would cover the discount. I know personally I'd visit more stores/restaurants cafes etc if it wasn't for the constant need to feed parking meters for sometimes an unknown time.
14433	Emma	Derrick	No	For myself		support the plan	I have used the 1 hour free parking a number of times over the summer - this has encouraged me to come into the Central city for various events with my family that I would have otherwise not attended. This is a great initiative that needs to stay long term. Thanks CCC.
14431	Andrea	Dahl	No	For myself		support the plan	I use this building which is becoming more popular since the opening of the Precinct and the development of shopping opportunities in town. I appreciate the first hour being free and am using this building more and coming onto town more
14428	Rebecca	Turner	No	For myself		support the plan	
14427	Melia	Tjondronugroho	No	For myself		support the plan	Free parking is important , so people can go to the city more often
14424	F	Surjapranata	No	For myself		support the plan	With the city's current chaotic roads & rebuild state atm... the free parking will boost tourists & visitors number. Please continue the free parking to help businesses in the city.
14422	Angelique	Zidich	No	For myself		support the plan	I only come into the city if there is free parking, I do not need to ever come to the city centre other than the odd hospital visit, before the earthquakes I only used the free parking, occasionally went slightly over my free hour and paid. I was not aware free parking was currently available or I may have come to do some shopping.
14421	John	Walker	No	For myself		support the plan	There is currently bugger all to entice people into the city at the moment. Free parking could potentially make it attractive to visit.  Once the city is back up and running again, then charge for parking

14420	Shirish	Paranjape	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Pre-earthquake, we had several locations with 1-hour free parking in CBD.</p> <p>In the recent times, people have paid through their nose to Wilsons Parking, and others.</p> <p>I support extension of free parking not only at these 2 designated sights but at all Council car parks.</p>
14418	Kate	Prebble	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Christchurch city needs people coming to it as much as possible. The one hour free acted as a good incentive. Without the hour free parking, we would be charged excessive amounts of money.</p>
14417	Lena	Rodnyanskiy	No	For myself		support the plan	
14416	Jo	Ewing	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>An hours free parking really works to draw people to come into the city centre. This is very much needed here. We saw this in action in Nelson.</p> <p>I would like to support also the idea mooted recently for Lichfield street carpark t be used for hospital out patients and visitors, along with a shuttle. The present arrangement is a disgrace and an insult. This is a way the CCC can help their people.</p>
14415	Amit	Prakash	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>It gives a reason to use the services in the CBD especially when you've planned what you're going to do for example, having to run in to the BNZ centre for the Govt services and other lunch break tasks. So long as the pricing is always reasonable (use Wilsons as a bad example) I'll be happy, but having the first hour free will be invaluable in maintaining the livelihood and growth of the council's CBD projects. It's a cost that I'm happy to write into the rates. This should be a permanent policy. I'd also like to see 'first 5 mins free' on street parks before charges begin. This will allow a regular movement in the car parks away from the parking buildings in places such as along Victoria St, where the lack of convenience does push people away.</p>
14410	Wendy	Towart	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Before the earthquakes I worked, shopped and dined in the CBD and fully support it's redevelopment but will only return to the city to shop and eat if I can get parking that is affordable. I feel The Council is being realistic and offering a much fairer deal than the privately owned facilities. If parking is priced at a premium people will not return to the city and will continue to shop in malls where the parking is free. I feel that retailers who have shown faith and invested huge amounts of money returning to the city will eventually pack up and go elsewhere. If the private operators took up the Council scheme of offering the first hour free they would probably see an increase in their occupancy. As things stand at the moment I refuse to use Wilsons unless I absolutely have to and most of our friends and family feel that this particular operator has a strangle hold on Christchurch. So bravo Council keep the first hour free going and maybe consider making parking at the weekends free!</p>
14409	Grania	Ormond	No	For myself	Grania Ormond	support the plan	<p>Great way to encourage people to come in to town and the visit the art gallery. As someone who lives outside of Chch, parking issues and fees in town are a big deterrent to visiting. I would rather park in a locally owned Council car park than any other. If over an hour, happy to pay.</p>
14407	Marie	Webb	No	For myself		support the plan	
14401	Thomas	Young	No	For myself		support the plan	

14399	Lauren	Johnston	No	For myself		support the plan	
14397	Sue	Hale	No	For myself		support the plan	
14396	Ben	Ellis	No	For myself		support the plan	Free / subsidised parking was great when I had less money. Now I have more money I'm happy to pay rates for that service to be continued.
14395	Oliver	Barker	No	For myself		support the plan	I specifically seek out council owned buildings for this free hour, and subsequently am happy to pay the extra for when I park over the hour.
14394	Kelly	Hutchinson	No	For myself		support the plan	During the buskers festival knowing I could get an easy park for an hour free made my decision to come in vastly easier. I shared this information with all my family so we all would park at the gallery for shows. Typically there was a small cost but it was worth it
14393	Jane	Robertson	No	For myself		support the plan	
14392	Sarah	Hardie	No	On behalf of a group or organisation	Family	support the plan	My family from Cashmere started to make the effort to return to the CBD over summer 2017-8. We are trying to use the CBD instead of Riccarton Mall. We try to Park in the CCC car park in Tuam St and avoid Wilson's. We would like the free first hour extended. It's a bonus we enjoy.
14389	Matthew	Pluck	No	For myself		support the plan	
14388	Mairi	Mckendry	No	For myself	Mairi Clare Mckendry	support the plan	
14387	Martin	Conway	No	For myself		support the plan	The one hour free parking is a great incentive for bringing visitors to the central city. I don't believe the cost to the city is as high as has been stated because there is a lack of evidence that I have seen to suggest that these parks would have otherwise been utilized if the free parking wasn't available.
14386	Prunella	Harris	No	For myself		support the plan	My husband and I totally support free parking in the CBD but would actually like it extended to 2 hours because it is still far from equitable with parking at our city's malls. The problem still is that one has to walk from the 2 free parking buildings in the CBD to wherever and this can really eat into the 1 hour. If one is just going in to the city for coffees/food and a browse/possible shop, it is still rather expensive compared to free mall parking, especially if it's just for a coffee at a favourite cafe on the way home from work. (After 2x parking tickets I've given up on this in favour of a cafe with parking in the suburbs, so my fave cafe has actually now lost my very regular business). Another possibility is to see an extra hour or two subsidised by retailers for a spend over a certain amount and this could maybe be incorporated into the operation of private parking buildings also. But until retailers can afford this, I think the CCC really needs to step up to get people into our central city. The city is messy and it's a lot to pay for ANY parking when the CBD is in its current state with few shops, especially for people over 40! Sometimes we cycle in but can't do that after work, which isn't in the CBD. My husband has already had his bicycle stolen from outside Ballantynes at a cost of \$900 for him to replace it, so cycling is looking way less attractive. I believe theft of cycles in the CBD is rife (told this by the police) and the CCC needs also to majorly address this issue. We think that the parking buildings should have free?

							cycle parking with actual attendants and ticketing - necessary if you really want cyclists in the CBD!! Too many bikes have been stolen from inside the parking building cycle parks.
14385	Pat	McIntosh	No	For myself		support the plan	The free parking at the Art Gallery is extremely useful to encourage multiple short visits and use of the area.
14384	Tracey	Fowlds	No	For myself		support the plan	People need to be encouraged to go into town so this would be a good move. The majority of people still drive places & they will go else where if it's too expensive to park. The city businesses need customers.....without businesses we wouldn't have much of a city & I think the council needs to be more mindful of that & to also stop taking away car parks in front of businesses...it just kills them
14383	Peter	Jamieson	No	For myself		support the plan	Without that free parking so hard to pop in and out of places
14382	Jed	Oâ€™Donoghue	No	For myself		support the plan	I often pop into the CBD for a quick shop at speciality stores, some of which are also in suburban malls. The free parking and convenient location of the Lichfield St car park in particular, strongly influences me to go to the CBD rather than a mall.
14381	Erica	Henderson	No	For myself		support the plan	
14379	Gretchen	Boyd	No	For myself		support the plan	Eventually phasing out, with lower rates in the weekends and evenings.
14378	Michael	Jamieson	No	For myself		support the plan	I would support the one hour free car park option permanently as an ongoing stimulus to the CBS businesses and also for all CCC Car Parks
14377	David	Annan	No	For myself		support the plan	Great initiative to energise the central city. Its difficult enough to get into the centre of town most days. If I had to pay for parking I would not come in
14376	Jacqui	Bennetts	No	For myself		support the plan	I support the plan as it will encourage use of the car park.
14373	Murray	Sanders	No	For myself	Murray Sanders	support the plan	
14372	Pete	Ellis	No	For myself		support the plan	
14371	Hannes	Coetzee	No	For myself		support the plan	Get people back in the City!!
14370	Amanda	Howell	No	For myself		support the plan	
14365	Jackie	Foulkes	No	For myself		support the plan	Most definitely. If Chch central wants to increase people using the city they need better parking facilities and the first hour free is great. Particularly with young children, using public transport is often not viable. CCC should maintain the first hour free. This will bring more shoppers/explorers into the city.
14364	Geela	Fabic	No	For myself		support the plan	

14363	Sacha	Battrick	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I support the free 1 hour parking initiative, as I feel it is an important part of bringing people into the city instead of going to the malls where they always get free parking. A few ideas though for covering the costs:</p> <p>1 Have you considered having retailers, etc. in the CBD subsidise a portion of the costs via their rates, as they will largely be the ones benefiting from people coming in?</p> <p>2 When I lived in Japan and shopped somewhere, retailers often had a small machine that they used to validate my parking ticket if I made a purchase. Perhaps a similar system could be implemented here, eg. spend \$20, get 30min free, etc. (This way, the retailer that is directly benefiting financially is the one that foots a portion of the customer's parking bill)</p>
14362	Emma	Dyer	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Knowing that the first hour was free made me feel like popping into town to do some shopping as I knew that if my errands only took a half hour I wasn't going to be charged. I usually end up staying longer and am happy to pay as I consider the Lichfield car park building a great safe modern facility. Also having been a passenger in a car where a friend was driving and getting frustrated by navigating the central city and trying to find a parking place, the free hour instantly lifted her mood, as I think there was a strong chance of her becoming disillusioned with coming into the CBD. Even if the hourly rate after the first hour was higher that first free hour gives people confidence that the CBD is a great place to visit. Also as a safety thing I feel better about parking in a bright well lit car park structure rather than a dark side alley somewhere, having free first hour parking will encourage others not to risk their safety for the sake of a few dollars.</p>
14360	Sarah	Kong	No	For myself		support the plan	
14354	Alex	Munro	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I really like the idea of keeping the one hour free in place so it can get me to the hospital for my regular appointments</p>
14353	Graeme	McDonald	No	For myself		support the plan	
14352	Coll	Harvey	No	For myself	Coll Harvey	support the plan	<p>I feel i will encourage more people to take advantage of visiting our awesome art gallery.</p> <p>I feel that in the city centre it will encourage more people into the city center to explore what is developing there.</p>
14350	Kim	Annan	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>We need to encourage more shoppers and people into the CBD and the free parking will help that. There still is only a few business open and we do not have a vibrant city yet. Many of the shops that have opened are finding business slow compared to where it needs to be to cover their costs of rent and staff etc. They need the free parking too to encourage shoppers which will help keep their business afloat until the rest of the city rebuilds. Winter is the slowest sales time and less tourists so this is the time they need the locals and the locals are less likely to walk or bike in the winter weather. I feel sad that some businesses have gone under and I would not like to see any others have this happen. Please keep the free parking and that will encourage people to go to the city instead of the malls - and we all know the malls have free parking and a lot more shops on offer so that is what you have to compete with</p>
14349	Nicola	Laurie	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>anything that enables citizens to access the city amenities in an easier convenient way has to be of benefit to all. we need to attract business back into the city. now you need to address the ridiculous bike lanes and hospital parking. both are unacceptable.</p>



14348	Graeme	Scott	No	For myself		support the plan	
14344	Kay	Addei	No	For myself		support the plan	
14341	Carolyn	Moffat	No	For myself		support the plan	Access to city is difficult. Removal on significant numbers of on street parks in places such as Durham St means I have been avoiding city. In addition parking was VERY expensive in town. I have used the Lichfield carpark a lot since Christmas because it isn't too expensive. I am now heading into town more than malls in spite of the chaos and mess in there caused by poor traffic planning. An accessible city is supposed to cater to everyone, so it is nice to see some consideration for the car driver finally. Hopefully the city will soon be more accessible for all, as currently it has become inaccessible for most - quite the opposite of what most people (including me) intended at the Share an Idea forum. Ian also in favour of the car park being used for hospital parking- what a great way to use the space!
14337	Liza	Sparrow	No	For myself		support the plan	Free parking is a real incentive for us to visit the city. Please continue to offer this service as it supports regeneration of the inner city and the local retailers.
14330	dinesh	varma	No	For myself		support the plan	
14328	Gordon	Spite	No	For myself		support the plan	I think it is a good short term investment to encourage more people into the CBD. The recent media interest can only have helped that as well.
14314	Jon	Malis	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>I think Council has the whole philosophy of parking wrong. You think you want to be like Wilsons and generate MILLIONS from parking fees/ rents and this is wrong. You have built a monopoly infrastructure with the ratepayers money, so it should be as close to free as possible to park there. It isn't your carpark - IT IS OUR CARPARK.</p> <p>The parking structures should be priced to fund their own maintenance and for the growth of the network of new structures - no more than that.</p> <p>I think the first two hours should be free at all Council operated garages. The whole point is to make it easier and more convenient for the citizens and guests of Chch to come into town to shop and play. This increases the value of the properties in town and helps establish a pattern of behavior beneficial to all the retailers and businesses.</p> <p>It also has the benefit of driving Wilsons out of business - I hate those guys - their abusive monopoly on this functional necessity must end.</p> <p>How about this idea: Put a 25% tax on the profits of parking operations like Wilsons to make up the difference on what Council loses from providing free parking.</p>
15080	Jan	McKeogh	Yes			support the plan	Like housing, parking in the city is vital for the average person, and for the survival of inner ChCh. Every effort must be made to make a visit to the city possible.

15297	Roger and Chris	Wyeth	No			support the plan	<p>If the council is wanting to encourage central city retail activity then surely one hour's free parking is an incentive to retain the existing policy!</p> <p>If this policy is discontinued then those of us who shop in the suburbs will continue to do so</p> <p>Please consider this change in policy very carefully!</p> <p>Can we suggest that it may be fine for councillors and probably some council staff have parks provided free or at the rate payers expense so it doesn't affect these parties</p> <p>Please be aware that the local body elections are coming up next year and if a decision is made to dispense with this policy, the publication of those councillors who voted in favour of doing away with this policy are made public</p> <p>Thank you</p>
15206	Kianee	small	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>Not many people go into central due to parking. Remove the free hour and not many locals will come into town as often.</p>
15135	bek	mccoy	No	For myself		support the plan	<p>continue 1 free hour. this encourages me to come to town and i'm happy to pay the rest</p>
15428	Liam	B	No	For myself		have some concerns	<p>Generally support the idea of providing a first hour free at the proposed Council carpark buildings. Although in isolation there is a cost associated with this, this will likely be ameliorated by the fact that people attracted by a first hour free offer will then go on to stay for further paid hours, or people who only stay for the free hour may patron nearby businesses. These people would otherwise not utilise the buildings at all. However, the size of this group is difficult to assess.</p> <p>Regardless of whether first hour free parking is provided, the carparks must be better advertised. A number of people seem unaware of their existence, or are confusing the Council Lichfield St carpark with the Innovation Precinct Carpark (also on Lichfield St). By enhancing patronage of these carparks with their generally lower rates (and potentially first hour free), pressure may be put on private carpark operators to review their parking rates. As it stands patronage is too low to have a meaningful effect, though usage has increased since a recent run of news articles.</p> <p>Parking rates and free periods may have to be assessed in the future, if and when the carparks begin to reach capacity.</p>

15371	Joseph	Corbett-Davies	No	For myself		have some concerns	<p>In general I am opposed to car parking subsidies, since they tend to produce outcomes that are at odds with other council goals: reducing carbon emissions, reducing congestion, increasing the number of residents in the CBD, and encouraging non-car transport modes. For this reason I think it was probably unwise to spend so much on CBD parking buildings well in advance of any apparent demand for them.</p> <p>There seems to be tension in the council goals here: do these parking building exist to A) make money / cover their operating costs, or B) provide a sort of public service. If A) is the case, why were they built? Because the apparent demand for parking doesn't seem to justify the construction cost. If B) is the case, surely we would want to lower the price of parking (or have different pricing throughout the day) in these buildings to spur higher occupancy, rather than have these expensive assets sit half-empty.</p> <p>In the case of either A or B, it seems unlikely that the current pricing scheme maximises either revenue or occupancy. We have already spent the money on these buildings, might as well fill them up or get the most revenue we can out of them (or balance these two aims). If one-hour free parking is the way to do it, then we should do that.</p> <p>If my recent experience at the lantern festival was anything to go by, providing a reason to visit is the best way to get people back into the city, not by increasing parking supply at huge cost to the council.</p>
15369	Helen	Willis	No	For myself		have some concerns	If I have to pay for it by increased rates then it's not free
15344	Rowena	Watson	No	For myself		have some concerns	Hi there, I support the plan to keep the free parking in the two parking buildings mentioned however I am very concerned at the plan to not include the New Regent st parking as free too. I am assuming that you mean the car park over on the corner of Gloucester and Manchester. The New Regent st area has been hit hardest by the accessible cities road changes and constant roadworks and I believe you need to reconsider putting free parking in our area too for a period of time too. It is vitally important that you advertise these free parks as well
15333	Allan	Stephenson	No	For myself		have some concerns	<p>I support extended free parking, however my concern is that;</p> <p>The council owned carpark is losing money. Who pays the cost of that?</p> <p>The Council insists in endeavouring to discourage vehicles from the CBD with poorly thought out roading/cycleway policies</p>
15215	georgie	tarren - sweeney	No	For myself		have some concerns	The city has been a very difficult space to negotiate for 7 years! We need the 2 parking trial 'one hour free' extended to enable the community to return to the city....there are people who have left forever....such a shame when we visit vibrant cities.....and see ours.....One Big Wilsons Carpark! With a few road cones and some empty shops. Those who have taken big salaries for the last 7 years have stood between a successful rebuild, promoting self interest in space where strong community access would have led to a successful city. I urge Council to rethink the damage of the Wilsons Parking takeover. ...Sunday Free parking in all carparks would help the city recover!
15119	Anna	Western-Bell	No	For myself		have some concerns	Wondering if the system whereby a receipt of purchase from participating retailers would earn you a free hour would be more beneficial to inner city retailers.
15087	Mike	Grabner	No	For myself		have some concerns	<p>If the car parking buildings are so underutilized, why is it so hard to park around town?</p> <p>Is it because the car parks are too expensive, not close enough to where people want to shop?</p>

							Christchurch should have so much parking as the city is not fully up and running yet, yet finding a park is nearly impossible.
15083	Nick	Dench	No	For myself		have some concerns	I don't know what 'the plan' is, but the one hour free parking needs to stay.  The council has made it horrible to try and find a roadside park that is over 60mins and if there is a 120min Park, it costs a fortune.  LEAVE THE HOUR FREE PARKING.
15076	Cameron	Bradley	No	For myself		have some concerns	Big fan for short-medium term parking to bring people into the city however it must not be able to be used for commuters
15035	Julie	Tavendale	No	For myself		have some concerns	As the car park is only 60% utilised, why doesn't the council have a shuttle service for the disabled, elderly to the hospital. Still charge the \$5-00 fee and this would increase the usage of the car park and income.
15034	Peter	Jamieson	No	For myself		have some concerns	While I have suggested cbd business should pay alternative would be they pay 1 hour additional to 1 hour from all rates they would attract more into town without the worry while this doe not bring in long stayers any more
15031	blair	ashdowne	No	For myself		have some concerns	a much better idea is move the existing hospital car park to this building and charge a flat day rate. my estimates suggest an instant increase in profit. the other hospital car park is a disgrace for those that are in well or elderly
15012	Tracey	Nelson	No	For myself		have some concerns	I don't understand why you are offering free parking at more than just one car park building. Keep it to just the Lichfield car park. This would still encourage people to come into the CBD with the bonus of being close to the hospital during the day so those visiting patients don't have an unduly long walk to get there, plus it's also close to the main hospitality area. You would then recoup some of this lost revenue from the other car parks. As the river precinct bars and restaurants start to open you will start to see more people come - assuming the never-ending roadworks on Durham St are ever completed and that disaster of an intersection of Durham and Litchfield is sorted out. The CCC have made it incredibly difficult to even get into the CBC over the last 12 months with the ridiculous roading changes and number of cycle lanes going in.
14972	Ann	Goldfarb	No	For myself	Ann Goldfarb	have some concerns	It is important to support cbd businesses. However, I think that it is reasonable to require some parking revenues. I would gladly pay \$1 per hour to park and shop but not \$3+. Better to get some revenue than price it so high that the facility continues to be underutilized. Need to evaluate cost (loss) for parking garage vs. Tax Revenue from businesses near parking.
14958	Daniel	Chima	No	On behalf of a group or organisation	BurgerFuel	have some concerns	The central city development is by no means complete. As business owners in the central city for the past 2 years, we have only just seen customer/trade levels that allow us to break even. We employ 15 people in the central city.  Its important to continue to drive customers into the central city precinct, to support existing businesses and encourage other, previously displaced, businesses to move back.  Our work to rebuild the city and attract people back is not done. Not by a long way. Let's not drop the ball.
14945	Gwyneth	Nuttall	No	For myself	Gwyneth Nuttall	have some concerns	Please use this car park area for Temporary hospital parking over winter months with shuttle running form there = charge the same rate. Temporary carpark is atrocious for those sick and elderly coping with the shingle and pot holes
14935	Rosemary	Neave	No	For myself		have some concerns	I believe that the retail businesses should be supporting this plan financially and prefer a model that gives 1 hour parking free, if a receipt from supportive businesses is supplied. Such businesses should make a contribution to the costs of this.

14878	PA & LJ	Acton-Adams	No	On behalf of a group or organisation		have some concerns	<p>We have used the Lichfield Car park on a number of occasions since the one hour free parking initiative began. We have mostly been in and out on the free hour but it hasn't worried us if we have gone over the hour and paid for the next hour or part thereof.</p> <p>Considering the financial implications it may prudent for Council to consider a half priced first hour rather than a free first hour. We have often remarked that the Lichfield carpark is not well utilised and we wonder whether enough people know there is first free hour and whether it is because it is more of a walk to the shopping area than where the other two central city private parks are located which moreorless open on to the new shopping areas. We have many friends who do not tend to come in to the city yet, and we guess this is the same for many people. This will change we are sure but it will take some time and more facilities operating. We must say we are currently enjoying getting carparks easily but know it wont last!</p>
14843	Ropate	Siwatibau	No	For myself		have some concerns	<p>My family never go to town because there are no free parks. Why should we when malls have free car parks and are much closer. We would definitely go if car parks were free for one hour.</p>
14819	Julia	Saulsbury	No	For myself		have some concerns	<p>Think it should stay. In fact extend it to 2 hours if you really want to keep people coming into the city. Don't call it free if rate payers will be paying for it; - that is not free. Better would be to reduce the charge to 50 Cents per half hour from the first half hour and no charge on rate payers at all. But have to ask why you even ask for submissions and feedback when you take absolutely no notice of what the people of Christchurch want? If you did you wouldn't be spending millions on the unwanted cycle ways.</p>
14786	Joscelyne	Silcock	No	For myself	Joscelyne Silcock	have some concerns	<p>I am concerned if by supporting this initiative my rates will increase further.</p> <p>I am fully supportive of initiatives to encourage people into the city and free parking is a great incentive.</p> <p>30 minutes free parking would be preferred option rather than completely stopping the free parking.</p>
14780	Dan	Heuston	No	For myself		have some concerns	<p>I support the plan as it will continue to help those CBD businesses that have invested in our city to get/stay on their feet. My concerns are that, without knowing the councils overall budget plans, a 0.1% rates increase is an easy way for CCC to claw back revenue deficit, rather than looking at perhaps cutting other projects back, or looking at reducing costs and wastage within the running of the council today.</p>
14563	Amanda	Williams	No	For myself		have some concerns	<p>Getting people into town is key. Creating new habits takes time. I find that as progress occurs and new works start places to park keep moving, which is frustrating. It is good to have one hour free as an incentive for people to come to town and make the effort. Often I end up going over the one hour free time as I find something else to look at, so end up paying something for staying longer.</p> <p>The consideration of less income to the Council being a cost to the rate payer is definitely something to keep in mind. Also the cost of parking generally in car park buildings as well as on the street. Some places are expensive to park at. It would be useful to produce a map of which streets have what cost for parking. This might entice younger people to park a bit further away for a cheaper price, which in turn could make it easier to find a park for those who will pay more or who are less mobile.</p> <p>Some parks have a minimum charge of one hour. This is unfair. If I am just stopping to drop something off and will be five minutes I feel offended that I have to pay for an hour. I understand the ideas behind it but suggest a 30 minute minimum would be more fair.</p> <p>In another example, if I park briefly at one place, then park briefly to another place I feel my ticket should still be valid, rather than have to pay for two hours of parking when I use only two lots of five minutes.</p>

14485	James	Clark	No	For myself		have some concerns	I support an hour of free parking but do not agree that this necessitates a rate rise of any amount. I also believe that the cost of on-street parking should be reduced, and should be free after 5.30pm and on weekends and public holidays. The CCC need to stop making expensive parking a factor in people avoiding the city.
14471	Deb	Robinson	No	For myself		have some concerns	If there is no free one hour parking in town I will go somewhere else. Simple.  If there is, I may then stay longer and be happy to pay.  I will not pay Wilsons or other vendors for parking anyway so their argument that one hour free harms them is irrelevant in my case.  I feel sorry for local inner city businesses who will miss out the most.
14432	christine	miller	No	For myself		have some concerns	I am quite angry at lack of street parking around the city , and if there is parking, the horrific design around entering and exiting a carpark making it very very difficult. (perpendicular concrete berms to pavement) and I generally dislike parking buildings. However to get me to support the city, your offer of 1 free hour has tempted me in. Because a store attendant took a long time to complete my purchase I ended up paying, but I will still visit if your 1 hour free offer continues. I am a shift worker, just nearby to city, so like the idea of popping to pick something up. My preference rather than a shopping mall. There is talk of losses; well before the earthquake , our city was dying, wanting people back. Preventing me to park conveniently, and charging me on the street even on a Sunday evening is going to be a further loss to the CBD in general, if more people are kept way by this.  Downtown Wellington has free parking in the weekends. and that place just hums with people..
14429	Lis	Stevenson	No	For myself		have some concerns	I would prefer parking st Lichfield to stay as 1st hour free. I also think street parking should be free from 5pm and on weekends as this would encourage more people to come into the city centre.
14402	Anna	Wilkes	No	For myself		have some concerns	1hr free parking is a great incentive to come into the central city. Surely the cost of extending this could be offset by providing an allocation of parks to help the appalling hospital parking situation.  In addition to focusing on car parking, I would also like to see the Council initiate incentives to get more people on to public transport e.g. when the new Hoyts cinemas open, get a free bus ride home after your movie.
14375	Nayan	Nayyar	No	For myself		have some concerns	It should be 2 hour free car parking
14367	Tom	King	No	For myself	Tom King	have some concerns	Rather than free parking, why not offer reduced parking fee for a period of time? Or alternatively extend the free parking for one hour for another 2 - 3 months with a view to then introduce a parking fee at reduced rate. Asses how this goes in terms of usage as well as what parking is like around the CBD and have the option to operate with a reduced fee on an on-going basis until fees can be increased to an appropriate tariff.

14357	Rob	Barr	No	For myself		have some concerns	<p>1. Without the free one hour initiative, many residents are extremely reluctant to visit the city, particularly due to free parking alternatives.</p> <p>2. The city regeneration has 5 to 10 years to run, these are the timeframes you should be thinking about.</p> <p>3. The beneficiaries are the city centre retail businesses. Apply a beneficiary pays approach using a targeted rate</p> <p>4. One day Christchurch may have a better public transport system, but until that happens, the central city will return to pre-earthquake usage .. for service businesses and tourists. City residents will continue to feel excluded, with barriers - particularly parking - outweighing incentives. It's a long road trip giving residents a feeling of ownership of, and pride in, their own city</p>
14355	Tuan	Truong	No	For myself		have some concerns	one-hour or two-hour free parking on weekends only or a small fee on weekends
15426	Alex	McNeill	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>My main concern is that having free parking will encourage people to drive into the city centre. We have a wonderful bus exchange and bike parking that should be advertised instead. The roads in the centre aren't designed for car traffic - we need to encourage people to use other ways to travel into the city. Christchurch's city centre is easily walkable, and our generally-nice weather makes it a pleasant experience to bus, bike, or walk. If people really want to drive due to convenience they should pay for the privilege. As it stands, since none of the carparks are running at capacity even with the free hour, it seems a waste of money to continue making it free, especially, as stated in the proposal, most people currently accept that they'll be paying for parking in the city centre.</p> <p>Extending the trial will cost almost half a million dollars, which the Council hasn't budgeted for, and I think this money would be better spent in making the city centre more accessible for biking, busing, and walking, and encouraging people to come to the city centre for its own merits, not merely because "Free Parking!" is dangled in front of them. A long-term solution for attracting people to the city is needed, not a short-term solution that will make many people angry if it is done away with after a longer period of time. If the Free Parking is stopped now, people won't be as used to it, and will continue being accepting of paying for carparks. If it is continued, people will probably start to take it for granted, and we will be in the same position as now, only worse.</p>
15425	Chris	Stinson	No	For myself		do not support the plan	The demands of addressing climate change and reducing urban sprawl require a modal shift away from private cars towards public and active transport options. The council should be investing in and encouraging these modes to grow Christchurch as a modern, sustainable, resilient city rather than subsidizing car trips to the city.

15424	Peter	Holder	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>1. Incentivizing people to drive into town with one-hour free parking is detrimental to encouraging the behaviour shift to alternative transport options our city needs. Our Council needs to prioritize public and alternative transport options to reduce congestion and enable our city to transition to low-carbon transport infrastructure</p> <p>2. There is a need to get people used to using car parking buildings instead of on-street parking. However, Council cannot show that this free parking trial has been successful in attracting people into the city. Of greater relevance, parking building use was consistent before and during the "free parking" trial, indicating this policy has not increased car park use, but has cost rate payers \$90K (Reference: Information provided with <a href="https://ccc.govt.nz/the-council/consultations-and-submissions/haveyoursay/show/138">https://ccc.govt.nz/the-council/consultations-and-submissions/haveyoursay/show/138</a>).</p> <p>3. Most people expect to pay for central city parking. Providing subsidized parking distorts the market, and will harm private investment in the sector</p> <p>4. Extending this trial will cost at least \$400,000 a year which Council haven't budgeted for. An additional rates increase will be required to meet this unwarranted and ineffective subsidy</p>
-------	-------	--------	----	------------	--	-------------------------	---



15420	Catherine	Kilgour	No	For myself	Catherine Kilgour	do not support the plan	<p>If the plan was to continue it should be at the Art Gallery car park only and only for those actually visiting the Art Gallery.</p> <p>Currently there are more car parks than what is needed in the central city.</p> <p>People visiting the central city should not expect free car parks.</p> <p>Private car parking areas are often not sealed and horrible to use after rain. Providing free car parks is a disincentive to private firms to improving their product when there is already an over supply.</p> <p>Christchurch is a great city for cycling and much of the city is within easy cycling distance from the central city. I drive to central Christchurch for planned activities with my children and expect to pay for my parking.</p> <p>Improving cycle safety improves the driving conditions for those of us who don't have a choice due to the distance we are travelling. More cyclists means fewer cars. Yet that is not supported by making rate payers subsidise free parking. For those who live too far to cycle but live near a bus route it also removes the cost of parking so making it less of an incentive to pay for the bus.</p> <p>I don't think Christchurch rate payers should be subsidising my parking when I travel from Ashburton. Not that I even knew the free 1 hour was available until recently. Rates should be used to improve the lives of rate payers. Reducing traffic in the central city is one way of doing this. Providing free car parks is counter productive.</p> <p>I grew up in Christchurch and would love to see cycling numbers increase back to where they were before the government removed restrictions on how many cheap cars could be imported each year.</p>
-------	-----------	---------	----	------------	-------------------	-------------------------	---

15417	Francesca	Bradley	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>Council should not extend its one-hour free parking offer at the Lichfield and Art Gallery car park buildings for the following reasons;</p> <p>1. This offer aimed to incentivise people to travel into town in their personal vehicles. If it had proven successful, this would have lead to a grid-lock, congested central city. From Share An Idea, the public voiced their preference for a city designed for pedestrians, not cars. If we want a thriving, adaptable future for Christchurch, our Council canâ€™t be seen to be encouraging Cantabrians to keep using their cars as their main form of transport into town. Christchurch residents already heavily depend on their motor vehicles, so we do not need any additional incentives to maintain this transport behaviour.</p> <p>2. The Council's trial has failed to definitively show that it has attracted more people to come back into the central city. The information provided on Council's submission page, stated that their December 2017 parking supply and demand survey of the central city, showed that the supply of parking in the central city exceeded the demand. 9,800 of the 14,400 parking spaces were being utilised. This data shows that none of the car parking buildings in the central city have been operating near, or at capacity. Furthermore, Council's offer of one-hour free parking directly disadvantages the private car park building owners and operators also located in the central city. We need to support private parking building owners who provide alternative parking solutions to on-street carparks. Doing so could eventually lead to greater acceptance and support for installing new cycleways at the cost of on-street parking.</p> <p>Extending this one-free parking offer sets an unprecedented expectation that car users don't have to pay for carparks in Christchurch's central city. Creating this possibility may in turn develop strong public opinion against paying for parking in the future when it becomes more expensive.</p> <p>I personally do not think the loss in revenue for Council to extend this trial is best for the Council's current budget. It would be more wise to use the generated income from Christchurch City Council's parking buildings for alternative transport options, so our city can get use to relying on different modes of transport, other than cars.</p>
15403	Jocelyn	Papprtill	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>It is not clear that people have chosen to visit the central city more frequently due to the 1 hour free parking, and the offer is costing the council money it can least afford to lose. In a city already strapped for money required to complete current projects the 1-hour free parking seems a luxury. That said, I support 1-hour free parking in the city on a Sunday.</p> <p>The 1-hour free parking also acts as a disincentive with respect to increasing the patronage of public transport.</p>

15398	Katia	De Lu	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>Extending this trial will cost at least \$400,000 a year which Council haven't budgeted for. Given the Council's precarious financial position and the many more important things it needs to fund, this expenditure is unjustifiable.</p> <p>Furthermore, it creates the wrong incentives. One-hour free parking will encourage more people to drive into town, rather than using active or public transport. The Council needs to prioritise alternative transport options to reduce congestion and enable our city to transition to low-carbon transport infrastructure.</p> <p>Besides, the Council's own research found that users generally accept that they have to pay for their parking in the central city. Providing free parking could undermine this general acceptance that car parks need to be paid for by their users.</p>
15397	John	Ascroft	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>We should be encouraging the use of cycles, walking and public transport rather than private cars.</p> <p>Pricing parking at zero cost is sending the wrong signals to users about the cost of choices.</p> <p>The cost of this initiative would be better spent on progressing forward looking strategies such as cycleways.</p>
15396	Jeremy	Teague	No	On behalf of a group or organisation	Energy Messengers LTD	do not support the plan	<p>Free parking only encourages people to drive. Being the owner operator of a bicycle courier service, more cars equals more hazards to watch out for and just makes it more dangerous. We should be encouraging people to come to the cbd via bicycles or public transport.</p>
15395	Jeremy	Teague	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>Encouraging free car parking only encourages people to drive cars more. Discourage this behaviour by charging cars for parking and encourage more people to either take public transport or use a bicycle or walk.</p>
15389	Rose	Bayldon	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>As an Aucklander who has moved to the city of Christchurch to study, one of the things that I most appreciate about Christchurch is the amazing non-car transport. The bike lanes are fantastic, the buses are on time and seem to go everywhere that I need to go, pretty much whenever I want to go. I think that the one hour free parking undermines the values of the city and its dedication to being eco-conscious. Providing free parking encourages people to drive in so much more, as it means taking a bus is more expensive than driving, or biking is not worth the effort. I do appreciate the aim of attracting more people into the city, but as the results are unclear about whether this actually works, perhaps the money this costs would be better spent on subsidising the facilities themselves, or on lowering the costs of public transport. I believe these factors would contribute more greatly to whether I spent more time in the city than whether the parking was free.</p> <p>I also do not think it a human right that we all drive in to work and have free parking. Not many people expect to receive free parking, it is one of the many expenses that come with owning a car. If we build up expectations that people will be able to park for free, then it will become harder to retract this later, if it proves to be too expensive, or the environmental concerns become even larger than what they already are.</p>
15384	Matthew	Blake	No	For myself	Matthew Blake	do not support the plan	<p>I am not keen on the council funding free parking. I think this money would be better spent on other things like the water supply, or lowering rates. I also believe that this will encourage more people to drive around more, which is detrimental to the environment. I am disappointed that Christchurch has been remodelled after the earthquake as a more modern, European style city, rather than the American style of driving cars everywhere.</p>
15380	Christopher	Ross	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>I don't think it's fair to charge the whole of christchurch higher rates for a small percentage of people to have free car parking which of those are actually from out of town</p>
15378	Pauline Brenda	Lowe-Johnson	No	For myself	N/A	do not support the plan	<p>I believe the plan of free parking is ok but we should wait till the CBD is fully completed and then have the free parking for say 3 months for people to see the completed project</p> <p>This is too soon then you have nothing to promote later</p>

15377	Wayne	Hawker	No	For myself	Wayne Hawker	do not support the plan	As a council that in reality is severely cash strapped it is now a time of user pays and if they don't then the businesses that benefit from the customers who will use these car parks should be the ones fronting up. It appears they want their cake and to eat it as well as long as someone else pays for it
15364	Ryan	Wood	No	For myself		do not support the plan	This city needs to start running itself as a business better. Remove the 1 hour free parking and reduce the amount of car parks in the city that are on empty plots of land. I would start with the 2 city blocks at the southern end of Manchester St. This should force people to use the council owned car parks to give money back into the city instead of the Wilson run car parks. I hope there is a multi storey car park planned for the opening of the new Convention Centre/Performing Arts area owed by the council.
15355	Meg	Christie	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission.</p> <p>I disagree that this travel subsidy should be given to people who choose the drive as it undermines all the work which has gone into encouraging active and public transport. Parking buildings and parking spaces are expensive infrastructure and real-estate and I believe that those of us who use it should pay for it. There are too many hidden costs to the individual and society when driving a car- increased congestion, time lost, air quality degradation, noise levels, fuel usage, lower bus patronage and lower rates of physical activity and poorer health- so why encourage more people to drive more often?</p> <p>If around 50% of existing car parking in the central city is not utilized currently it does not mean that this will always be the case. I would like to see other options implemented such as a free electric shuttle buses around the CBD.</p>
15341	Lily	Cameron	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I do not want higher rates for parking I do not use.

15322	Jennifer	Dalziel	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to make a formal submission on the proposal to provide one hour free parking in the central city.</p> <p>With a projected minimum annual cost to ratepayers of \$400,000.00 per annum to provide one hour free parking those who drive receive a significant subsidy which undermines the goal of encouraging active and public transport. Landlords also benefit. Given the very high expenses fairness would have those who use the parking paying for it.</p> <p>Council informs us that 40-66% of existing car parking in the central city is not utilized. There is no genuine need to subsidize car parking.</p> <p>For full assessment of this program it will be necessary to monitor all factors including impacts on congestion, air quality, noise levels, fuel usage, bus patronage etc.</p> <p>Other options would also need to be implemented and assessed such as a free shuttle bus (electric) to and through the inner city and provision of adequate cycle parking.</p> <p>A study undertaken for the New Zealand Transport Authority found that people who travel to the city by means other than private motor vehicles contribute a far greater proportion to retail spending than was traditionally thought.</p> <p>Suburban malls pay high rates. They even pay rates on the parking they provide. It is inequitable to have ratepayers subsidizing downtown landlords while malls pay their own way. Council should consider selling existing parking buildings to central city landlords who can then pass on the cost through rents. Just as is done in the suburban malls.</p> <p>Cycle parking throughout Christchurch is inadequate. Cycle parking in the central city is often a challenge. Plans for the central city are to encourage walking, cycling and public transport. The lack of cycle parking defeats these plans.</p> <p>From the Greater Christchurch Travel Demand Management Strategy and Action Plan:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A reduction in the current number of motor vehicle trips made, particularly by private car; and</li> <li>2. An increase in proportion of trips made using sustainable travel options.</li> </ol> <p>Cycle parking is desperately needed, far cheaper to provide and takes up far less space. \$400,000.00 per year spent on cycle parking would support the culture change sought.</p> <p>I do not support the continuance of this program in its present form as there is little evidence provided by the council that it is actually bringing more people into the city.</p>
15278	Kath	Wright	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>Pay enough for rates and do not feel this plan is effective for the right purpose for the general rate payers rather than a select few</p>
15274	Steve	Gorrie	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>I don't use the city as driving/access is too difficult now - the council wants pedestrians and cyclists to use the city anyway and not motorists so why the half-hearted attempt that costs me to undo what they've already done. I now use the malls and I don't support paying for someone else's parking through my rates.</p>
15266	Shane	Morrow	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>0.1% rates increase is about \$50 for us. Those who rent houses in Christchurch and visitors to the city get to benefit from costs paid by home owners if the free parking remains. This seems unfair. We are happy to pay for parking in town when we use it.</p>

15249	Olly	Powell	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I see no reason at all for the council to loose revenue subsidizing people too lazy to find another way to get into the city. If you are serious about addressing the imbalance due to urban shopping malls, I suggest you eliminate/outlaw free parking in those locations instead.
15230	Ryan	Coey	No			do not support the plan	
15196	Miriam	Mallinder	No	For myself		do not support the plan	You have the opportunity to make parking MORE accessible in the city, why make it less?  I would rather see the one hour free extended to TWO hours free so that people get a decent opportunity to see the show/event ... give people the opportunity to see exhibitions etc. Support the Arts and Culture of this city. Make it worthwhile to the people who put all the effort into creating the events. Be generous for a change.021
15191	David	Holland	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I would like to see a fair overall parking rate of \$2 per hour.  For a worker, that's \$10 a day based on a 8 hour shift plus walking time, more that enough.  For a shopper, usually 4 hours max its only \$4, a fair price for a premium park.  Nobody expects free in the CBD.
15140	Alice	Nash	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I do not support the free parking if it is coming from my rates. We already pay enough and do not go into town so therefore would not benefit from this. I would rather my money went into things such as parking for nurses and hospital staff so they are safe. Town parking should be on a user pays basis.
15116	Gabriel	Secker	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Whilst I do believe that the free parking initiative has been great to draw people into the city. The lost revenue could be much better spent in the cbd. A bigger issue for the cbd is the homeless and \$400,000 per year could go a long way to providing support services for these people.
15073	Jeremy	Hyde	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Whilst I would like to see the 1hr free parking to continue, I do not want it to be funded through taxation. You could add the cost onto the current tariffs for those staying longer than 1 hr. My rates are high enough without extra being added and I would prefer to see my rates money spent on essential infrastructure (like, for example, the water supply).
15071	Tom	Yee	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I do not understand why the council would want to offer free parking meaning missing out on revenue when there has been an encouragement towards cycling and public transport (there has been so much money spent on cycle lanes, bus lanes and reconfiguring roads and lights in the central city).
15046	Kendra	Liggett	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Don't increase rates. The \$400,000 is a lost opportunity cost not a real cost so doesn't need to be payed! How can you give some 'free' parking and make everyone pay for it? This does not equate to 'free' parking? If we paying in one way or another it's not in anyway free. Stop using wording to bring more worry to the people of Christchurch. Maybe if you stopped wasting money on silly submissions and public hearings (insert eye roll here) you would save your \$400,000. Start spending money wiser, not charging rate payers more money.
15045	Craig	Freeman	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Unfair on private investors that have shelved out large sums for other car parks and are being under cut
15027	Catherine	Reed	No	For myself		do not support the plan	

15025	Jason	Woodward	No	For myself		do not support the plan	What a load of shit build the god damn stadium already stop this bullshit and come up with better ideas
15011	Amy	Wiseman	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I use the Lichfield Street car park regularly for both work and personal time in the city. I found the 1 hour free was a good lure initially however I find the pricing is very fair even without this. On work days I pay \$10 for the whole day no matter how long I am there and if I pop in on the weekend or days off it is still cheaper than most street parking or gravel pit car parks. I like that you are pretty much guaranteed a space due to its size, it is right in the centre of town, it is clean, safe and secure and reasonably priced. I am willing, and believe others would be too, to pay my way as I use the car park. I feel too much is being put on the rate payers at present and we should not have to cover people's parking on top of other essential payments. Once the road changes in Durham St are finished and Lichfield is even more easily accessed I believe people will take it up free hour or not.
15006	Raeline	Savage	No	For myself		do not support the plan	The idea is good in principle but as it will increase rates I do not support continuation. I am recently widowed and rates is the largest single account I have and the one that is threatening my ability to remain in my home. I cannot afford any increase and believe the experience of many others would be the same.
14999	Christine	Teasdale	No	For myself		do not support the plan	As a ratepayer, I do not wish to subsidise free parking in the city at all. If anyone should subsidise it, I feel it should be shop owners in the city. They are more likely to benefit from it. I get the bus into the city. I would, however, like to see some arrangement with the hospital for parking, maybe the shuttle picking up people from the parking building. More people parking in there would mean no need to sting the overburdened ratepayers with yet another rate rise for another dumb idea.
14997	Jeanette	Gray	No	For myself		do not support the plan	
14917	Ross	Mackintosh	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Free carparks in the CBD encourages people to bring their car into the city. I do not see this as a positive thing for Christchurch. We need to reduce congestion. I would rather see the money spent further subsidizing public transport.
14891	James	Nimmo	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I would be more supportive of lowering the hourly cost of parking across the council parking network, especially in off-peak times. It is far more beneficial for the city to have car parking users knowing they can stay there for a few hours and it not be expensive, rather than trying to get back to the car within the free hour to avoid paying for parking. When this trial was running last year one Saturday I parked in one building then moved to another which also had an hour free instead of walking across town, this shouldn't be encouraged.
14885	Trevor	Grenon	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I oppose the Council's policy to use public funds collected through general rates for the marketing costs associated with car parks. The objective to encourage more drivers to use CCC car parks is primarily to stimulate commercial activity with private companies. On this basis, continued marketing costs should be met by private interests, who have a stake hold in the high usage of these car parks.  I believe that CCC has an obligation to prioritise the funding of infrastructure repairs, before it considers marketing projects.
14872	Anne	Mckenna	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Absolutely not. Public transport to the central city is excellent and should be encouraged.

14864	Francesca	Davies	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I do not support any free parking if it means an increase in Rates.
14835	Greer	Smit	No	For myself		do not support the plan	
14833	Robert	Meek	No	For myself		do not support the plan	
14828	Faye	Ryan	No	For myself		do not support the plan	If my rates keep increasing Will end up leaving and selling my home. I am a widow and on the pension.
14826	Maria	Duter	No	For myself		do not support the plan	
14823	Cris	Fulton	No	For myself	Cris Fulton	do not support the plan	Parking should be free for all Christchurch residents. With so much free space in the CBD it is cynical and greedy to charge residents to park. If you want to attract people to CBD this should be adopted. Otherwise you'll just have people going to the malls to park free there and have a dead city centre. Heard this before?
14818	Marie	Forster	No	For myself		do not support the plan	It's not free if ratepayers are getting charged an extra .1% I don't go into the city so don't use the car parks just like I didn't support the Cathedral I don't support this. User pays!!!
14817	Dwayne	McCormick	No	For myself		do not support the plan	If any proposal was to have an affect on rates I am against it as the rates should not be increased.
14815	Daniela	Ramirez	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I would prefer to support options that disincentivise the use of vehicles in town. A free shuttle in town would be a preferable option to spend that amount of money.
14814	Nicola	Roys	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Rates are already too high. This 'free' parking is being laid for by all rate payers, and isn't free at all. It is unfair to ask all rate payers to contribute to the benefit of a few.  Furthermore, I do not believe the one hour free parking makes any difference to whether people choose to come into the city. The biggest investment to ensure people return to the CBD is fixing things, improving signage and more to do, which will only happen as the others build progresses.  We were in the centre yesterday (Saturday afternoon). It was not pleasant. There were not many people around. One sign led us to believe that we could access a particular road, but led us to a dead end in a rather unpleasant alley.
14812	Wayne	Thomas	No	For myself		do not support the plan	The scheme should be funded by the retailers as is effectively done at the suburban malls .We are told of the post earthquake demands on the ratepayer,this is an opportunity to make a saving .



14803	William	Dyman	No			do not support the plan	No I dont think the one hour free parking should be extended. End it now.
14801	Xavier	Dragos	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Undermines private investment in parking facilities in the city and disincentivises accessing the centre by bus, cycle and walking.
14799	Conor	Leahy	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Although I believe that the Council are reckless in their constant removal of on street parking spaces that makes 'quick - stop shopping now an onerous task, free parking in Council parking is not a solution. My main concern is that it removes or lessens the incentive for private car park providers to operate and in terms of investment and also doesn't make it a level playing field for them. People do expect to pay for parking in a CBD. The free parking initiative could be used on a more targeted ir ad hoc basis , for example to encourage late night shopping.
14788	Louise	Lockie	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Any increase to rates is too much for my finances. The previous rates increases have been crippling.
14781	Samuel	Zelter	No	For myself		do not support the plan	It has been recently revealed to us that some of the carparks have not even broken even in terms of operating cost. I support the change for the first hour to be paid, same as someone staying longer than an hour.
14779	Cameron	Archibald	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Car users are a blight to our city, it is fundamentally unfair to force those of us who don't use cars to pay for those who do. We should be moving toward increasing population density within the four avenues (With low cost housing) with the ultimate intention of removing private cars from within the 4 avenues. Replacing the roads with an extended tram system.  Incentivising extra car use only reinforces the environmentally unfriendly practice of urban private car usage. We should instead either use a percentage of the money gained through parking charges to build a tram way or increase the costs of the parking to fund it.
14722	Fiona	Penney	No	For myself		do not support the plan	Let those who use the service pay. I do not want anymore rates increases. There have been far too many.
14597	S	Croft	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I do not agree to continue with this past the June deadline. You have not provided sufficient data to state it has been a success and as such it is time to not continue with it. The city is diabolical with the street changes you have made (and made it so much worse) I don't believe that you are getting more people into the city centre with this AND using the excuse that businesses are moving back in there and therefore their employees will use the parking buildings is false - because the majority of people won't. It is wishful thinking. I never used a parking building and never will I prefer to park on the street and walk. This is a "nice" to have and NOT a necessity.  Do not re-new the trial the money is better used in getting the water sorted!
14581	vernon	aubrey	No	For myself		do not support the plan	As a person that has been involved in the Christchurch commercial parking scene for over 20 years I do not believe that offering one hour free parking at these buildings will change shopper behaviour. It was done for a sustained period before the EQ and was not successful. I believe it is an attempt by the CCC, at the ratepayers expense, to mitigate the decision to construct a very large car park building without proper regard to the post EQ CBD parking situation. I believe that clearing the roads and making the CBD more accessible will have a more positive impact on shopper numbers that offering 1 hour free. The CBD has different offering from suburban shopping centres and the CCC should be utilising the rate payer funds they are attributing to the 1 hour free subsidy to promote the inner city and this point of difference. We also keep getting told that the CCC are reluctant to grant consents for on grade car parks as they want everyone cycling and taking public transport into the city, how does this fit in to the 1 hour free strategy?

14575	John	Pettersen	No	For myself		do not support the plan	
14574	Craig	Burke	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>First Hour Free Parking</p> <p>I don't support this and have previously emailed CCC.</p> <p>More thoughts on this.</p> <p>The pilot that has been run has not been able to validate that it has been a success. Unless the CCC can validate that the pilot has been successful then I would expect that the initiative should not continue. The assets were built to supply parking that is demanded. Motorists should have no expectation to be able to park in a covered, secured facility for free or for any less than parking on a street. Perhaps the CCC should partner with retailers that are interested in more parking for their patrons and use a validation system. Therefore the retailers can pay for the first hour or something similar. Otherwise it's a sham and the CCC are not being responsible with rate payers' money. Validate the data, validate the initiative or partner with retailers otherwise drop it. CCC needs the revenue.</p>
14565	Andrew	Flanagan	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>Driving is subsidised enough as it is by both central government and the city council. The same amount of money should be spent on bus shelters and cycling facilities to make it safer and more convenient for people to get into the city using alternative modes, and on placemaking in the central city. Spending money on these alternatives are more socioeconomically progressive, environmentally friendly and more sustainable in terms of inducing vehicle congestion in the CBD.</p>
14497	Olwyn	Wagstaff	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>There are no free "lunches" in life. The lost revenue can never be recovered. There are too many parking buildings in the city, Lichfield St one should never have been built. If you choose to drive into the CBD then you must expect to pay for your parking whether in a building or on the street. If you don't want to do this then catch a bus. Wilsons parking don't give an hour's free parking - they are a business making money from parking and you should be doing exactly the same. The surveyed lost revenue of \$400,000.00 means more important other works have to wait longer to be fixed. WE ARE OPPOSED TO THIS BEING EXTENDED.</p>
14494	Craig	Burke	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>CCC should not be encouraging cars to enter the CBD especially if the citizens of Chch have to fund the parking. There is ample free parking within the 4 avenues, public transport is an option on top of cycleways. Why is it that the rules for small commercial entities mean they need to supply parking coupled with the enterprise but CCC has been sucked into bowing to pressure from CBD enterprises to supply parking for them to attract customers. There does appear to be a conflict in policy and some favouritism?</p>
14491	Abby	Moore	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>I'd prefer a user pays model, this encourages the use of public transport and those who wish to use the facilities can pay, rather than local rate payers.</p>
14490	Matt	Jackson	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>Providing free parking paid for by rate payers merely persuades the current thinking that cars are the best way to get to town. Rates money should not be used to subsidise storage of private vehicles especially when significant funds have been expended building a car park building which is nowhere near capacity. If retailers want to encourage people to drive to town let them subsidise parking for their customers with validated parking. It is not a council function to subsidise private business.</p>
14481	Jude	Nuttridge	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>It is not "free" parking if it costs a rate increase! A 5 year old with a lolly could tell you that, "have this free lolly, but you've got to give me the one in your pocket in exchange!" I prefer to buy my own lollies and my own parking!</p>

14476	Robert	fleming	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>We frequently hear about the amount of vehicular traffic that there is in the city and suburbs. We frequently call for better roading to help alleviate congestion, but at the same time are critical of the yearly rates increases that are required to fund the wider roads that we think are going to reduce congestion and make our journey faster and more convenient. This is short term thinking. Focussing only on vehicle travel times and convenience induces the demand for more of us to use our vehicles and this only eventually leads to more traffic and more cost to try and fix it. Free parking ( which actually means ratepayer funded car parking ) has become a symbol of entitlement for those who see vehicles as the only form of transport in our city, at a cost to everyone regardless of their mode of transport. We are now paying for the years of free parking provided by malls as an inducement to customers to shop there, at the expense the CBD. Rather than try and compete , the CCC should never have allowed so many houses in the suburbs be demolished to make way for car parking around the malls without stipulating that the cost of doing this had to be recovered from the user. If something is 'free' to the user it is abused, and this is what has happened at the malls over the years, creating more demand, more car parking development and putting congestion pressure on the roads that service them. If a cost for usage had been required from the start we would have thought twice about jumping into the car to get to the mall every time something was needed. Christchurch would have not become the same 'car' orientated city that it has become, bus patronage would be higher, roads would have always felt safer to cycle along. The CBD is becoming a wonderfully convenient place to ride a bicycle, the bus service will improve once the roading changes are complete. Keeping a small charge for off-street car parking will enable better choice and incentive to those who wish to change to using the bus, or discover the amazing convenience there is now to ride a bike to get in and around the CBD. Free parking will create pressure for CCC to build even more buildings for cars at huge ratepayer expense. We need people in the city who can get there using their choice of transport at a cost that is cost sustainable for all. Providing free parking is not conducive to allowing that. I would support that the CCC owned and operated car parking buildings develop a charging system that is known as 'demand driven' . Cheaper when the building has low occupancy rates and more expensive as the building fills up. this is reported to work well overseas. That you for the opportunity to make this submission.</p>
14475	Matt	Bates	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>Parking in the central city should be a user pays system. The rate payer should not be required in any way subsidise or incentivise central city businesses or their patrons. Priority needs to be given to infrastructure and anchor projects then supporting private businesses. How bout we work on seeing some ROI in these projects and stop raising rates.</p>

14466	Arthur	McGregor	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>I do not support this proposal. Although I think the trial may have been valuable as promoting the city centre as a destination, I am concerned that continuing this scheme (without an end date) is not going to bring about the required change in behaviour that we need. Specifically, it encourages people to drive into town when we should be subsidising and encouraging other modes of transport. There is wide availability of parking in the city centre at the moment and we do not need additional encouragement for people to drive into town and park. Christchurch residents need to change their expectations and realise that driving into town means you will have to pay for parking, whereas if you cycle or catch the bus then you do not.</p> <p>I was particularly concerned to see that "It has been difficult for us to determine whether the free parking trial has successfully attracted people in to the city". If we are not certain it has been successful, then why are we even considering continuing with this scheme???</p> <p>I was not surprised to see the business community supportive of this plan. However, if they want to provide free parking for their customers perhaps they should implement the ticket validation idea that has been suggested. I know many shoppers are used to free car parking at suburban malls but I am certain that businesses in the malls subsidise this via their rents (as parking is never free!) so city centre businesses should do similar.</p> <p>Instead of promoting parking, I wonder how far \$400,000 per year would go towards encouraging active and public transport options in our city!!</p>
14461	Angela	Ross	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>I do not support the increase in occupancy rates as an offset of the free parking. As a resident I am more likely to go into the city and spend money if I were able to pay \$5 for half a day rather than just one hour free. If I had one hour free I would only stay one hour and hence my spending to local business would be less.</p>
14460	Matthew	Baird	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>I have enjoyed the free hour parking in the CBD and it has encouraged to come back into the city more.</p> <p>However, I believe the council has more important things to spend money on.</p>
14459	Laura	Bates	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>I don't want to pay for a car park through my rates that i will never use. User pays. If someone wants to use the central city that is up to them, but it holds no appeal to me or my family and we shouldn't have to pay for it.</p>
14457	Chris	Bryant	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>From above I cannot readily determine what your plan is! You need to bone it out instead of meandering around!</p> <p>We recently parked in Litchfield for free. My partner mentioned it - so the message is being herd. We visit the cbd once a month for the last 5 years to to see what is new. Not much. But a construction zone can be interesting - but does not get us spending or staying very long! Only one lift was working. I'd say free ONE hour weekends is a an appropriate offer because we hardly ever go there - paying does not matter to us. Looking at the "drawcards" I'd say it will be another 5 to 10 years before you will have a consistently chargeable option where demand out strips supply.</p>
14444	Caleb	Meyer	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>As a ratepayer, I would prefer to not pay to provide the free parking.</p>
14443	John	Quayle	No	For myself		do not support the plan	

14441	Chris	Arrowsmith	No	For myself		do not support the plan	The public who do not use city parking shouldn't bear the cost in their rates for those that use it. As a council you should be encouraging public transport alternatives rather than encouraging people to drive to the city themselves.
14430	Malcolm	Engler	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I don't see why I should pay higher rates so others can park for free.
14412	Liz	Van montfort	No	For myself		do not support the plan	
14408	Shane	Williams	No	For myself		do not support the plan	It should be user pays, why should I pay for somebody else to park their car.
14405	Aaron	Tily	No	For myself		do not support the plan	It's not free if the rate payers are getting their rates put up to accommodate.
14404	Anita	Webb	No	For myself		do not support the plan	How about \$5 a day at Lichfield Street. That way, you'll increase its occupancy and instead of our parking money going offshore to Wilson's, it will stay here with the CCC.  By all means, increase the price when demand increases. But at the moment the carpark is terribly under-utilised. Price incentives will bring people in.
14400	Shane	Dyer	No	For myself		do not support the plan	This council has no business in running car parks at an on-going loss and expecting the rate payers to absorb this failure. By your own admission, a conservative figure of \$400,00pa was given or a general rates increase of 0.5% to maintain this failure. Council should stick to core services, not more "ideas" on running business which clearly you are deficient as witnessed by your continued business failures. In the real world you would be held accountable and share holders would want to know why.
14391	Tina	Bailey	No	For myself		do not support the plan	I do not support a rise in rate for one hour's free parking in the city. I do however think that one hour's free parking should continue to encourage the public to return to the city. Many people would park for longer than one hour and pay for the extra when attending events or shopping in the city. The Council should review the number of private parking companies. I personally will not go into the city if I have to pay for parking and will never use a private parking site. I would prefer to pay to park in a Council car park.
14390	Caroline	Bissey	No	For myself		do not support the plan	This only encourages people to use their car to get to the city by car, and at a time when the buses are losing passengers and revenue, I think free parking is irresponsible.
14380	Don	Gould	No	For myself		do not support the plan	The free parking should go.  It's not reasonable to use council funds to under cut other operators in the space unless the council is also picking up the cost of parking for all other commercial vendors in the same CBD.  Council competitors are being expected to pay their rates and have invested in their assets.

14366	Chris	Morahan	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>I'm a fan of demand-based pricing, but I think giving parking away for free when it cost us such massive amounts to build it is getting a bit ridiculous.</p> <p>Subsidising carparking goes against all of CCC's other transportation goals. It results in walking, biking and bussing becoming relatively more unattractive, and encourages more people to drive. This contributes to higher rates, more carbon emissions, more congestion, worse air quality, more crashes, more wasted space in our city, and less amenity. There are other ways to attract people into the CBD that don't contradict CCC's core goals - for example promptly finishing the major cycleways instead of delaying them, making buses free for certain people (kids, students etc), making buses free at for special events (e.g. lantern festival), getting an inner city shuttle bus going again, subsidising/facilitating events in the city (e.g. lantern festival), removing restrictive zoning so its easier for people to live/play/work in the CBD, continuing to make the CBD more attractive through things like the Margaret Mahy Playground, Avon River Precinct, Victoria Park. People go to the CBD because it provides something unique, they don't just go there because it has free parking. I think the fact that the parking buildings were still under capacity even when free probably supports this.</p>
14351	Matthew	Polson	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>This is really a subsidy for private businesses in the area. Building the car parks was bad enough, a huge cost for last century transport. Stop the madness</p>
15430	Victor aka Vince	Eichholtz	No	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>No evidence that this has made any difference to numbers coming into the city centre.</p> <p>No evidence that this has directly made any difference to numbers coming into SHOP as opposed to parking for other reasons.</p> <p>No evidence that this has increased revenue in the retail sector</p> <p>Car drivers expect to pay for parking- reduce the hourly rate for a longer stay or 3rd/4th hr 1/2 price, 4th hr free, not provide it free at the start. This will incentivise people to be less concerned about staying longer.</p> <p>The retail sector would obviously be in favour to have something provided for free that 'may' help as they have nothing to lose. They would be stupid not to. Consider applying a charge to retailers and I feel certain they would expect to see some evidence of potential benefit to them...as should we rate-payers. Absurd to consider an extension with ANY proof of benefit, let alone cost-benefit. The free trial should stop and if subsequently there is a suspicion that it was beneficial, then retailers should bear the cost.</p> <p>The stated council aim is to improve access to other modalities of transport, not to just increase vehicle traffic- apply the subsidy to bus travel (cheap/free tickets, off peak, free return, 2 for 1 etc) where the response can actually be measured, or a system to encourage cycling- require some imagination, but perhaps----- CPD business pressie cards or multiple trip stamp card giving free cycle maintenance, or free bike lights in Autumn, free coffee, cinema tickets. Look at other cities overseas for ideas.</p> <p>-We don't need more cars looking to drive in the city, ie pollution, congestion, noise, less pleasant streetscape etc.</p>

15106	Charlotte	Bebbington	Yes	For myself		do not support the plan	<p>Too much money is lost supporting this initiative that doesn't seem to be utilised as initially expected. The car park is underutilised at present because the city has an over supply of carparks.</p> <p>Get Wilson's out of the CBD area. Increase carpark patronage and put the revenue into underfunded areas of the city. Use some of the funding to make a park and ride scheme to take patients to the hospital.</p> <p>Council can not afford to keep losing money. Our city needs to be rebuilt quicker and communities need support. Creative ideas need to be backed.</p> <p>Council should be encouraging active travel into the city. Cars create traffic jams and inconvenience. They are also an eyesore for the beautiful city we are rebuilding.</p>
-------	-----------	------------	-----	------------	--	-------------------------	---

	Betty	Shore		For myself		do not support the plan	<p>No more “Free” parking. I urge you not extend free parking.</p> <p>There is no genuinely free parking in the city, nor will there be. The so-called “free” parking, gets paid for by our rates to CCC and it masquerades as “free” because the people who use it have the cost shared by all the rate-payers who never use that parking.</p> <p>The people who use city parking , should be charged for it at the time, for all the hours, so that they carry the cost for their choice and can see the cost they’re incurring. That’s about honesty and about developing genuinely sustainable and viable systems.</p> <p>People who choose to use public transport, or bicycles, or to shop in neighbourhood malls where payments to shop-owners cover the cost of parking for their customers, should not have to support motorists to go into the central city.</p> <p>Our city planning needs to develop with what is honest and viable, not depending on subsidies from people who endeavour to use their own resources to support sustainable systems.</p> <p>If the central city seems not viable without subsidies for parking, then it is time to look at the wider related systems, and at what is developed within the central city.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public transport and cycle-ways have been improved but need more development to meet our future.</li> <li>• Housing in the central city that enables people to live within walking distance is also being developed and can contribute to less need for city parking.</li> <li>• Park&amp; ride set-ups that keep the cars out of central have worked in other places and could work for us.</li> <li>• People who study city planning can suggest many other ways that motoring into the central city can be reduced.</li> </ul> <p>What is needed for our central city to thrive, is not more subsidised parking that pretends to be free, but genuine investment in what enables people to travel and contribute in the central city in honest and sustainable ways.</p> <p>Thank you for considering these concerns and recommendations.</p>
	Dirk	De Lu		On behalf of a group or organisation	Spokes Canterbury	do not support the plan	See attachment (pdf letter)





April 27 2018

**RE: Free Parking**

**SUBMISSION FROM SPOKES CANTERBURY**

Spokes Canterbury is a local cycling advocacy group with approximately 1,200 members that is affiliated with the national Cycling Action Network (CAN). All submissions are developed online and include member's input. Spokes is dedicated to including cycling as an everyday form of transport in the greater Christchurch area.

We would like the opportunity to appear at any public hearing that is held to consider submissions on these projects. Should there be an officer's report or similar document(s) we would appreciate a copy(s).

If you require further information or there are matters requiring clarification, please contact our Submissions Convenor Dirk De Lu in the first instance. His contact details are:

*4 Tisbury Lane*

*Cracroft, Christchurch 8022*

*Phone: 338 3270*

*Email: [tisberries@gmail.com](mailto:tisberries@gmail.com)*

**Don Babe**

**Chairperson, Spokes Canterbury**

## Submission from Spokes Canterbury

Thank you for the opportunity to make a formal submission on the proposal to continue to provide one hour free parking in the central city.

With a projected minimum annual cost to ratepayers of \$400,000.00 per annum to provide one hour free parking those who drive receive a significant subsidy which undermines the goal of encouraging active and public transport. Landlords also benefit. Given the very high expenses fairness would have those who use the parking paying for it.

Council informs us that 40-66% of existing car parking in the central city is not utilized. There would appear to be little need to subsidize car parking.

For full assessment of this program it will be necessary to monitor all factors including impacts on congestion, air quality, noise levels, fuel usage, bus patronage etc. Other options would also need to be implemented and assessed such as a free shuttle bus (electric) to and through the inner city and provision of adequate cycle parking.

A study undertaken for the New Zealand Transport Authority found that people who travel to the city by means other than private motor vehicles contribute a far greater proportion to retail spending than was traditionally thought.

Suburban malls pay high rates. They even pay rates on the parking they provide. It is inequitable to have ratepayers subsidizing downtown landlords while malls pay their own way. Council should consider selling existing parking buildings to central city landlords who can then pass on the cost through rents. Just as is done in the suburban malls.

Cycle parking in Christchurch is inadequate. Cycle parking in the central city is often a challenge. Plans for the central city are to encourage walking, cycling and public transport. The lack of cycle parking defeats these plans.

From the Greater Christchurch Travel Demand Management Strategy and Action Plan:

“1. A reduction in the current number of motor vehicle trips made, particularly by private car; and

2. An increase in proportion of trips made using sustainable travel options”

Cycle parking is desperately needed, far cheaper to provide and takes up far less space. \$400,000.00 per annum spent on cycle parking would support the culture change sought.

Spokes Canterbury does not support the continuance of this program in its present form as there is little evidence provided by the council that it is actually bringing more people and business into the city.