Summary of Levels of Service Results: General Service Satisfaction Survey 2022

CAUTION: A methodology change in 2021 to an online survey (from a telephone survey) means only loose comparisons can be made between results from previous years. Pre 2016 results have been provided for general information only. Significant question changes were made

across all measures in 2016 to reflect a more detailed customer focus component in level of service measurement. Pre 2016 data cannot be compared directly to later results

Activity	Activity	Performance Standard	Type of	measurement. Pro 2021-22	2021-22	Satisfaction	Higher and	Survey	Survey	Survey	Survey	Survey	Survey	Survey	Survey	Survey
Activity Group	Activity	remonitance Standard	Performance	LOS Target	LOS Target	Score	Lower	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
Group			Standard	200 runget	Met	Trend Since	Satisfaction	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
						Last Year	Services in									
							2022									
	Public Information	4.1.9 We provide advice and support in community engagement, and consultation								}					}	
Planning and Policy	and	planning and delivery, to teams across the	Community	At least 41%		•		26%	28%	26%	34%	28%	41%	38%0	45%	43%
Policy	Participation	organisation and to Elected Members (participation in and contribution to decision	Community	Acteust 1170		•		2070	2070	2070	3170	2070	1170	3070	1370	1370
		making)								}					<u>}</u>	
	Governance	4.1.18 Participation in and contribution to					.00000									
	and Decision	Council decision-making (understanding of decision making)	Community	At least 30%				31%	33%	26%	32%	29%	41%	37%	44%	36%
	Making Parks	6.9.1.5 To manage and maintain public					ů ů			}						
Parks, Heritage and	Heritage	artworks, monuments and artefacts	Community	≥ 65%		•		66%	67%	64%	71%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Coastal	Management		Community	= 0370		•		00 /0	0170	0470	1170	14/1	1471	IW.	11//	10/1
Environment		6.9.1.6 To manage and maintain Parks					.0000					·		+	<u></u>	
		scheduled heritage buildings	Community	≥ 55%				50%	48%	51%	63%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
-	Davids and	6.8.4.2 Overall customer satisfaction with the					0 0			<u> </u>					<u> </u>	
	Parks and Foreshore	presentation of the City's Parks (inner city	Community	≥ 80%				76%	82%	80%	82%	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA
		parks)					• 0 •		5-70		5-70					
		6.8.5 Satisfaction with the overall availability					0000			}						
		of recreation facilities within the city's parks and foreshore network	Community	≥ 70%		•		76% ^{0a}	78%	75%	74%	73%	66%	68%	NA	NA
Refuse	Solid Waste	and loveshore network														
	and Resource	8.0.3 Customer satisfaction with kerbside	Community	At least 80%				78%	78%	82%	87%	88%	91%	90%	91%	88%
2.00000	Recovery	collection service														
	Stormwater	14.0.3 Council manages the stormwater network in a responsible and sustainable								}						
Drainage	Drainage	manner: Resident satisfaction with Council's	Community	≥ 40%				44%	45%	43%	47%	35%	52%	50%¹	45%	51%
		management of the stormwater network					• •									
Transport	Transport	10.3.3 Maintain customer perception of the ease of use of Council on-street parking	Community	> 500/				400/	400/	4.40/	49%	200/	48%	51%²	F40/	F00/
		facilities	Community	≥ 50%		•		49%	49%	44%	49%	39%	48%	51%1	54%	50%
		10.3.7 Maintain customer perception of vehicle		-			.000			}					}	
		and personal security at Council off-street	Community	≥ 50%				52%	50%	51%	59%	48%	51%	47%	NA	NA
		parking facilities					° °			<u>}</u>					<u></u>	
		10.5.2 Improve perception that Christchurch is	C it.	- CE0/			°°^°°	CEO/	CE0/	C10/	C40/	F10/	FC0/	F3 0/	270/	260/
		a cycling friendly city	Community	≥ 65%		•		65%	65%	61%	64%	51%	56%	53%	37%	26%
		16.0.10 Improve the perception that					.0000			}						
		Christchurch is a walking friendly city	Community	≥ 85%		✓ ✓		70%	74%	83%	85%	76%	81%	84%	82%	77%
		16.0.3 Improve resident satisfaction with road					• •									
		condition	Community	≥ 25%		0		27%	29%	26%	27%	20%	34%	37%	30%	27%
			Community	_ 23 /0		•	: 20 :	21 /0	2370	2070	2170	2070	3170	3170	3070	2170
		16.0.9 Improve resident satisfaction with					00000		<u> </u>	{		<u> </u>				
		footpath condition	Community	≥ 40%		•		35%	36%	40%	41%	34%	48%	51%	51%	45%
Wastowston	Wastowator	11.0.1.16 Proportion of residents satisfied with								<u> </u>						
	Wastewater Collection,	the reliability and responsiveness of		070			° ^ ^ °		222	2001	740	700/3	7001	2001		7.00
	Treatment	wastewater services	Community	≥ 67%		•		59%	60%	66%	71%	79%³	79%	80%	78%	74%
	and Disposal									<u></u>					}	
Water Supply	Water Supply	12.0.1.13 Proportion of residents satisfied with the reliability of Council water supplies		≥ 75%		0		77%	75%	72%	81%		NA	NA	NA	NA
		the reliability of Council water subblies	Community							/ 10/2	010/-	NA		NIV.		

1

	12.0.1.14 Proportion of residents satisfied with Council responsiveness to water supply problems	Community	≥ 55%	~		57%	52%	54%	60%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	12.0.2.19 Proportion of residents satisfied with the quality of Council water supplies	Community	≥ 50%	0	,	46%	45%	48%	37%	79% ⁴	90%	91%	88%	84%
Overall Satisfaction with Council Performance	NA			√ √2		42%	49%	50%	62%	55%	72%	74%	65%	64%
Ease of Interaction with Council	NA			<u>~</u>		53%	57%	65%	74%	65%	67%	70%	NA	NA

⁰ From 2016 onward this LOS contains two measures aggregated into one score (opportunities to have a say and decision making processes easy to use and engage with). In previous years, it only contained an opportunities to have a say component

- 1 From 2016 onward this LOS contains four measures aggregated into one score (waterways, margins and stormwater management). In previous years, it did not include a stormwater component
- 2 From 2016 onward this LOS contains four measures aggregated into one score (ease of use of parking meters, range of parking options, ease of use of other aspects). In previous years, it only contained an ease of use of parking meters component
- 3 Results before 2019 were collected using a single measure asking about satisfaction that health risk is minimised and issues are responded to promptly. These results are not directly comparable to results for 2019 onward
- 4 Question wording used pre 2019: Overall how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the quality of the water supply? This includes things such as its taste, pressure and appearance (there was also a minor question wording change in 2016)

		LOS target met		Key higher satisfaction services that other services could learn from (90%+ satisfaction) (exemplars)		LOS target not met		Baseline result or target to be set
000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Higher satisfaction services (85%+ satisfaction)		Moderate satisfaction services (between 50% to 84% satisfaction)		Lower satisfaction services (less than 50% satisfaction)		Deleted level of service or not a level of service
	~	Increase in satisfaction score by 4% or more since last year	0	Satisfaction score remained same or within 3% of last year	<u>~</u>	Decrease in satisfaction score by 4% or more since last year	NA	No information available

Additional Service Satisfaction Results

Service	Detail	Old LOS Target	Old LOS Target Met ¹	Satisfaction Score Trend Since Last Year	Higher and Lower Satisfaction Services in 2022	Survey Result 2022	Survey Result 2021	Survey Result 2020	Survey Result 2019	Survey Result 2018	Survey Result 2017	Survey Result 2016	Survey Result 2015	Survey Result 2014	Survey Result 2013	Survey Result 2012
Governance and Decision Making	Percentage of residents who agree the Council makes decisions in the best interests of the city	NA	NA	<u>~</u>		31%	36%	37%	45%	40%	55%	52%	52%	47%	46%	42%
Muking	Percentage of residents who feel the public has some or a large influence on the decisions the Council makes	55%		\		25%	30%	30%	34%	33%	45%	42%	44%	39%	36%	39%
Emergency Preparedness	Improve the level of community and business awareness and preparedness of risks from hazards and their consequence	NA	NA	NA	NA	61%	NA	NA	69%	71%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Events and Festivals	Lead the promotion and marketing of Christchurch events and the city as an events destination (range of events and festivals)	90%		\		60%	66%	66%	73%	70%	80%	84%	86%	86%	90%	90%
City Promotions	Residents are satisfied with Council provision of information available to them about events, activities and attractions in Christchurch	85%		~		54%	62%	62%	67%	72%²	79%	83%	83%	84%	83%	85%
	Recyclable materials (yellow bin)	90%		0		76%	76%	80%	88%	93%	94%	95%	95%	93%	94%	97%
Refusal Disposal	Residual waste (red bin)	90%		0		81%	80%	85%	88%	89%	93%	92%	92%	90%	93%	95%
	Organic material (green bin)	80%		0		77%	77%	81%	84%	83%	85%	82%	85%	82%	83%	82%

¹ The Old LOS Target is the last available target that had been set for these services (ie. included in the 2018-2028 or 2015-2025 LTPs). If that level of service target was applied to the current result, would the service have passed that target?

⁰a From 2022 onward, this LOS assesses satisfaction with recreation facilities across the parks network as a whole. Prior to 2022, the LOS was measured as an assessment of recreation opportunities at individual community, regional and sports parks (via point of contact surveys). Pre 2022 results are not directly comparable to results for 2022 onward

² From 2018 onward, this measure focuses on information about events, activities and attractions, whereas prior to this, the measure focused on information about events and festivals only