University Parking Plan Round One Submission Table 14 November 2017

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| First name | Last name | Street name and number | Suburb | Town / City | Postcode | I / We | Name of organisation (if applicable) | Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views |
| M | Scotter | 17 Braithwaite Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Unnecessary at this stage, should it become a problem revisit the issue then.But it causes inconvenience to residents to just put restrictions in place.No talk of "residents" parking stickers? This would reduce the inconvenience to residents |
| Hamish | Johnston | 19 Braithwaite Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Unnecessary street operating satisfactorily for residents as is. |
| Vince  | Clarke | 23B Tuirau Place |  | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | The University has a huge budget and should be able to build car park buildings on there grounds. I am in a flat back flat with a single garage. My partner will not be able to park on the street outside our property in the new plan if is made restricted. We will lose our freedom for parking. I feel the university is taking away a privilege which was pay rates for. The university must be held responsible for there parking not at the expense of my rights which treated the same as everyone else who don't live near the Uni. This is taking I appose |
| Paul & Jill | Wright | 20 Swanleigh Place |  | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Swamleigh Place has minimum parking for resident already without students.University needs to build a car park for students as Auckland has done |
| Russell | Sansom | 7B Swanleigh Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | This parking is a University problem and shouldn't be foisted on to high paying rate payers.With students getting an increase in their living allowance they should be able to pay for their parking - use land in Kirkwood Ave. Resident should be able to park on the street with out being penalised. By erecting 120P signs all that happens is students block driveways and push the all day parkers further down Ilam Road to Memorial Ave.Our streets are narrow enough and don't need to be clogged up with more student cars at our expense |
| William & Janice | McCook | 131A Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | We live at 2/131 Hamilton Avenue and request that the 2 hour parking restrictions that are in place in Hamilton Avenue and the surrounding streets be extended to include the roadway in front of our property, for the same reasons they exist for the rest of Hamilton Avenue.The uncontrolled intersection at Lothian Street / Hamilton Avenue, because of its proximity to our exit and the blind spot with continuously parked vehicles, has become a safety issue for us. Thank You |
| Ngaire | Bacon | 16 Tudor Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | With homeowners facing ever increasing rises in rates. This Council proposal to turn ratepayers streets into all day car parking for the university is outrageous. Staff & student parking should be contained within the university & if they don't want to fund their own parking within the grounds of the university they should all use public transport. |
| J C  | Wanty | 5 Chilcombe Street |  | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | We live in Chilcombe St, and have restricted parking outside our property. This is inconvenient during the term months, and we use on street parking during off term times without having to worry about timing.This proposal assumes that parking demand by others will increase to become year-round. Given current demand and parking patterns experienced, I doubt it. Additional restrictions should not be put in place until the need for such is proven. At present it is only presumptive.If there is a problem more pressure should be applied to the university for them to be more proactive in reducing parking demand by either providing more parks or reducing costs of parking on campus. At present university car parks are not full or even near full. |
| Alex & Vicky | Hopkins | C/- Hopkins Family Trust | 161 Hamilton Avenue | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Students and uni students often park outside our property on Ilam Road for extended periods, do we generally have our family / friends and boarders park on Hamilton Ave. The 120 restrictions on the south/west end of Hamilton Ave would restrict this.The proposed year round restrictions are not required and will lead to unnecessary parking infringement notices and resentment from residents, any restrictions should be limited to 9-5 Mon-Fri, Mar-Nov as is, Thank You |
| David | Thomas | 7 Renoir Lane | Ilam | Christchurch | 8004 | do not support the plan |  | We oppose the plan, parking for visitors and users of nearby amenitities is important to the quality of living and parking restrictions do not add value in this environment.We oppose the plan as many of the residents of this cluster of streets enjoy frequent day time visitors due to the age and maturity and any parking restrictions reduce the quality of living.We oppose the plan, as placing time restrictions on parking removes the obligation of the University of Canterbury to provide parking for its students within its extensive property footprint.We oppose the plan, as the University of Canterbury should be required to develop parking spaces albeit multi storey to address the parking needs of its students, rather than impede the quiet enjoyment of residents, by placing parking restrictions on streets, which inhibit residential use for individuals to visit and park in a social environment of a residential area.We strongly oppose all parking restrictions on Renoir Lane.We advocate that the Council addresses the Universities responsibility as a fee paying institution to provide necessary services to its customers. |
| Simon | Wheeler | 21 Braithwaite St, Ilam | Christchurch | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | I do not support the 120 parking on Braithwaite St, Ilam. This is a no exit cul-de-sac, and we have limited parking available to residents as it is. This is due to the number footpath bridges etc leaving little room for parks. |
| Paul | Turner | 1 Renoir Lane | Christchurch | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | There are a number of rental properties on Tuirau Place - therefore could be up to 4 vehicles per household.If parking plan goes ahead permanent residents must be given residential street parking permits that could be reviewed/renewed annually.Have all parking possibilities at the university campus been reviewed? |
| Phil  | McGoldrick | 11 Wadelay Road |  | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Area one is not a critical area.Closer to the university most certainly is.Surely the restriction in place are adequate |
| Yishan | Chen | 12 Braithwaite Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  |  |
| Stephanie & John | Sturge | 6 Braithwaite Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | There is no student parking in our street. Visitors to our home need to park on the street, during the day time. Sometimes for an indefinite length of time.Imposing parking restrictions may encourage students to park where they have not, previously. A kindergarten in an adjacent street (also a cul-de-sac) requires parking for caregivers to drop off & pick up pre-school children, so parking restrictions would affect access.As home owners we definitely do not support the plan. This street is over one kilometre from any university buildings. |
| Lianna-Merie | Hagaman | 10 Coldstream Court | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | I absolutely do not support changing the parking restrictions to all year round in Coldstream Court. It is difficult enough to live in this area and to have visitors or guests park on the street when visiting our property only to go back to their vehicle to find it has been ticketed. I totally oppose any change unless each property is provided with stickers for family and friends. I pay huge rates to live in this area ($35,839.96 per year for 3 properties) and receive virtually nothing in return. To then penalise us further without parking being available is totally unacceptable. |
| C S & R  | O'Brien | 134A Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  |  |
| Sharon | Ashmore | 123 Leinster Road |  | Christchurch | 8014 | do not support the plan |  | The plan, if it goes ahead needs to allow for an exclusion for residents of that given street.This will adversely affect property values in Area One if the property has no garage/parking area on the property |
| Jennifer | None | 4 Annherst Place |  | Christchurch | 8022 | do not support the plan | JCT Holdings Ltd | Affected property = Gothic PlaceAll this will result in is shifting the problem further out from the campus, and leaving the properties currently affected with restricted parking.It is what it is, some houses have cars parked outside all day - the cars have to go somewhere so why move the problem to other houses.Look at solutions to the parking issue not just moving the parked cars |
| Jan | Mehrtens | 2/10 Ryeland Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Restricting residence for parking outside of their homes is ludicrous.Area should be restricted to areas photographed Hamilton Ave & Clyde.Council is penalising the next generation of people who can least afford it. This money generating exercise. Council needs to encourage students to live & study in Ch-Ch rather than try & penalise them |
| Trudy | Diggs | 9 Braithwaite Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan | Pukeko Blue Ltd | We operate a residential care facility in Braithwaite Street. We present this submission in objection to the proposed parking plan to restrict parking in Braithwaite Street. We require unrestricted parking for staff, registered nurses and for families. All of these visits are important.We have never experienced difficulties with students parking down this street. Parking restrictions down this street for a situation that does not exist is excessive and unnecessary and would place undue stress and frustration on the residents of this street. In summary, at no time have we experienced difficulties with parking by students down this street so having date/time restrictions is unwarranted and we submit our objection to this proposal. We do not wish to be heard in this submission. |
| Ellen | Graham | 15 Lothian Street, Ilam | Ilam, Christchurch | Ilam, Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | I do not support the proposed new P120 restrictions. I do not find the parking down my street an issue and there are always spare spots to park, not necessarily right outside the required residence but not far away. I think it is completely unfair to people attending the University of Canterbury to put in place these parking restrictions. First of all, they attend university for longer than 2 hours and need somewhere to park where there is no concern for time restrictions. Yes, I understand there are on campus parking permits available for university students, but these car parks fill up very fast, if you do not have one of the first lectures in the morning you will struggle to find a park on campus. Therefore these people that miss car parks and those who do not wish to purchase the pricey parking permits need to find other places to park. There are already a number of restrictions in areas very close to the university which results in people having to park further and walk a decent way to get to uni. Adding these restrictions in places that require about at 15 minute walk from my own experience is very inconvenient for university students. It is just making things more difficult than it has to be and I do not think it is fair. I do not think it is an issue, and does not need fixing. I think it is unreasonable especially when tickets will get given to students who have been driving around for 20 minutes, trying to find a park without restrictions then giving up and parking in one with restrictions because otherwise the will be late to their lectures. They will then have to pay this ticket they received. I know that a number of university students struggle with a tight financial budget and do not need parking tickets to add to this problem. I also think the timing of this feedback opportunity is completely unfair due to it being in the middle of university students final exams, meaning it is a lot less likely you will receive comments from university students, like myself, regarding this matter. I hope this will help in the final decision regarding the proposed plans. Thank you for your consideration.  |
| Geraldine | Gudsell | 132 Hamilton Ave | ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | I DO NOT SUPPORT THE PLAN FOR P120 IN HAMILTON AVENUE. I have out of town friends to stay, they park on the street and then catch a bus into the CBD for several hours. If the P120 is there they will then come back to a ticket.Currently university staff/students park on Hamilton Ave, outside my house (I have a good view from my kitchen window). They park there all day, so P120 is not going to suit them. I have heard from several sources that there is parking at the University but they don't like paying for it.A year or so ago I had my roof painted - what with scaffolders and painters - their vans and trucks were parked on the street all day for several weeks. There is a lot of building, maintenance, neighbour's drives being re-sealed etc. being done on Hamilton Avenue, which involve workman that have to be parked there all day.I have spoken to my neighbours and the are all opposed to P120 restrictions.I am happy to share the street - with university staff, workman, and neighbour's teenage children that have cars - without having P120 restrictions. Our lives are busy enough without having to constantly be thinking about shifting cars. |
| John & Daniela | Blair | 232 Ilam Road | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | While agreeing that daily long-term parking by workers and students from CU does create issues for the residents of the Ilam area, especially closer to the University, restricting the parking options for rate paying residents of this area as has been proposed by the University Parking Plan in not the solution.To start with, IF this proposal is really meant to deal with students' parking, it would be LIMITED to University semester times only and would not apply to the lecture-free periods of November to February.Our property is located close to the Memorial Ave end of Ilam Rd and over 2km away from the university. To extend the "limited parking zone" so far out, is unnecessary and imposed unfair restrictions on the residents. We enjoy frequent visits from friends and from our adult children and their families and as there is limited space to park their cars on our land, they do park on Ilam Road during their visits.If this proposed University Parking Plan becomes a reality for our area, it will limit family time and social visits to 120 minute visits, which will severely and unnecessarily impact on my enjoyment of life.One option, if this restriction parking plan come to fruition is, might be to issue every affected resident in the area with transferrable RESIDENT'S PARKING PERMITS. I have seen resident parking permits being issued by the Auckland City Council for the St. Mary's bay area and while not perfect, it seems to have solve the parking problems there.Happy to appear before a committee and defend my stance. |
| Mary | Sotheran | 66 Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | We were promised 'speed bumps' in Hamilton Ave to slow the dangerous speed most cars are moving at & causing 1. great danger to children in area + elderly people 2. increased traffic noise. Well, we didn't get them due to a couple of men applying some influence on the Council engineers regardless of what the rest of us would prefer! It's a case of who you know to achieve your outcomes! Those who queried this non appearance of SB were told not to be concerned as the parking areas would be occupied by people / cars attending the university & that would force them to slow down. This was the case until once again, certain men put pressure on Council for restricted park. We are left with real dangers of cars moving at speed & NOISE ! |
| Alison & Ian | Wilton | 133A Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Only if each resident household is issued with a "Residents Parking Coupon" |
| Grant | Hughes | 17 Ryeland Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | No I/We do not support the plan as it applies to Ryeland Ave.The problem I have with the University Parking Plan Review (Area one) is that it clearly fails to take into account the existence of the kindergarten in Ryeland Avenue, the effects on traffic which that has and consequent risks to small children. "Clearly" because the plan itself puts full day parking on the kindergarten side of the road, likely considerably increasing the need for parents and children to cross.Ryeland Avenue is a narrow street. With cars parked on both sides if effectively reduces to single lane. Already kindergarten pick up and drop off traffic which occurs four times a day has this effect, causing traffic to wait at the entrance to the street from Ilam Road. The kindergarten being near the entrance it is difficult to see how the area could sustain added pressure from student parking.Please also bear in mind that these a pre school children who are not particularly traffic savvy and that getting them into and out of cars seems often to be quite a process.Accordingly, I ask that you reconsider your proposal as it applies to Ryeland Avenue and Braithwaite Street, which goes off it.  |
| Opi | Wilson-Howarth | 193 Ilam Road | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | There are properties that do NOT have off-street parking spaces including the property of 193 Ilam Rd.We have 5 tenants with 3 vehicles and everyone living in this property has to park outside on the street.If your prosing streets are limited to 120 minutes for parking, where do we have to park?Are you going to pay for cutting down the tree in the property, break down the concrete walls and make additional spaces on the property?So, how are you going to handle this? |
| Pamela | Braithwaite | 230C Ilam Road | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | I am opposed to this scheme.1. This is a RESIDENTIAL AREA, and over the years the housing has become denser. Where I live, close to Memorial Avenue, we do not have University cars parking during the day, but a number of local residents need to leave their cars on the street because of inadequate off-street parking. These do not all work 9-5, and they don't necessarily go to work by car. Possibly a RESIDENT'S PARKING PERMIT might help these people.2. THERE IS NO SIGN THAT THE PARKING RESTRICTIONS ARE WORKING WHERE THEY ARE IN PLACE.So far as I can see, Ilam Road is currently parked up all day from Riccarton Road to the bend in the road, before Tuirau Place; as are Kirkwood Avenue, Rountree Street, Hanrahan Street, Maidstone Road, Clyde Road and many side streets. IS THERE ANY CURRENT MONITORING AND FINING OF OVER-PARKING IN THE RESTRICTED AREA? I have never seen any sign of it. This makes me doubt the effectiveness of the system, though I appreciate its good intentions to prevent all-day parking.3.This is a residential area (as above), but the University traffic has a serious impact on the QUALITY OF LIFE OF THE LOCALS, and may well harm PROPERTY VALUES. As a pensioner, I have the freedom to invite friends to lunch, and sometimes hold daytime parties for larger groups of older friends. As I have a back flat, maybe two cars could fit in the driveway without being in the neighbour's way. What are the rest of the visitors supposed to do? Do I kick them out after two hours? What do front flat owners do, with even more restricted off-street parking? Can local residents only entertain on weekends or evenings? What about meeting groups, social groups, craft groups etc.? How do people closer to the University cope now, with their neighbourhood permanently parked up? THE PROPOSED RESTRICTED PARKING AREA IS HUGE, AND WILL NEGATIVELY AFFECT A HUGE NUMBER OF RESIDENTS?4. Current over-parking also affects BUSINESSES IN THE AREA. For example, last year some elderly friends from new Brighton invited me to lunch at LB's, on the corner of Ilam and Creyke Roads. When they arrived, the cafe's off-street parking was full and the nearest on-street park was blocks away, up round the corner toward Memorial Avenue. My friends were too frail to walk so far, so we had to cancel the lunch booking and go elsewhere. I doubt whether that was an isolated situation - the cafe staff didn't seem at all surprised.5. At present the University traffic affects the other end of Hamilton Avenue, but probably not the Ilam end, and there is no sign of University cars at this end of Ilam Road, so the action seems unnecessary. Maybe it is to prevent cars parking here after the nearer area is restricted. Maybe SEE IF THERE IS A NEED FIRST.THE UNIVERSITY NEEDS TO TAKE MORE RESPONSIBILITY FOR ITS OWN PARKING. Prior to the earthquakes, a public meeting was held at the University to discuss the proposed building programme and its possible effects. Locals expressed strong opposition to the current parking problems. Disturbingly, none of could see any sign that minutes were being taken or our points being recorded, so our perception was that the University was ticking the public-consultation box without intending to address the issues raised. A key point of the discussion was the plan to build new College of Education buildings on Ilam Road site, without providing any extra parking whatever. As the Dovedale Avenue site has extensive and much-used parking both on and off-street, this seemed appallingly irresponsible, and was strongly opposed by locals. The University said they had attempted to address the local parking problems by introducing an in-ground parking fee. Evidently logic was not the teaching subject of the Chancellor. This simply made drivers opt for free on-street parking.SUGGESTIONS:My suggestions are (a) that MORE PARKING BE DEVELOPED AT THE DOVEDALE SITE, and that staff/students pay a reasonably modest sum for a parking permit for the year. They have a walkway with a traffic light at Waimairi Road, which makes the walk quite reasonable, and in fact pleasant. Perhaps SOME ROOM COULD BE FOUND AT ILAM FIELDS OR ELSEWHERE ON SITE too. At the same time, the University or Council could fund a PARKING INSPECTOR to patrol the existing 120 minute parking area to prevent people from parking there all day.If the restriction does go ahead, there should still be a PARKING INSPECTOR to ensure it is effective, so locals are not facing severe restrictions with no improvement to conditions.I am strongly opposed to the University simply continuing to shunt its parking issues off onto this residential neighbourhood, without making any practical attempt to solve the problem itself. |
| Alan | Marshall | 5 Braithwaite Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | This plan will be a disaster for residents in Braithwaite St. Currently no students park in Braithwaite St, so no parking restrictions are required.Many Braithwaite St sections have 2-3 cars/house, meaning 1-2 cars need to be parked on the street during the day. So any surplus cars in the 23x Braithwaite St sections will need to park outside the 5 sections NOT covered by P120 restrictions.So unless we get 'Residents stickers' entitling us to park all day in P120 areas, this will be a disaster for local residents.Why are you even considering this, when currently NO students park in Braithwaite Street (or Ryeland Ave). |
| Tony | Stackhouse | 17 Hamiton Ave |  | Christchurch | 8140 | do not support the plan |  | So far as it affects our home at 17 Hamilton Avenue i.e. the proposed P120 Mon-Fri 9am-5pm, all year round.Driving on Hamilton Ave is DANGEROUS at the present speed of 50 kph because when Ham Ave was reformed some years ago, it was made TOO NARROW - with parking on both sides of this street. An extra 1 or 2 metres of width for the carriageway would have been adequate for safe driving and parking. |
| None | Moffatt | 23 Braithwaite Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | No! Fix your own parking problems at the Uni.Not our problem to solve! |
| Ruth | Targus | 10 Coleridge Terrace |  | Lyttelton | 8082 | do not support the plan |  | Do not generally support the plan for the bus stop but parking ok. Do not understand why you would put a bus stop directly outside a school when students do not use public buses for journeys.1. Danger of buses pulling out and in at school times2. Pollution of engines ticking directly into playground3. Taking away short parking for school drops and pickups (people will still use space and cause more problems)PROPOSAL TO YOU:KEEP Winchester St Bus stop - lots of elderly residents nearby use this and 50m can make a big difference on a hill.Put SECOND BUS STOP - outside Information Centre. Why? This would provide sufficient gap between stops.Would enable drivers to use public toilets directly instead of running down hillWould enable visitors to see the street (London St) in passing on bus, that they wish to visit (rather than being dropped outside a school and wondering where to go)Information centre then directly on hand to assist in any enquiries |
| Rainer | Heidtke | 21A Hamilton Avenue |  | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | I am owner of 21A Hamilton Ave, 21B Hamilton Ave, 23B Hamilton Ave and 2/23 Hamilton Ave (4 properties). There is no heavy parking demand in our part of Hamilton Ave nor does parking create any issues. In fact, any parking reduces the speed of cars travelling through Hamilton Ave (which is a wide road). I reject any change of parking and any introduction of parking restriction.I firmly believe that this is just another exercise to allow the Council to earn more money through parking tickets issued by your parking wardens. Please prove that the majority is supporting your initiative. None of the residents in Hamilton Ave I talked to is in support! |
| Julianne | Baker | 143 Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  |  |
| C & K | Graham | 15 Lothian Street |  | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | It is completely ridiculous and unnecessary to extend car parking restrictions any further. It not only inconveniences students but also residents in the streets affected. We DO NOT support further restrictions in these areas. We live here and do not find student/University parking a problem AT ALL (have lived in area for 15 years)LEAVE US ALONE!! |
| Peter | Hentschel | 8 Chilcombe Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | The following comments are not sorted in order of priority or view:(i) The plan penalises residents from parking in their street without getting traffic tickets (I personally know of cases where this has happened).(ii) Why should the Council support the University when the University has enough land to convert to parking. Other organisations have to cater for off-street parking for their clients. The University should be made to provide parking for all its students and staff and not charge them the present outlandish parking fees.(iii) The proposed new parking P120 restrictions pose an even greater demand in areas where there is no restriction. During University time the no restriction area in our street is full by 7.00 am and I as a resident of the street have to park in the P120 area and keep on shifting my car every two hours.(iv) If the proposed restrictions are put in place then there needs to be a greater law enforcement presence. I have seen cars parked in P120 areas without getting a ticket.(v) The present parking plan in our street gives us some respite from the restrictions for Decmber, january and February. The proposed plan removes that respite.(vi) If the proposed restrictions are put in place then I think the Council owes it to the residents of the street to give them a parking permit that allows parking in a P120 zone all day without penalty. |
| Kay & Michael | Glubb | 210 Ilam Road |  | Christchurch | 8014 | do not support the plan |  | We live at 210 Ilam Road near the Ilam Road/Hamilton Ave intersection.We are very disappointed with your proposal. There will be no parking restrictions on the road by out driveway which will mean university students/contractors will park there all day. This will create traffic hazards for us getting out of our driveway as visibility is reduced. Also there will be nowhere to park for visitors to our properties.Any other private business operating in a residential area would be required by Council to have their own parking buildings to accommodate those using the business and the question should be asked why the university should be able to operate under different rules from the rest in the community. Canterbury University should be required to provide car parking and not expect the rate paying residents to provide free car parking outside their properties. We know there is limited fee paying car parking at the University but certainly not enough to cater for all students. This should be addressed - not by the Council simply allowing the university to encroach on more and more nearby roads - restricting parking for some and not others. The University should be making car parking at their site a huge priority. Looking at their park like grounds they have room for one.The restrictions proposed by Council may assist those residents whose properties fall into the proposed P120 restrictions but this will be to detriment of those like ourselves who don't.I am sure this feedback will be pointless as Council will have made up their mind anyway and will not place any limits/conditions on the University, but will send anyway. |
| Jim | Walford | 2 Gothic Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | I am concerned that maybe some people park in these areas for longer than 120 mins so introducing this plan may force cars to be parked in Tudor Ave, Gothic Place, Hanover Street for long periods of time. I think more short term and long term parking needs to be found, invested in closer to the University or on the University.I also recommend putting back all the road side car parks that were removed from Ilam rd and finding another route along Ilam rd for the cycle path. I used to cycle along Ilam rd when it had cars parked on both sides and found it ok. Another suggestion would be to convert some of the grass areas on campus to car parking and/or see if the new government will fund a new car parking building.Also in a few years I expect there will be many electric cars on the road so I think it would be a good idea to invest in car parking building(s) at the university and put solar panels on as many of the building roofs as possible to contribute a small proportion of the charging stations and university electrical usage. |
| Helen | Simpkins | 1A Chilcombe Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | There are zero reasons to have the parking restrictions to all year round.Don't need parking restrictions when Uni is closed |
| Paul | McCormack | 129 Memorial Ave |  | Christchurch |  | do not support the plan |  | There is more than enough restricted parking around the University.Increase the general rates for households rather than revenue by stealth via your parking warden |
| Geoff & Margaret | Sweet | 29B Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | We do not support the planned changes. See attached sheet.We submit that the present parking arrangements between Clyde Rd and Otara Street should not be changed. They should stay as they are and no new restrictions should be introduced.We submit that restricted parking at present labelled 120 minutes should be extended to 180 minutes. 120 minute is too short a time for most of the activities people engage in.(Attachment)No. I / We do not generally support the plan.1. The parking provisions in Hamilton Avenue are already too restrictive. These proposals will make them more so. We submit that the parking arrangements between Clyde Rd and Otara Street should stay as they are and no changes should be introduced.2. We note that you call this proposal a "University Parking Plan Review". We can tell you that the unrestricted parking in Hamilton Avenue is used by staff from the supermarket in Fendalton Mall, not UC students. We see Supermarket staff arrive from 7am in the morning.3. 120 minutes is not long enough on the occasions when we or our guests need to park on the road. If guests come to lunch they are likely to arrive about 12pm and are very likely not to leave before 3pm. Do Council staff think they have the right to say how long our visitors should stay?4. We live on a shared drive on the south side of Hamilton Avenue. We have 3 additional parking places on our property. The most common reason for us to park our own cars on the road is because we have tradesmen working on the house. Tradesmen's vehicles must be able to come and go during the day, and personal/family cars must make space for them. This situation requires us to park on Hamilton Avenue.5. If we do need to park on the street, we are likely to need to park for more than 2 hours. We object to being ticketed for parking on our own street, outside our own gateway.6. When Hamilton Avenue was rebuilt a few years ago Council staff told us that two cars would be able to pass comfortably between cars parked on the street. This proved to be untrue. Oncoming cars now edge past each other. We are faced with a street that is difficult to navigate, and has only limited parking. We do not need further 'clever' ideas from Council planners.WE SUBMIT THAT THE PRESENT PARKING ARRANGEMENTS BETWEEN CLYDE ROAD AND OTARA STREET SHOULD NOT BE CHANGED. THEY SHOULD STAY AS THEY ARE AND NO NEW RESTRICTIONS SHOULD BE INTRODUCED.WE SUBMIT THAT RESTRICTED PARKING AT PRESENT LAVELLED 120 MINIUTES SHOULD BE EXTENDED TO 180 MINUTES. 120 MINUTES IS TOO SHORT A TIME FOR MOST OF THE ACTIVITIES PEOPLE ENGAGE IN. |
| Carl | Wilson | 11B Gothic Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | I am appalled that the University is passing their problem into the community.If this goes ahead residents and their guests must be exempt.P120 should include March-November period only.University should provide parking for all their students and guests onsite. This is not a community problem, it is a UC created problem that they should solve onsite. |
| Leonie | Constable | 22 Tuirau Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | I oppose the P120 on Tuirau PlaceThere are numerous rentals on Tuirau Place, one of which is mine that rely on parking on the street. My rental is 4 Tuirau Place. in addition because I live at the end of the cul-de-sac and end of long drive there isn't enough parking up my drive and so my visitors park on Tuirau Place.Putting a 'P120' would devalue the properties on our street & so I am vehemently opposed to this. |
| Sue | Piesse | 223 Ilam Road |  | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  |  |
| Tom | Bruynel | 6A Braithwaite Street | Christchurch | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | The P120 restrictions are unfair to local residents! In our case we don't have sufficient off-road parking and quite often have one or two cars parked on the roadside near our home. It isn't right that we should risk parking infringements just because you are trying to restrict non-local residents parking on our street. Surely that is exactly the opposite of what you are trying to achieve! I know in many cities that locals have 'resident parking permits' displayed in the car windscreens and that might be one way of avoiding this problem. I think there is a bigger issue too. It is incumbent on businesses to provide adequate parking for staff and clients and I know that CCC is quite zealous in other areas in preventing firms from 'running their business' on the side of the road. Surely this needs to be applied to UC as well. The University seems happy to ignore its responsibility in this respect and is simply pushing its problem onto neighbouring residents. It seems that UC really needs its own parking building or similar. It has plenty of little-used land that could turned into carparking (even just on a temporary basis). Lastly, Braithwaite St is very much on the fringe of the proposed new P120 area. I'm not home often during the day but have not heard of complaints of many non-local cars being parked on our street. Thanks for the opportunity to comment. |
| Gabriella | Kennedy |  | Christchurch | Christchurch | 8081 | do not support the plan |  | It is already hard enough for university students to find parks with the current restrictions, and adding more 120 spaces will hinder this even more. We need to be able to have streets that we can park on for longer than 2 hours.  |
| Aidan | Cleminson | 136 Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Need street parking for myself and visitors |
| Paige | Cleminson | 136 Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Need street parking for myself and family and friends |
| Andrew  | Cleminson | 136 Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Just built a new house and we have teenagers and they require street parking, as do visitors. |
| Jo | Cleminson | 136 Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Most houses at our end of street and three deep so family and friends park on the street. We also have teenager and their friends stay over so need street parking. |
| Brian and susanne | Thompson | 136A hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch |  | do not support the plan |  | We have lived in Hamilton Ave for nearly 24 years. There is little difference in parking demand. You need to provide increased parking within the university grounds and not impose parking restrictions on our residential streets, depriving both ourselves and visitors the opportunity to use our own streets for parking. Most addresses along Hamilton Ave are 3 deep on both sides and require street parking from time to time. I object to being limited to 120min outside our own home. I wish to freely use our street as I please without over bearing meter wardens issuing tickets. Alternatively issue each house with say 2-3 resident parking window certificates at no cost |
| James | Turner | 19/23 Memorial Ave |  | Christchurch | 8053 | do not support the plan |  | Members of the community park on the streets because they are making use of the surrounding area and facilities.To just restrict parking and not have an alternative solution is poor planning and will not encourage the University to prosper |
| Georgia | Smith | 134 Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | This is not a good plan. We have a lot of cars situated at our property, and host many friends & family - all of whom have their own cars. Not being able to leave our cars outside our own home for a longer period than 120 minutes will greatly inconvenience our household. We don't have a problem with how our street is currently, and changing it will further create problems. University Students already find the road outside our house too far away from Uni to park, so it would be creating an unnecessary problem for the families that live here if this is implemented. Most of the houses on Hamilton Ave are 3 or 4 deep off the road side - so it creates the parking issue for all those additional households up long driveways as well. - A totally unnecessary inconvenience. Please don't do it. |
| Shuying | Cheng | F1 3 Lothian Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  |  |
| Huiying | Cheng | 22 Ryeland Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  |  |
| PIp | Ivey | 134 Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | I do not believe the parking restrictions are necessary. A bureaucratic parking plan is excessive. Why do the CCC want to take such measures? Clearly it is not for the safety outside our house (134). If the CCC are wanting to implement something positive in the area I suggest you remove the metal triangles that serve no purpose on the Chilcombe /Hamilton roundabout. These have been nothing than an eyesore and a target for interference since they were installed 10 years ago. Where the parking plan is implemented I do not wish to be inside it. Perhaps a way to help the universities parking crisis would be lower the prices of UC parking permits or to create further carparks, instead of damaging the parking facilities of families outside their own homes.  |
| Nick | Leslie | 15 Joyce Crescent | Ilam | Christchurch  | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | Add an excemption for people who live in the area (a sign to hang in your car). I don’t want another ticket for parking on MY street. Until you make it easier for people who actually live in the area, then I will not support this.  |
| Wendy | Goodyear | Hamilton Ave | Ilam |  |  | do not support the plan |  | HiIts all covered in this 2015 article except the parking is worse and the compliance weakerResidents say Canterbury University parking fee rise ''stupid''Residents surrounding Canterbury University say its plan to increase on-campus parking fees will compound a longstanding issue of student vehicles clogging their streets.The university is proposing to increase parking fees by 15 per cent for each of the next three years, taking an annual staff permit to $455 in 2016, and a student one to $304. It would also stop its free parking over summer holidays.Streets around the campus appear clogged with cars, which Ilam and Upper Riccarton Residents' Association chairman Peter Harding said had been an ongoing issue as students and staff tried to avoid expensive campus parking.Parking on the campus has continued to rise since the quakes.Harding said the parking fee rise was "timely" as on Wednesday the residents' association was to present on the issue to the Christchurch City Council District Plan review panel. It wanted parking protections put in place if the university's plans of building student accommodation on the former College of Education Dovedale site went ahead.Residents, who are surrounded by an alcohol-free zone, also wanted to have their say on the university wanting to have the ability to sell alcohol on the site.But largely, the presentation was the "last chance to try to get the university to make some concession to the residents with regard to parking"."The issue we have as residents is that students understandably avoid paying the fees so what do they do? Park on the street."The university carparks were near empty as a result, he said."It's been a problem for years and years."Ad FeedbackIncreasing parking fees was not going to alleviate the problem, it would make it worse, Harding said."It's stupid because people will avoid the parks so there will be no revenue, and it passes the problem on to the locals."A university spokesman said if approved by its council, day parking charges would be increased by 50 cents, to $8, and pay and display to $3 an hour. There would be comparable increases in 2017 and 2018.The fee rise was to contribute to the cost of required roading and car park maintenance on campus, which was $7000 per car park over a 15-year lifespan, he said.The revised charges would raise an additional $1 million dollars over the next three years,"The increase is aimed at recovering costs and encouraging use of alternative forms of transport, while not using tuition or research funding to subsidise parking costs."The proposal also recommended that parking permits apply for the full calendar year, meaning no free parking on campus over the summer holiday break. "The University is aware of the community's views on parking, and that increasing charges may be perceived by some as worsening the on-street parking situation around the UC campus."But it was unable to reduce fees and did not believe a significant reduction in campus parking fees would improve the on-street parking situation.It remained committed to promoting residents' parking permits as the best option for ensuring on-street parking for residents. |
| James | Nell | 19 Ryeland Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | I am a resident of Ryeland Avenue and have lived on the street for five years. I do not believe that there is a parking congestion issue on Ryeland Avenue or Braithwaite Street. I have not noticed any evidence of University related traffic parking on these streets and there is ample parking available during the day. While the proposed extended parking restrictions may cause traffic to park further afield in streets such as Ryeland Avenue and Braithwaite Street, I would strongly prefer that the Christchurch City Council took an evidence based wait and see approach to parking restrictions on Ryeland Avenue and Braithwaite Street. Parking restrictions on Ryeland Avenue and Braithwaite Street at this time are only likely to have an adverse effect on residents and their visitors.  |
| John | Dean | 10 Chilcombe St | Ilam | ChCH | 8041 | do not support the plan |  | By your own admission, it is visitors to the university and TC that require parkingThese organisations should supply parksI have almost been knocked off my bike twice in Coldstream Court by students in cars tearing out of what is a narrow culd e sac.I also saw a person knocked off their bike by a truck coming around the wrong way of this culd e sac.They were extremely lucky that they were hit by the mirror onlyThis is an easy out for the council to reduce enforcement costsBy your own admission, you receive numerous calls from residents about parking issuesThis often includes all day parking within 1 meter of drivewaysThe tax payer funded university doesn't care that its rebuild workers in their oil leaking cars park anywhere. So long as it isn't in their groundsI am totally against polluting more streets with all day parking giving an easy out for council enforcement and creating more noise, pollution, rubbish and non caring behavior from some of the car owners in streets where people care about their community |
| A  | Taylor | 10 Braithwaite Street |  | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Main issue is residents access to parks outside their homes without penalty. (plus visitors)!I often have my mother here and helping and the plan would very possibly result in her being ticketed.Braithwaite St & beyond Hamilton Ave on Ilam Rd aren't 'contested areas' with students at present. |
| Roger | Brown | 12 Swanleigh Place | Ilam | Christchurch |  | have some concerns |  | We are concerned about the potential for increased parking in the street but if the proposed restriction will control any increase in residential parking by university students then we will support the proposed change(s) but we would only agree on the basis that there was clear evidence that enforcement of the rules would take place. We would also require the signs to be minimal and not intrusive and would seek to be consulted on their location . |
| Grant & Christine | Bird | 3 Karo Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | It is time that residents car parking permits were provided to local residents. We have restricted parking in Karo Place and cannot park outside our property without the risk of being ticketed.Please consider creating residents parking area in the full Karo Place area currently subject to 120 limits.Residents in other streets will also benefit from permit parking. |
| William & Patricia | Gilroy | 200 Ilam Road | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | The proposed P120 restrictions should extend along Ilam Road on our side of the road from the corner of Hamilton Avenue to Joyce Crescent. There seems to be no reason to differentiate one side of the road from the other in respect of parking restrictions. |
| John | Coyle | 12 Chilcombe Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8042 | have some concerns |  | We would like to see the current parking restrictions on Chilcombe Street extended to cover both sides of the street. In addition there are yellow lines in the middle of the street where the road narrows. Despite this on occasions drivers have parked there car's here making driving quite dangerous. Could these lines be extended slightly and perhaps signage or something similar put in place to make it clear that people should not park there. |
| Marlene | Jaspers | 19 Tuirau Place | Ilam | Christchrch | 8041 | have some concerns | Jaspers Family Trust | We believe that the University and College should be obliged to provide much more cheap parking on their own grounds.We also believe that the CCC must introduce residents' parking permits which provide for longer than 120 minutes. These would allow for trades people and visitors to park for longer periods if necessary.It seems unreasonable that residents be disadvantaged because the University has been allowed to avoid its responsibilities. The University currently has grounds sufficient for much more tarmac parking areas but wishes to have attractive gardens instead. Therefore, it should find another solution instead of transferring the problem onto its neighbours. Perhaps it could build a parking building which provides parking to its paying customers. |
| Gordon | Dalkie | 5 Karo Place | Ilam  | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Residents parking exemption for 1 car to allow permanent street parking should be available. |
| Doug & Jill | Archbold | 50 Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | The plan is excellent but enforcement is a problem particularly in Otara St cars are parked in the P120 area all day and move from east to west side to avoid the P120 they seem to be aware when parking wardens are around! Perhaps the east side should be no parking this would make two way traffic flow safer. |
| Denise | Lam | 5 Otara Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8053 | have some concerns |  | As a ratepayer on this home I own. I would like (home owners) residents to have the ability to park outside or close to their own home. I have been ticketed, as have many of my family members, for parking outside and request investigation into providing residents parking permits. |
| B A  | Brinson | 133 Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8014 | have some concerns |  | Although we generally support the plan, a problem remains - How is it going to be enforced? - regular patrols & issueing infringement tickets?This is a residential area, and on street parking should be available adjacent to our homes for friends & family and trades people providing services for residents.There are already too many parked cars on both sides of the street. |
| Jean | Fraser | 12 Gothic Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Although we are in area one,we are not in the proposed 120min parking restriction plan.We have experienced student parking in Gothic Place,which will only increase with the introduction of restriction in other areas, so would like to be included in this review. |
| Mrs Shirley | Smith | 26 Ryeland Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | I would like to have a resident's permit that over rides these restrictions as it is necessary at times to park outside our own home for longer than 120 minutes.Also please investigate restricting parking on both sides of the road near the kindergarten as at time it is very difficult to manoeuver and see young children at peak kindergarten hours |
| Suzy | Sugrul | 7 Tuirau Place |  | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Wondering where we would park our cars during the day as home owners - as the driveway may not be available! |
| M & J | Setchell | 235 Ilam Road | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Why stop short of the junction between Ilam Road & Memorial Avenue on the LH side, when the RH side has a red line all the way to the junction?Also restrict LH of Hamilton Ave! |
| Sue J | Carre | 33 Ryeland Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns | Private | While supporting placing limits on University Parking I note that the University of Canterbury is a business and as such, in my view, should provide parking for its clients. The University is in effect dumping this problem on their neighbours creating concern and difficulty for all around.I limit my comments to Ryeland Avenue. University parking should be discouraged in this street. This is an Avenue that is already stretched for parking and particularly busy during Kindergarten time with parents, and associates of the concern, parking on both sides of the road. Congestion is greatest around drop-off and pick-up times when the Avenue becomes somewhat chaotic, children being shuffled into and out of cars, and across the road which is handling moving traffic in its narrow width.At present there is a lot of residential parking on Ryeland Avenue and restricting it to P120 would have a severe impact on the residents. I am therefore against any changes which might suggest that University parking is encouraged.I now refer to a particular problem at the blind end of Ryeland Avenue where the present plan calls P120 parking. The last 10 metres of Ryeland Avenue must be kept clear of all parking.In the 70s the section between the ends of Ryeland Avenue and Hampton Place was developed. Up until this time, plans called for the two roads to be linked. A sensible application, unanimously support by the residents of both streets (and the District Council), was successfully made to turn Ryeland Avenue and Hampton Place into blind streets.As a condition of the approval clear turning space had to be provided at the blind (North-West) end of Ryeland Avenue. This was done to the Councils plans at the developers (my!) expense. Shunting space was provided at the entrance to the last property (No. 33) and the road/gutter on the road-side directly opposite was re-engineered. The area was then declared as No Parking to permit vehicles, in particular the refuse trucks, to shunt (3-point turn). This must remain. |
| Terry D | Carrell | 33 Ryeland Ave | Christchurch | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns | Private | While supporting placing limits on University Parking I note that the University of Canterbury is a business and as such, in my view, should provide parking for its clients. The University is in effect dumping this problem on their neighbours creating concern and difficulty for all around.I limit my comments to Ryeland Avenue. University parking should be discouraged in this street. This is an Avenue that is already stretched for parking and particularly busy during Kindergarten time with parents, and associates of the concern, parking on both sides of the road. Congestion is greatest around drop-off and pick-up times when the Avenue becomes somewhat chaotic, children being shuffled into and out of cars, and across the road which is handling moving traffic in its narrow width.At present there is a lot of residential parking on Ryeland Avenue and restricting it to P120 would have a severe impact on the residents. I am therefore against any changes which might suggest that University parking is encouraged.I now refer to a particular problem at the blind end of Ryeland Avenue where the present plan calls P120 parking. The last 10 metres of Ryeland Avenue must be kept clear of all parking.In the 70s the section between the ends of Ryeland Avenue and Hampton Place was developed. Up until this time, plans called for the two roads to be linked. A sensible application, unanimously support by the residents of both streets (and the District Council), was successfully made to turn Ryeland Avenue and Hampton Place into blind streets.As a condition of the approval clear turning space had to be provided at the blind (North-West) end of Ryeland Avenue. This was done to the Councils plans at the developers (my!) expense. Shunting space was provided at the entrance to the last property (No. 33) and the road/gutter on the road-side directly opposite was re-engineered. The area was then declared as No Parking to permit vehicles, in particular the refuse trucks, to shunt (3-point turn). This must remain. |
| Campbell | Whiting | 110c Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Concerned the proposed additional P120 Restrictions on/around Hamilton Avenue will simply create even higher demand for the remaining non-restricted on-street parks (funnelling effect). The University is also exacerbating the problem by drastically increasing fees for student/staff parking spaces by 14% and 33% respectively from 2017 to 2018. This seems to be a deliberate strategy by the University to drive demand off-site and avoid the burden/cost of building a multi-storey car park on-site - which would be the most logical solution. |
| E P | Parsons | 67 Hamilton Ave |  | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | I have lived in Hamilton Avenue for a total of 55 years since 1950, including owning a property in the street for the last 30 years. It needs to be recognised, and owned by the CCC that the reconstruction of Creyke Road, and then Ilam Road had - in each case - had an immediate and lasting impact on parking in surrounding areas, as the available parking in each of those roads was cut significantly by the restructuring. A lot of tax payer funds have been spent making changes which have caused a large percentage of the current problem, and extended it into the likes of Hamilton Avenue where there was no UC parking before the Creyke Rd redo.120P has improved the situation, but with the narrowing of the road when it was redone, and cars parking too close to driveways, backing out of properties can be a 'by guess and by God' experience - especially when there is another vehicle parked opposite, on the other side of the street - and there are 4WD's included in the mix! |
| Kay  | Price | 253A Ilam Road | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | I feel that owner-occupiers should have a card or something in order that they might be free to park their cars for unlimited time outside their own homes |
| Jeanette | McLeod | 143A Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | We would like it if residents could have permits for parking. We already have issues with neighbours parking in our (long) drive & don't want to discourage them from parking in the street. We support these changes but want to make sure that they don't cause congestion issues in private driveways |
| Bruce & Anne | Jamieson | 130B Clyde Road |  | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | We support extending the parking restrictions but wish to add further suggestions.Hamilton Ave is very narrow and parking of cars on both sides narrows it even further. Some drivers travel very quickly making it difficult at times to drive safely.We suggest lowering the speed to 40k or restricting parking to one side of the street.Living on Clyde Road with a P120 restriction we observe cars parked all day so hope that monitoring will be increased in the P120 restriction streets |
| Chris | O'Donnell | 10 Tuirau Place | Ilam | Christchurch |  | have some concerns |  | 1. I am concerned that no parking arrangements have been made by the university for parking on site for students and staff.There is no long term program to cater for this problem. Just pushing the problem further out for students. CCC start thinking long term!!2. I would want a landowner/long term parking sign for the rate payers so we can park outside our own property longer than 120mins a day. |
| Paul | Wright | 20 Swanleigh Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Council should follow international friends and issue residents parking signs & stickers instead of a restricted parking time limit.Residents want the freedom to enjoy their residences including parking outside them.The current situation having student cars clogging the streets is a problem that won't be solved with 120min parking which covers most lecture times. |
| Jill | Wright | 16 Swanleigh Place |  | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Residents be issued with exempt parking restrictions to enable them to not be penalised for parking outside their own propertiesThank you |
| B J | Newsome | 214A Waimariri Road |  | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Area 1 - I would like to comment on parking in Waimariri Rd between Maidstone Rd & Wentworth St. With the increased number of student flats both sides of the road makes it difficult to find parking spaces for residents & visitors. They leave their cars on the road as it is just as quick to walk. It is probably not as bad during the week as it is at w/ends. Cars are parked up from late Fri till Monday morning, they just don't move.My suggestion is 120 time limit on w/ends and holidays, this will give residents and their visitors a bit of breathing space. Also for the council to look at relocating the two bus shelters one just past Westbarn School & the other nearly adjacent to Wentworth St, as no buses run Waimari Rd routs. This will free up a further few car parks. Would like a reply if at all possible giving any reason this could not be done even if it was tried as a trial would be good.Compounding this issue is the cafe & Bar in the Real Estate building on the corner of Wentworth St and the Passport and Photo Studio at 133. Parking 120 min at w/ends & holidays is well warranted. |
| J | Chan | 14 Barlow Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | The ongoing work & rework on the Maidstone Road to Hanrahan Road has incurred according to The Press more than $650,000.00 on the Ilam Road cycle lane, narrowing of this bus route, painting of many zebra pedestrian crossings.Further expenditure is now on going witha) Removal of the dangerous concrete separators, and installing of new barriers twice. Remedial work was done twice.b) Many unnecessary tree pit now subject to vandalism. Road will narrow. c) Removal of car parksd) Expenditure on new footpaths and painting green.THIS PLAN WILL INCREASE COSTS TO +$1000,000.00 IF IMPLEMENTED AND CAUSE MORE PROBLEMS FOR STUDENTS, RESIDENTS & BUSES |
| Andrew | Flanagan | 1 Roche Avenue | Upper Riccarton | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Provisions must be made so that students affected by the parking changes have access to safe and quality public transport and walking and cycling routes. The parking demand must indeed be managed, but many students drive to university because the alternatives are perceived as too difficult or unsafe. The cycleway along Ilam Road between University Drive and Maidstone Rd/Creyke Rd and the traffic calming measures along Hanrahan St, Rountree St and Homestead Ln are great examples of what can be done. |
| Mrs Jennifer | Vickery | 124 Hamiton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | I would really like a compulsory stop on corner of Lothian & Hamilton Ave. I live on this corner & cars turn quickly & I have trouble backing out of my garage especially when cars park outside my property making it difficult to see on going traffic |
| L V | Forbes | 3 Medbury Tce | Fendalton | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | I understand that there is increased pressure on public parking in the University area. The area (1) that we are currently asked about is what I would consider quite far from Campus including Coll. of Education. My concern at lending my support to this is that it is WITHOUT KNOWLEDGE of the planned measures to alleviate current problems CLOSER to Campus.Is this just pushing the 120 min restrictions wider which will make more drivers desperate - is the hope that they will turn to public transport/biking? What provisions are planned to make these options better for users. Is there any INCREASE in car parking space/permits/long stay on Campus planned? Could shuttles be organised for Campus construction workers from a more distant car park? |
| Judy  | Lafdal | 231 Ilam Road |  | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Overall excellent approach to the issue.Our problem is the one spot where the restriction is on both sides of the road. This is near the top of Hamilton Avenue (see circle on map). The start of a blank (no restriction) section is a bus stop which means that the builder working on our place will have to park 100 metres from our place.This is the only area where there is an overlap and I feel it would be fair to reduce the red line so that it finishes where the east red line begins. |
| Anna | Reid | 8 Renoir lane | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | 1. Due to narrow entrance to Swanleigh, yellow no parking lines are required. This is also necessary for visability.2. Pedestrian crossings on Ilam Rd require lights, as for students crossing on Waimairi Rd.Traffic can back up to the bend in Ilam Rd (by Tuirau Pl).3. The end of Swanleigh is too narrow for parking. |
| John S | Sheppard | 2/7A Medbury Terrace | Fendalton | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | I live slightly out of this area but would like to make the following comments:1. Extend the current P120 restrictions along the east side of Clyde Rd from Fendalton Rd south to the Kotare St intersection.2. Include the south side of Hamilton Ave in the P120 restrictions from Clyde Rd to the first bend in Hamilton Ave.I assume that, as an inhabitant of Medbury Tce we shall be able to comment on our street parking at a later date |
| J & P  | Dunkley | 14B Coldstream Court | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | 1. We would like to see more regular policing of the restricted areas.2. We feel both the University and College of Education have a responsibility to provide more ONSITE parking. |
| Ian & Rosemary | Mannering | 22A Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | We agree with the need to reduce parking in Hamilton Ave and other streets but are concerned that there will be concentrated parking in the small areas, not restricted.Outside our house at 22A Hamilton Ave there is no indication of any restriction. We are concerned that that will allow all day parking and motorists will compete for that small area of space.We would prefer to see the 120 min limit outside our house too |
| Greg & Nicky | Campbell | 9 Swanleigh Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | We wonder why the new potential restrictions on Swanleigh Place look like they are only applicable to one side of the street. Is there exemptions between 9-5p, for residents parking?In the main we support the proposal subject above.We currently don't get a heavy number of University parking while we would like to maintain, given the nature of a cul de sac. |
| Jacqui | Lawson | 8 Seanleigh Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | 1. Can residents please be issued with permits?2. Residents should be exempted if they are parking where they live. My concern is, if I choose to cycle to work, why should I run the risk of 'a parking ticket' at home?We are a 3 car family so parking on the drive is not always convenient.3. We currently don't experience an issue with students parking in Swanleigh Drive, so it would be ironic if I can't park where I live4. What are the next steps in the process |
| Brian | Palliser | 20 Gothic Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | It is time for the University to provide a proper car parking building. My concern is that the proposal will simply 'push' student parking further afield and not solve the problems.The city needs to take notice of the detrimental impact of uncontrolled parking / the "blight" of student accommodation in once delightful suburbs! Ill kept residences/vandalism/disruptive behaviour, all radiating out from UC.Parking is but one issue arising from UC not making adequate provision for its attendees and the city not grappling with the 'impact' of UC on the surrounding suburbs. |
| P & S | Bolland | 197 Ilam Road | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | We would be concerned regarding tradesmen/repair people/maintenance people having parking access as this type of work to owners properties would generally take longer than 120 mins |
| Julie  | Colombus | 43 Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | I'm very concerned about private residences not be able to park outside their properties therefore I suggest that we could apply for a residents sticker that could be used on our cars & would alleviate that problem |
| Stephen & Stacey  | Spence | 5 Hampton Place | Burnside | Christchurch | 8053 | have some concerns |  | Residents should get a sticker for their cars and they should be excluded from the P120 rule.It should only be a restriction for people who are not residents |
| RICHARD | PORTER | 23B HAMILTON AVENUE (=2/23A) | ILAM | CHRISTCHURCH | 8041 | have some concerns | - | I fully support the proposed P120 zone along the first block of Hamilton Avenue - where parking is permanently in demand. My only concern with the P120 plan is that it will increase the number of opportunities for cars to be parked improperly - i.e. hard up against the driveway line instead of 1 metre back as required by the road code. The space outside my property is really only long enough for one long car to be parked legally but it is the norm for two shorter vehicles to be crammed in, both in violation of the road code. This makes it almost impossible for me to exit safely doing a right hand turn into this narrowed road. I have nearly been wiped out once because it is impossible to see when cars are parked illegally like this. Accordingly, my request is that when the P120 zone is brought in that white 1m markers be painted at the entrance ways of this heavily used block to encourage drivers to park legally. Should this not be carried out as part of the plan I will have no choice but to start reporting every illegally parked vehicle at my driveway which will be far less efficient in terms of everyone's time. Thank you. |
| Dianne | Harker | 8c Angela Street | Upper Riccarton | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | We live out of this area but our streetparking is affected by the University, Villa Maria and Bush Inn. The area has become more populated in our 21 years, with more houses being tenanted with an increase in number of cars. It is apparent that Street parking in Angela and surrounding streets is used by Villa Maria students, Bush Inn staff especially from 0800-1700.  |
| Dr Robyn | Hewland QSM | 2 Athol Tce | Upper Riccarton | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns | IlamUpper Riccarton Residents Association | I am not personally affected, now. But, residents, especially elderly, mums with babies, disabled, need parking PERMITS to display outside their property on cars of their visitors there for all day or longer than 120 mins, , eg- relatives, carers, nurse, cleaners, gardeners, boarders, shoppers, especially if use a walker and need to get to a car with it to be a passenger, or am sick then. They need to avoid social isolation too. Could each road have along it enough parks for residents permits only, and not too far away for any resident? |
| Linda | McIndoe | 15 Tuirau Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | 1/ We think that both Tuirau and Swanleigh should be made P 120, 9-5 Mon-Fri, on both sides of the road, knowing the students, they will still continue to park in the non P120 zones, and both of these streets are VERY narrow anyway.2/ We live in Tuirau, and we have a caravan, as do some of our neighbours and ( boats), currently moving our van in and out can be a mare with the parking as it is, we have experienced twice not being able to park our van until after the students had finished for the day, thus a 9-5 P120 would help us going forward3/ Could the Council push the Uni into building their own car parking building - problem solved if not muchly easedThanks for the opportunity to have a voice Regards Glenn and Linda McIndoe |
| warren | gilbertson | 15 Gothic Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Support the plan but request that the review looks at including Gothic Place in the proposed new plan. Gothic Place has become an increasingly popular location for university students to park given quick access to university by cutting through Roy Blank Park. Parents with children wishing to access swings etc off Gothic Place are finding it increasingly difficult to find available parking and are sometimes partially blocking resident's driveways. |
| Patricia | Tait | 29 Ryeland Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | have some concerns |  | Because of the parking problems around the kindergarten when parents pick up and drop off their children (four times a day), there should be restricted parking on both sides of Ryeland Avenue and Braithwaite Street. At the moment the parents often double park on a very narrow street which leads to problems entering the street from Ilam Road.Why doesn't the University provide more parking? Why should the council have to enforce restricted parking around the University? If there is going to be restricted parking, is the Council going to provide residents stickers as the Wellington City Council does. |
| Diane | Irving | 10A Swanleigh Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | I would still like to see parking on one side only of Tuirau Place & Swanleigh |
| Amanda Taylor & Tim Woodfield | None | 229 Ilam Road |  | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | I support it with resident permits.It doesn't seem reasonable to preclude residents or their visitors from using the space outside their house without penalty.Our spot on Ilam Rd is so far from Varsity it hasn't been an issue to date (competing with students) |
| Sonia | Barker | 90 Ilam Road | Ilam | Christchurch | 8140 | support the plan | University of Canterbury | UC wholly supports CCC in the implementation of the proposed parking restrictions in the area defined.UC understands that there are issues with parking in the area UC welcomes this initiative to enhance the quality of the neighbourhood by improving accessibility and reducing congestion. |
| Cameron | Bradley | 4 Geraldo Place | Christchurch | Christchurch | 8042 | support the plan |  |  |
| Leane  | Turner | 1 Renoir Lane | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| David | Roberts | 209 Ilam Road |  | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| Dilanie | Cabraal | 139A Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| David John | Gillies | 151 Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | It is a great idea. The current situation has potential for accidents & is difficult. It should be monitored regularly |
| E G & J A | Simpson | 114 Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| Mrs Audrie | Columbus | 10 Wilfrid Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| Tony Joe and Beverley Ann  | Ng | Hamilton Avenue 25 | Ilam | Chiristchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| A | Stroud | 68 Roscrea Place | RD 2  | Kaiapoi | 7692 | support the plan |  |  |
| Diana | Weir | 11 Tuirau Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | It is very difficult & dangerous turning into the street with cars parked on both sides of the road, making it very narrow. |
| Sonya | White | 41 Memorial Ave |  | Christchurch | 8053 | support the plan |  |  |
| Juliet | Manson | 16 Coldstream Court | Fendalton | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | There needs to be no parking on Clyde Rd opposite Hamilton Ave. if turning into Hamilton it is difficult for traffic to get on the inside of turning car with parked cars. It only needs to be immediately opposite Hamilton Avenue. I would push the parking back in Hamilton Ave near intersection with Clyde Rd. |
| Philip | Brazier | 134B Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | It is a pity that the University of Canterbury cannot limit or contain more of the parking needs of its students, or encourage bikes, buses and walking more. I biked there for my entire 4 year degree.However, since it shows no sign of such moves, I agree with the plan |
| Marie | Yeo | 106 Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | We have benefitted from the current parking restrictions where we live on Hamilton Avenue.The main benefit is that visitors can park across from our house.The street would be extremely narrow if there was all day parking on both sides of the street |
| Thomas Francis keith | Sharp | 108 Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | The parking limits in front of each/adjoining property(ies) should be marked on the road. The law requires no vehicle should park closer than one metre to a vehicle entrances. Vehicles parking closer than this are a significant problem.The one metre from the vehicle entrance should be measured from the edges of the gutter crossing rather than edges of the property's gateway. When vehicles leave a property with vehicles parked outside it is difficult to see oncoming vehicles until the vehicle is leaving the property has travelled beyond the centreline of the road. This problem is exacerbated when the gateway is narrow and the one metre is measured from the edges of the gateway rather than the gutter crossing |
| M & P | Depree | 14 Tuirau Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | Yes, we totally support this plan. There have been parking issues here, particularly this year. We think these are for two reasons:1. The University increased the cost of on-campus parking2. We believe there are more students driving to University and looking for parks.It has caused us considerable inconvenience at times when family and friends cannot park near our home.For the above reasons we contacted you earlier this year and we are delighted to see you are following up on concerns such as ours, and recognize there was a wider issue than just our street |
| John | Zhang | 47B Memorial Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8053 | support the plan |  |  |
| Jamie  | Hyslop | 192 Ilam Road |  | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| W B | Beaven | 32A Creyke Road | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | As a resident of Creyke Road I know the difficulties of student parking on Creyke Road before it was rebuilt.I am an older person and have experienced difficulties parking to visit a friend in one of the streets nominated |
| M E | Symonds | 1 Karo Place | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | But not Karo Place at all. As you can see I live in Karo Street.If it want parking for cars in this street please tell me where are the residents visitors going to park. I am an older person on Super. I thought it would be a simpler idea to put a parking building on the University grounds. Problem solved |
| A L | Bartram | 70 Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | People should be also encouraged to shop in their area they live in - as supermarket.I am of the opinion there is too much traffic on all street around this area - a health hazard. Dust particles emission from vehicles is poisonous and ??? have black ??? - Too many cars on the road of Memorial Ave and this area.I am of the opinion - the University and teachers college be shifted to the Central City area as in previous time - students and others be encouraged to ride bikes, fuel is not a substance that should be wasted - conservation important |
| Sally | Page | Hamilton Ave 45A | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| Tim | Howard | 63 Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | I live halfway up Hamilton Ave with parking allowed on my side of the road. Overall, I like the restrictions but I've found that since it began, with fewer parking spaces, drivers parking often overhang my driveway. As I am a gardener and pull out in the morning with a trailer it can be quite a tight turn. I'd like to see more ticketing of bad parking.Also on rubbish collection days (Thursday) I put out my bins on the verge the evening before, when I leave the next morning usually a car will be parked in front of my bins. Why is this legal: Surely the single operator of the rubbish truck shouldn't have to jump out all day moving bins? Thanks Tim |
| A O | Turner & Others | 100 Memorial Avenue |  | Christchurch | 8053 | support the plan |  | A good idea |
| John | Tolchard | 13B Swanleigh Place  | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| Adeline Melva | Luney | 3/76 Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| Jane & Peter | Dobson | 27A Hamilton Avenue | Fendalton | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| Lin | Zhang | 15 Chilcombe Street | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| Charles | Whatman | 131 Hamiton Avenue |  | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  |  |
| Jason  | Soanes | 95B Memorial Ave | Ilam | Christchurch | 8053 | support the plan |  |  |
| Linda & Jonathan | Pascoe | 14 Swanleigh Place |  | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | I did attempt to respond to this submission using internet BUT didn't have PASSCODE.What is that? Attempted to get passcode by requesting email with the codeNo response! |
| Kirsten | Ferguson | 23C Hamilton Ave | Ilam | Christhchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | Wholeheartedly support - In addition to this we would like to have white lines painted to show drivers where available parking is. Constantly we have drivers parking close or over the driveway. This makes it very difficult to get out of driveway when there is cars parked on both sides of the street. |
| XX | XXXX | Creyke Road | Ilam | Christhchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | Please do not include my personal details online, as discussed.This is my submission:Living opposite the University we have vehicles parked in front of our house continually. Visitors of the University disturb us at all hours. Cleaners bang car doors from 4am in the morning. Construction workers start being noisy at 6am and wake us again. Students come back to Uni after dinner and don’t leave till midnight or later slamming car doors and making a lot of noise. Cars pull over on the cycle lane and park over driveways. They use our driveway for turning around. Can you make this residents only when you do the Review for our area. |
| XX | XXXX | XX Hamilton Avenue | Ilam | Christchurch | 8041 | support the plan |  | Please do not include my personal details online, as discussed.This is my submission:University Parking Plan Review - Area OneYes, I generally support the plan but have some concerns.Thank you for inviting me to comment on this. I know it is difficult to resolve. I thought that the congestion in the street was due to the earthquakes initially. So what has happened at the University to cause this problem with parking, as several years ago parking and congestion was not an issue on this street.Is the Council convinced that the University has done enough to try to resolve the issues on the campus?I have some concerns because I don’t think it will solve the problem long term. Cars will be parked in the unrestricted parking areas of the street, and if parts of the street turn into restricted parking, then those that need longer parks will then move to other streets further out where there are no restrictions. This then extends the congestion, just putting more pressure on others streets.I would like to see the University and the CCC take a greener approach to this issue. Perhaps free transport on buses already going to the University, and greater incentives with car pooling.With kind regards |
| Alex & Vicky | Hopkins | C/- Hopkins Family Trust, 161 Hamilton Avenue |  | Christchurch | 8041 |  |  |  |
| Brian & Dorothy | Morris | 2A Coldstream Court | Fendalton | Christchurch | 8041 |  |  | Coldstream Court is a special case as it has a walk way / cycle way through to Barlow St.A high number of Uni Students choose park in this street because of this.If the parking time was reduced from the present 120 mins to 60 or even 30 minutes, this would find favour with the property owners of this street |
| P & M | Yee | 2 Chilcombe Street | Ilam | Christchurch |  |  |  | I would like to suggest that Chilcombe St should be P120 on both sides as we do not have use of our frontage at anytime.Sometime the SAME vehicle is parked outside 24/7.As we have a 'shared driveway' our only option is to park in our garage when we return from outings. |
| Missed consultation closure date but would like to be kept informed |
| Malcolm | Taylor | 135 Wards Road |  | Rangiora | 7475 |  | Phoned on 022 08 05 122 | Owns property 1 Joyce Crescent which daughter and flatmates live in. They have too many cars to fit into driveway. Wants to know if Joyce Crescent proposal could be like Wilfred Street and have one side of street no parking. Other side all day parking. |